The ‘Winchester Anthology’ (London, British Library, MS Additional 60577) is a late fifteenth-century collection of miscellaneous verse and prose in English, Latin and French.  

1 The scribe, who dates a portion of his work to 1487, also copied BL MS Harley 172, a contemporary and similar collection.  

2 Acquired by the British Library in 1979, the Additional manuscript, which includes a unique Middle English verse translation of part of Petrarch’s *Secretum*, was almost immediately made available in facsimile by Edward Wilson and Iain Fenlon.  

3 The editors noted that a number of leaves once present were now lost. Apart from a lacuna of an estimated four quires, several leaves have also been removed individually or in small groups, leaving eleven stubs scattered throughout at the manuscript’s gutter.  

4 Three of these missing leaves survive and can be assigned to their places in the codex. They came into the hands of the antiquary Thomas Hearne (1678–1735), who pasted them into one of his notebooks, in use between
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**Bibliographical Note**

**THREE NEWLY RECOVERED LEAVES FROM THE ‘WINCHESTER ANTHOLOGY’**

The ‘Winchester Anthology’ (London, British Library, MS Additional 60577) is a late fifteenth-century collection of miscellaneous verse and prose in English, Latin and French.  


2 *The Winchester Anthology*, pp. 8–9. The main hand has dated fol. 107 v to 1487 in a colophon, while the manuscript’s inclusion of Earl Rivers’ translation of Petrarch’s *Secretum* dates fols. 38 r–44 v after the end of 1477. A note on the front pastedown identifies the scribe as a monk of St Swithun’s priory, that is, Winchester cathedral priory. The discovery of the shared hand of Additional MS 60577 and Harley MS 172 was made by A. I. Doyle, as credited by Wilson in *The Winchester Anthology*, pp. 1–4.  


4 Wilson estimates that four quires of ten have been removed between fols. 17 and 38 (*The Winchester Anthology*, 3). The stubs can be found following fol. 56 (one, ruled), fol. 66 (two, ruled), fol. 116 (one, ruled) fol. 184 (one, ruled), fol. 189 (one, ruled), fol. 218 (three, ruled), and preceding fol. 224 (two, no ruling visible).
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1 November 1712 and 28 January 1713. The leaves have previously been catalogued in the Bodleian’s Summary Catalogue: ‘at page 96 is a leaf of an English paper 15th cent. MS. relating to the monastery of Hales: and at page 101 is a fragment (two leaves) of a 15th cent. Latin and English parchment MS. containing an English prophecy in verse (beg. ‘In the londe of more bretayne’).’ It can none the less be asserted with some confidence that these leaves are all in the same hand, that of the Winchester scribe, and furthermore that the two parchment leaves once stood in the ‘Winchester Anthology’ between the current fols. 66 and 67, while the paper leaf stood between the current fols. 116 and 117.

Hearne’s leaves have all been trimmed into an irregular shape, but each page bears a ruled text block of 158 × 90 mm, consistent with the rest of the ‘Winchester Anthology’. As is also standard for the codex, each block is pricked and ruled with 32 pencil lines, double horizontals define the first and last lines, and the pages differ as to whether the first line of writing sits above or below the first line of ruling. Every last written line on Hearne’s leaves rests on the last ruled line, except on p. 104, where the text extends two lines below to keep a quatrain intact. In their situation in the notebook, the leaves have been folded to fit within the octavo format and mounted back-

Table 1  Winchester foliation against Hearne’s pagination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Winchester folios</th>
<th>Hearne’s page numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66b [parchment]</td>
<td>p. 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66b [parchment]</td>
<td>p. 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66c [parchment]</td>
<td>p. 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66c [parchment]</td>
<td>p. 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 116b [paper]</td>
<td>p. 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 116b [paper]</td>
<td>p. 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Hearne’s Diaries 42, pp. 95–96, 101–4. The notebook previously had the shelfmark MS Rawlinson K. 42. Between the first leaf and the second two leaves Hearne has inserted a paper leaf with a mezzotint image of the antiquarian Anthony Wood (pp. 97–98).


7 Wilson gives an average of 6⅓ in. by 3¾ in. (The Winchester Anthology, p. 6).

8 For similar instances of rhyme schemes breaking the last ruling in the ‘Winchester Anthology’, see fols. 22r–24r and 65v–66r.
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Fig. 1. Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Hearne’s Diaries 42, p. 96. Reproduced by kind permission of the Bodleian Libraries, University of Oxford.
wards. As a result, Hearne’s pagination of the material runs against the actual flow of the text (see Table 1).

The paper leaf is quarto in format and carries half a watermark, the lower part of a double-banded shield with protruding ‘potences’ along the bands, identifiable in Briquet’s catalogue as the Arms of Troyes. This matches one of the two watermarks found in the ‘Winchester Anthology’, the Arms of Troyes topped with a Maltese Cross (Briquet 1040). There are only two points in the Winchester manuscript where a dislocated leaf was once conjugate with a folio bearing the upper half of the watermark: after fol. 189 and 116 respectively. A placement for Hearne’s leaf after fol. 116 is confirmed by offset from the detached leaf on to the full manuscript (Fig. 1, line 14; fol. 116, line 14), as well as a portion of Hearne’s initial T visible on the stub following fol. 116. Similarly, identification of Hearne’s parchment leaves with the two parchment stubs of a missing bifolium after fol. 66 can be confirmed by circumstantial details including runover of the text from Hearne’s p. 101 on to fol. 67r and aligned damage to the inner top corners of fol. 66 and pp. 103–4.

The texts on Hearne’s leaves are, like their companions in the ‘Winchester Anthology’, miscellaneous and multilingual in nature, including English verse alongside seemingly educational, school-text material. They form four separate works (or parts of works) in Latin and English verse and prose (see Table 2).

The English texts on Hearne’s leaves have long been known to scholars in their current context. The only medieval witness to the Middle English prophecy poem translating Geoffrey of Monmouth’s *Prophetia Merlini* is constituted by these folios. The poem has twice been edited by Rossell Hope Robbins, who notes that the prophecy was widely circulated in Latin.

Caroline D. Eckhardt emphasizes that English translations of the *Prophetia Merlini* were unusual and selects Hearne’s poem for discussion. The historical text discussing the blood relic at Hailes, printed by Hearne as
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9 For discussion of cut-and-paste modes of manuscript appreciation and preservation, see Christopher de Hamel, *Cutting Up Manuscripts for Pleasure and Profit* (Charlottesville: Book Arts Press, 1996); Adam Smyth, “‘Shreds of holiness’: George Herbert, Little Gidding, and Cutting Up Texts in Early Modern England [with illustrations],” *English Literary Renaissance*, 42 (2012), 452–81.


12 The text flows seamlessly (mid-word, ‘sco-larium’) from Hearne’s p. 101 on to fol. 67r, part of the vulgaria. For a view of the ‘original’ organization of the manuscript’s quires see A. G. Rigg, *The Winchester Anthology: A Facsimile of British Library Additional Manuscript 60577*, *Speculum*, 59 (1984), 218–19 (p. 219). I am grateful to Edward Wilson for directing my attention towards this review.

13 The poem appears also in a seventeenth-century context in Aberystwth, National Library of Wales, MS 1041 (previously MS Mostyn 133).
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**Table 2** The Texts on Hearne’s Leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winchester folio/ Hearne’s page numbers</th>
<th>Textual content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66b⁴ [parchment] (p. 104)</td>
<td>Beginning on the first line, a Middle English verse translation of the ‘Lynx’ or ‘Great Prophecy’ from Geoffrey of Monmouth’s <em>Prophetia Merlini</em> (<em>IMEV</em>, 1552).¹⁶ ‘IN the londe of more bretayngne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66b⁵ [parchment] (p. 103)</td>
<td>Middle English poem ends twelve lines down the page. ‘Explicit’. Followed by a short Latin prose history describing events in the relations between England and Scotland during the eleventh and twelfth centuries, with a focus on charters and papal correspondence. ‘Nota quod in Carta Edgari Regis scocie dunellum continetur [...]’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66c¹ [parchment] (p. 102)</td>
<td>Remaining four lines of the Latin prose. Followed by two of Cato’s <em>Distichs</em> (II 14, 16).¹⁷ ‘Esto forti animo cum sis damnatus inique [...]’. Followed by a longer series of Latin vulgaria. ‘Quanto facilis aliquid est</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 66c² [parchment] (p. 101)</td>
<td>The vulgaria continue, curtailed mid-sentence by the end of the page.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 116b⁴ [paper] (p. 96)</td>
<td>Beginning on the first line, a piece of Middle English prose describing the relic of Christ’s blood coming to Hailes abbey in Gloucestershire and subsequent privileges and indulgences granted to the abbey. ‘The yere of our lorde millesimo. CClxx. Edmond þe nobyll Erle of Cornuale brouȝt a porcyon of precyous blode of Cryste Ihesu that he Shedde for mankynde apon the crosse vn to þe Abbey of haylys [...]’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fol. 116b⁵ [paper] (p. 95)</td>
<td>The Hailes text continues for thirteen lines. The remaining ruled space is blank.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


Harriet Soper

an appendix to Leland’s *Collectanea*, has also been scrutinized. J. C. T. Oates suggests a possible relationship between this text and a lost section of an anonymous early Tudor work (c. 1515) printed by Richard Pynson, *A Little Treatise of Dives Miracles Shown for the Portion of Christ’s Blood in Hayles*; this section is alluded to in a seventeenth-century catalogue as ‘the Pardons granted by Popes & Cardinalles & Reliques’. The Latin material does not seem to have attracted scholarly attention. The *vulgaria* can now be situated as the first part of a previously acephalous collection in the ‘Winchester Anthology’. For Wilson, the presence of the *vulgaria* in the codex provides the lynchpin for his argument that the manuscript is at least partly educational in purpose.

Hearne does not specify a friend or colleague as his source in the way he does elsewhere in his notebooks when incorporating medieval material. As a result, the provenance of the ‘Winchester Anthology’ manuscript between the second half of the sixteenth century and the second half of the twentieth remains shadowy. None the less, the recovery of Hearne’s leaves renders it at least conceivable that more missing material from the ‘Winchester Anthology’ may yet be found; eleven lost leaves remain at large, along with the four quires that once followed fol. 37. As has happened previously, material may surface in other collections which once belonged to the same manuscript as fragments collected by Hearne. At the same time, the immense potential of Hearne’s own collections is highlighted by this discovery of the leaves’ provenance; similar feats of identification may be possible for other items in the great bulk of fragmentary material gathered by the antiquary, both in his notebooks and in large bound collections in the Bodleian. These rich areas of enquiry belie Hearne’s modest tone when he

22 For example, the two mid-fifteenth-century fragments in vol. 18 of ‘Remarks and Collections’ (MS Hearne’s Diaries 18, pp. 260–64) are accompanied by a note: ‘The following old Fragments given me by Thomas Rawlinson, Esquire’, p. 260.
23 See *The Winchester Anthology*, pp. 12–13. The codex’s last known owner before the twentieth century was William Way, his name appearing on multiple folios (57r, 222r, 223r, 223v, 224r, 224v, 225r and 225v). Way seems to have been a lay singing-man at Winchester Cathedral and his name appears in cathedral records up to 1563. Nothing further is known about the manuscript until it surfaces in a Sotheby’s sale in 1979, acquired by the British Library in the same year.
25 Compilations of fragments once belonging to Hearne include MS Rawlinson D. 893, MS Rawlinson D. 894, MS Rawlinson D. 1164, MS Rawlinson D. 1373, and MS Rawlinson Q. b. 4. See Theodor Harmsen, *Antiquarianism in the Augustan Age* (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2000), pp. 144–45.
refers to the ‘old Fragments’ in his possession, and indeed Alexander Pope’s cruelty in referring to Hearne as ‘Wormius’, on ‘parchment scraps y-fed’.

* I am grateful to Daniel Wakelin for first suggesting the Winchester codex and its scribe as the source of Hearne’s leaves, and for his later help with the development of this paper. I am further indebted to Elaine Treharne, Orietta Da Rold and Ralph Hanna for their invaluable feedback on earlier drafts. I wish finally to thank the Arts and Humanities Research Council for supporting this work in the form of funding for a Master of Studies degree (2013–14).

Cambridge

Harriet Soper

---