



STUDY PROTOCOL

What are the outcomes of core decompression in patients with avascular necrosis? Protocol for a systematic review [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Octavian Andronic ¹, Haitham Shoman^{2,3}, Ori Weiss^{2,4}, Vikas Khanduja ²

¹Orthopaedic Surgery, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zürich, Zürich, 8008, Switzerland

²Young Adult Hip Service, Department of Trauma and Orthopaedics, Addenbrooke's - Cambridge University Hospital, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK

³Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, USA

⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Meir Medical Center, Kfar-Saba, 4428164, Israel

V1 First published: 31 Jan 2020, 9:71 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22167.1>)
 Latest published: 31 Jan 2020, 9:71 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22167.1>)

Abstract

Background: Core decompression is a hip preserving surgical procedure that is used to treat avascular necrosis (AVN) of the femoral head. The eventual clinical and radiological outcome following this procedure is varied in literature. Also, the time to a total hip replacement (THR) from the index procedure and the percentage of patients subsequently undergoing a THR is controversial. Furthermore, there are multiple surgical methods along with multiple augmentation techniques and various classification and staging systems described. The purpose of this systematic review, therefore, is to analyse the outcomes following decompression only, excluding any augmentation techniques for non-traumatic AVN of the femoral head.

Methods: This protocol is being developed in line with the PRISMA-P guidelines. The search strategy includes articles from Medline, Embase, Google Scholar, CINHALL and Cochrane library. The review and screening will be done by two independent reviewers. Review articles, editorials and correspondences will be excluded. Articles including patients with sickle cell disease and with core decompression where augmentation is used will be excluded. The risk of bias and quality of articles will be assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for the different study designs included.

Discussion: This study will be a comprehensive review on all published articles having patients with AVN of the femoral head and undergoing core decompression surgery only. The systematic review will then define the outcomes of the core decompression surgery based on clinical and radiological outcomes. Each outcome will include the different stages within it and finally, the total mean time to THR will be calculated. This will then be followed by assessing the cumulative confidence in evidence from all the data collected using the GRADE tool.

Registration: This systematic review is registered in the International Prospective Register for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PROSPERO) under the registration number: [CRD42018100596](https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/record/CRD42018100596)

Open Peer Review

Reviewer Status  

	Invited Reviewers	
	1	2
version 1 31 Jan 2020	 report	 report

- Tony Andrade**, Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust, Reading, UK
- Andreas Roth**, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Keywords

Core decompression, hip, avascular necrosis, hip preservation, femoral head, avn.

Corresponding author: Octavian Andronic (and_octavian@mail.ru)

Author roles: **Andronic O:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Shoman H:** Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Weiss O:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Resources, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Khanduja V:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Andronic O *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Andronic O, Shoman H, Weiss O and Khanduja V. **What are the outcomes of core decompression in patients with avascular necrosis? Protocol for a systematic review [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9:71 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22167.1>)

First published: 31 Jan 2020, 9:71 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22167.1>)

Introduction

Osteonecrosis or avascular necrosis (AVN) of the femoral head is a challenging condition that eventually leads, in the majority of cases, to a total hip replacement (THR)¹⁻³. The patients affected by the condition are usually young and therefore may require revision surgery and multiple further procedures⁴. The aetiology is varied and includes multiple conditions that can lead to a reduced blood supply in the femoral head: oral corticosteroids, systemic lupus erythematosus, binge consumption of alcohol, Gaucher disease, sickle cell anaemia, trauma, thrombosis amongst others⁵. Furthermore, staging systems for AVN are different across the literature and pose a significant problem in assessing surgical indications and stratifying outcome⁶. The most common classification systems used are: Ficat⁷/ Modified Ficat⁸; “University of Pennsylvania”/Steinberg⁶; and ARCO (Association Research Circulation Osseous)⁹.

Core decompression is a common surgical procedure that has been used earlier on in the disease process to decrease the intraosseous pressure in the femoral head, relieve pain and potentially re-establish blood flow. Furthermore, multiple augmentation techniques have recently been described that seem to significantly improve the outcome following this procedure^{10,11}.

However, the eventual outcome and time to THR following this procedure remains controversial¹²⁻¹⁶. It is also not clear whether a mechanical decompression alone is sufficient and efficient in all stages of AVN in order to prevent progression and delay the need of a THR. To the best of our knowledge, the largest published systematic review on this subject included only four studies for analysis¹⁷.

The purpose of this study, therefore, was to assess the outcomes of core decompression without any augmentation for nontraumatic AVN of the femoral head.

Methods/design

Study design

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis – Protocol (PRISMA-P) guidelines will be used to develop the protocol of the study. The manuscript will then be developed using the PRISMA statement and flowchart. This systematic review is registered in the International Prospective Register for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PROSPERO) under the registration number: [CRD42018100596](https://www.crd42018100596).

Search strategy

The search for articles will include several databases, Medline, Embase, Google Scholar and the Cochrane library. There will be no restriction on dates and articles will be included from inception. The search strings will include articles looking at patients with AVN and having core decompression and they will then be combined using the Boolean terms AND/OR. A total of eight combinations of the following keywords will be used: “femoral head” with “osteonecrosis”, “avascular necrosis”, “aseptic necrosis”, “avn” with the terms - “core decompression” or “surgery”.

Study selection

First, a blinded and independent process of selection based on title and abstract will be made by two authors (OA and HS). Secondly, a thorough analysis of eligible studies was performed by evaluating full texts. Studies will then be screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria ([Table 1](#)). Articles included would be in English language, looking at those suffering from AVN and those who had core decompression only. Reviews, editorials and commentaries will be excluded. The PICO tool is used to formulate the research question. The participants will be everyone with no restriction to age, race or gender, the intervention is core decompression, there will be no comparator, and the outcomes will include clinical and radiological ([Table 2](#)).

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies that included core decompression alone as the only intervention • Studies on patients with avascular necrosis • Case reports, case series, case control, cohort studies and randomized controlled trials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-English articles • Any review/hypothesis/technique articles, editorials, commentaries and non-clinical articles • Patients with core decompression in combination with another surgery or augmentation technique.

Table 2. PICO tool

Population	All races and genders with no age or geographical restrictions
Intervention	Core decompression
Comparators	Not applicable
Outcomes	Clinical and radiological outcomes and time to total hip replacement

Data extraction

The selected articles will then be exported to Mendeley reference manager software and all duplicates will be removed electronically and manually. The final number of included articles will then be assessed for full text review and data will be extracted based on a pre-determined set of variables. Two reviewers (AO and OW) will assess and screen and if there is any discrepancy, a third more senior reviewer (VK) will be invited to advice until consensus is met between all authors. Data extracted will then be pulled into a spreadsheet with the pre-determined variables on Microsoft Excel v16.21.

The extracted data will be based on the following table headings: author, study setting (country and year), number of included hips, average follow up, gender percentages, average age, mean Body Mass Index (BMI), preliminary diagnosis (primary etiology), stage of disease, surgical technique, clinical outcome (with preoperative and postoperative results where applicable), radiological outcome and time to THR.

Data analysis and synthesis

The risk of bias and quality of studies will be evaluated using the Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist¹⁸ for each study design due to its rigor in assessing the methodological integrity of studies. The outcomes of core decompression will include clinical and radiological outcomes. In addition, the total mean time for hip replacement among all the studies will be estimated. Based on the quality of studies included, a meta-analysis might be conducted across the studies if there was limited heterogeneity in the data.

Amendments

In cases of changes in the existing protocol that significantly would affect the accuracy of data, scope of the investigation, or scientific quality of the study, edits will be performed and a newer version that would be in accordance with the final systematic review, will be provided and published.

Dissemination

The systematic review is planned to be submitted upon completion to an orthopaedic peer-reviewed journal with global audience and then uploaded under copyright conditions to further dissemination platforms, such as Research Gate and others.

Study status

Ongoing.

Discussion

Classification systems, outcome measures and reporting systems are highly variable amongst studies assessing and reporting the outcome of core decompression for AVN of the femoral head. From distinct classifications (Ficat or its modification, Steinberg, ARCO) to varied clinical scores (Harris Hip Score/ D'Aubigne/Visual Analogue Scale), all have been described and used in the literature.

Furthermore, the concept of "procedural success" is not absolute. Whilst most studies consider the absence of radiological

progression of disease to be the main finding that suggests success, other authors interpret clinical improvement as success, even in the presence of radiological deterioration. Therefore, the heterogeneity of interpretation of success in the studies extracted may not allow for a uniform representation. As such, the results will be represented in separate categories, based on the classification system that was used and divided into radiological progression and another category of clinical improvement.

The strengths of our study will be represented by the largest patient pool and rigorous exclusion criteria that will be used. Any collateral influence of aetiology (traumatic), systemic disease (sickle cell crisis) or technique heterogeneity (presence of augmentation or bone grafts) will be excluded. Also, there will be a tenacious stratification based on stage of the disease even in the presence of a variety of classification systems. Ultimately, the following questions will be evaluated and answered:

- 1) Does core decompression accomplish postoperative pain relief/clinical or functional improvement?
- 2) In what percentage of the patients does core decompression achieve a cessation of radiological progression?
- 3) What percentage of patients undergoing core decompression without augmentation, ultimately require a THR?
- 4) What is the average time to requiring a THR following core decompression?

Data availability

Underlying data

No data is associated with this work.

Reporting guidelines

Figshare: PRISMA-P checklist for 'What are the outcomes of core decompression in patients with avascular necrosis? Protocol for a systematic review', <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.11720289.v3>¹⁹.

Author information

VK (MD, MA, MSc, FRCS(Orth)) is a Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon at Addenbrooke's - Cambridge University Hospital, England, UK. VK is also an Associate Lecturer at the University of Cambridge and the Associate Editor at the Bone and Joint Journal. He is also the Chair of SICOT Education Academy and Chair of the UK's non-arthroplasty hip registry. OA (MD) is VK's research fellow and orthopaedic surgery resident at the Balgrist University Hospital in Zurich, Switzerland. OW (MD) is VK's research fellow and orthopaedic surgery resident at the Meir Medical Center, Kfar-Saba, Israel. HS (MD, DIC, MPH) is also VK's research fellow and a Global Surgery Research Fellow at Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

References

1. Yoon BH, Mont MA, Koo KH, *et al.*: **The 2019 Revised Version of Association Research Circulation Osseous Staging System of Osteonecrosis of the Femoral Head.** *J Arthroplasty.* 2019; pii: S0883-5403(19)31101-5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Yoon BH, Jones LC, Chen CH, *et al.*: **Etiologic Classification Criteria of ARCO on Femoral Head Osteonecrosis Part 2: Alcohol-Associated Osteonecrosis.** *J Arthroplasty.* 2019; **34**(1): 169–174.e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Yoon BH, Jones LC, Chen CH, *et al.*: **Etiologic Classification Criteria of ARCO on Femoral Head Osteonecrosis Part 1: Glucocorticoid-Associated Osteonecrosis.** *J Arthroplasty.* 2019; **34**(1): 163–168.e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Bergh C, Fenstad AM, Furnes O, *et al.*: **Increased risk of revision in patients with non-traumatic femoral head necrosis.** *Acta Orthop.* 2014; **85**(1): 11–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Shah KN, Racine J, Jones LC, *et al.*: **Pathophysiology and risk factors for osteonecrosis.** *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* 2015; **8**(3): 201–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Sultan AA, Mohamed N, Samuel LT, *et al.*: **Classification systems of hip osteonecrosis: an updated review.** *Int Orthop.* 2019; **43**(5): 1089–1095.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Jawad MU, Haleem AA, Scully SP: **In brief: Ficat classification: avascular necrosis of the femoral head.** *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2012; **470**(9): 2636–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Smith SW, Meyer RA, Connor PM, *et al.*: **Interobserver reliability and intraobserver reproducibility of the modified Ficat classification system of osteonecrosis of the femoral head.** *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1996; **78**(11): 1702–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Schmitt-Sody M, Kirchhoff C, Mayer W, *et al.*: **Avascular necrosis of the femoral head: inter- and intraobserver variations of Ficat and ARCO classifications.** *Int Orthop.* 2008; **32**(3): 283–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Arbeloa-Gutierrez L, Dean CS, Chahla J, *et al.*: **Core Decompression Augmented With Autologous Bone Marrow Aspiration Concentrate for Early Avascular Necrosis of the Femoral Head.** *Arthrosc Tech.* 2016; **5**(3): e615–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
11. Ma Y, Wang T, Liao J, *et al.*: **Efficacy of autologous bone marrow buffy coat grafting combined with core decompression in patients with avascular necrosis of femoral head: a prospective, double-blinded, randomized, controlled study.** *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2014; **5**(5): 115.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
12. Smith SW, Fehring TK, Griffin WL, *et al.*: **Core decompression of the osteonecrotic femoral head.** *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1995; **77**(5): 674–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Bozic KJ, Zurakowski D, Thornhill TS: **Survivorship analysis of hips treated with core decompression for nontraumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head.** *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1999; **81**(2): 200–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Markel DC, Miskovsky C, Sculco TP, *et al.*: **Core decompression for osteonecrosis of the femoral head.** *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1996(323): 226–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Simank HG, Brocai DR, Brill C, *et al.*: **Comparison of results of core decompression and intertrochanteric osteotomy for nontraumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head using Cox regression and survivorship analysis.** *J Arthroplasty.* 2001; **16**(6): 790–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Yoon BH, Lee YK, Kim KC, *et al.*: **No differences in the efficacy among various core decompression modalities and non-operative treatment: a network meta-analysis.** *Int Orthop.* 2018; **42**(12): 2737–2743.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Rajagopal M, Balch Samora J, Ellis TJ: **Efficacy of core decompression as treatment for osteonecrosis of the hip: a systematic review.** *Hip Int.* 2012; **22**(5): 489–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Aromataris E, Fernandez R, Godfrey CM, *et al.*: **Summarizing systematic reviews: methodological development, conduct and reporting of an umbrella review approach.** *Int J Evid Based Healthc.* 2015; **13**(3): 132–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. Andronic O, Shoman H, Weiss O, *et al.*: **PRISMA-P checklist and flow chart for 'What are the Outcomes of Core Decompression in Patients with Avascular Necrosis? Protocol for a Systematic Review'.** *figshare.* Dataset. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.11720289.v3>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:  

Version 1

Reviewer Report 26 March 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24446.r61725>

© 2020 Roth A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Andreas Roth

Department of Orthopedic, Trauma and Plastic Surgery, University Clinic of Leipzig, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

The aim of the preliminary study is to examine the results of core decompression in avascular necrosis as part of a systematic review. The authors have presented a complete study protocol for this. In principle, study design and methods are suitable to achieve the goal.

Because of the heterogeneity of the present work, they want to evaluate the results in different categories, which are based on the respective classification system and differ in terms of radiological progression and the improvement of the clinical findings.

The authors must be aware that the number of patients described is relatively small. I took the liberty to list the literature I know.

In fact, the papers available differ with regard to the primary endpoint. But the classification systems are also different. Last but not least, the surgical techniques used are different, and sometimes not exactly specified. These are the potential limitations of this work.

Ultimately, however, it is of great interest for all those who deal with this clinical picture to obtain such results. Therefore, I would like to support this work and advocate the indexing of the project.

References

1. Schneider W, Breitensteiner M, Engel A, Knahr K, et al.: [The value of core decompression in treatment of femur head necrosis]. *Orthopade*. 2000; **29** (5): 420-9 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Castro FP, Barrack RL: Core decompression and conservative treatment for avascular necrosis of the femoral head: a meta-analysis. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 2000; **29** (3): 187-94 [PubMed Abstract](#)
3. Stulberg BN, Davis AW, Bauer TW, Levine M, et al.: Osteonecrosis of the femoral head. A prospective randomized treatment protocol. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1991. 140-51 [PubMed Abstract](#)
4. Koo KH, Kim R, Ko GH, Song HR, et al.: Preventing collapse in early osteonecrosis of the femoral head. A randomised clinical trial of core decompression. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1995; **77** (6): 870-4 [PubMed Abstract](#)

5. Beltran J, Knight CT, Zuelzer WA, Morgan JP, et al.: Core decompression for avascular necrosis of the femoral head: correlation between long-term results and preoperative MR staging. *Radiology*. 1990; **175** (2): 533-6 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Scully SP, Aaron RK, Urbaniak JR: Survival analysis of hips treated with core decompression or vascularized fibular grafting because of avascular necrosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1998; **80** (9): 1270-5 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Maniwa S, Nishikori T, Furukawa S, Kajitani K, et al.: Evaluation of core decompression for early osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2000; **120** (5-6): 241-4 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Lavernia CJ, Sierra RJ: Core decompression in atraumatic osteonecrosis of the hip. *J Arthroplasty*. 2000; **15** (2): 171-8 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Saito S, Ohzono K, Ono K: Joint-preserving operations for idiopathic avascular necrosis of the femoral head. Results of core decompression, grafting and osteotomy. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1988; **70** (1): 78-84 [PubMed Abstract](#)
10. Aaron RK, Steinberg ME: Electrical stimulation of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Semin Arthroplasty*. 1991; **2** (3): 214-21 [PubMed Abstract](#)
11. Mont MA, Carbone JJ, Fairbank AC: Core decompression versus nonoperative management for osteonecrosis of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1996. 169-78 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Neumayr LD, Aguilar C, Earles AN, Jergesen HE, et al.: Physical therapy alone compared with core decompression and physical therapy for femoral head osteonecrosis in sickle cell disease. Results of a multicenter study at a mean of three years after treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2006; **88** (12): 2573-82 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Aaron RK, Lennox D, Bunce GE, Ebert T: The conservative treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. A comparison of core decompression and pulsing electromagnetic fields. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1989. 209-18 [PubMed Abstract](#)
14. Wang CJ, Wang FS, Huang CC, Yang KD, et al.: Treatment for osteonecrosis of the femoral head: comparison of extracorporeal shock waves with core decompression and bone-grafting. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2005; **87** (11): 2380-7 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Aigner N, Petje G, Schneider W, Meizer R, et al.: Bone marrow edema syndrome of the femoral head: treatment with the prostacyclin analogue iloprost vs. core decompression: an MRI-controlled study. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 2005; **117** (4): 130-5 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Orthopaedic surgery, osteoporosis, femoral head necrosis.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 23 March 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24446.r60053>

© 2020 Andrade T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Tony Andrade

Department of Trauma and Orthopaedics, Royal Berkshire Hospital, Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust, Reading, UK

The authors have submitted a study protocol for a study in which they set out to determine the outcomes of core decompression (without any augmentation procedure) for non-traumatic avascular necrosis of the femoral head. They aim to carry out a systematic review in line with PRISMA-P guidelines, with the review and screening carried out by two independent reviewers.

Their study design and the described methods are completely appropriate. The outcomes will be based on clinical and radiological outcomes, and the mean time to Total Hip Replacement will also be calculated. Finally the GRADE tool will be used to assess the cumulative confidence in evidence from all the data collected.

Previous authors have concluded from their meta-analyses, which mostly employed augmentation treatments, that as they could not find any differences in the rates of THA conversion and radiologic progression across all core decompression modalities and non-operative treatment, their results question the assumption that core decompression changes the natural course of avascular necrosis of the femoral head. The authors need to consider this.

One of the potential limitations with previous meta-analyses has been the relatively low numbers of cases, and so the authors of this study need to be able to aim for higher numbers in their review.

Previous studies have concluded with a call for very large scale randomised controlled trials to confirm the effectiveness of core decompression in itself. This further systematic review may well add to this call.

I have also added in two citations that the authors should review, as these further inform the subject.

The study is a very valuable one that aims to inform on the outcomes of decompression alone (without augmentation techniques) for AVN of the femoral head. This is a very important topic in the hip preservation setting and these results will add significant value to the existing literature. I therefore fully support this study, with only the minor points I have detailed above.

References

1. Atilla B, Bakırcioğlu S, Shope AJ, Parvızı J: Joint-preserving procedures for osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *EFORT Open Rev.* 2019; 4 (12): 647-658 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

2. Mont MA, Zywił MG, Marker DR, McGrath MS, et al.: The natural history of untreated asymptomatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a systematic literature review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010; **92** (12): 2165-70 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Hip preservation surgery, including arthroscopic and open hip procedures.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research