Etiologic Classification Criteria of ARCO on Femoral Head Osteonecrosis, Part 1:

Glucocorticoid-Associated Osteonecrosis
Abstract

Objective: Glucocorticoid usage, a leading cause of osteonecrosis of the femoral head (ONFH), and its prevalence was reported in 25-50% of non-traumatic ONFH patients. Nevertheless, there have been no unified criteria to classify glucocorticoid-associated ONFH (GA-ONFH). In 2015, the Association Research Circulation Osseous (ARCO) addressed the issue of developing a classification scheme.

Methods: In June 2017, a task force was set up to conduct a Delphi survey concerning ONFH. The task force invited twenty-eight experts in osteonecrosis/bone circulation from eight countries. Each round of the Delphi survey consists of questionnaires, analysis of replies and feedback reports to the panel. After three rounds of the survey, the panel reached a consensus on the classification criteria. The response rates were 100% (round 1), 96% (rounds 2) and 100% (round 3), respectively.

Results: The consensus on the classification criteria of GA-ONFH included: 1) patients should have a history of glucocorticoid use > 2g of prednisolone or its equivalent within a 3-month period; 2) osteonecrosis should be diagnosed within two years after glucocorticoid usage, and 3) patients should not have other risk factor(s) besides glucocorticoids.

Conclusion: ARCO established classification criteria to standardize clinical studies concerning GA-ONFH.
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Introduction

Osteonecrosis of the femoral head (ONFH) is a potentially devastating disease frequently leading to collapse of the femoral head and osteoarthritis of the hip.\cite{1, 2} Specifically, non-traumatic ONFH usually affects young and middle-aged adults and the prevalence has been reported to be increasing.\cite{3, 4} Although non-traumatic ONFH is the final common manifestation of various diseases or conditions compromising the local circulation in the femoral head, the pathogenic mechanisms are multifactorial and have yet to be fully elucidated.\cite{5}

Among non-traumatic ONFH cases, glucocorticoids have been identified as the leading cause and reportedly contributed to its development in 25-50\% of patients.\cite{3, 6-8} These agents have been used as a first-line anti-inflammatory and immune-modulating drug for many immune-mediated conditions or as an adjunctive therapy for some infectious or malignant diseases. Prospective studies using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have reported that glucocorticoid-associated ONFH (GA-ONFH) was detected in 15 to 35\% of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus.\cite{9} The susceptibility to GA-ONFH has been considered dependent on multiple factors including genetic background, the duration or amount of glucocorticoids exposure, and underlying diseases.\cite{10, 11} Thus, it is difficult to determine the risk dose or duration of glucocorticoids to develop ONFH and previously, most researchers could not avoid using their own definitions or criteria for corticosteroid-associated ONFH. As a result, because of inhomogeneity within the GA-ONFH populations studied, it is difficult to compare the results across the studies and to collect data enough to augment our understanding of patients with this association.

The Association Research Circulation Osseous (ARCO) founded in 1973 has been the only international society promoting the study of bone circulation and its disorders in particular of osteonecrosis. In April 2015, ARCO addressed some of these issues on classification criteria of non-traumatic ONFH and formed a task force to establishing the criteria for GA-ONFH and alcohol-associated osteonecrosis. Because of the lack of sufficient scientific evidence in the literature, the task force decided to employ a modified, consensus-building Delphi method, by gathering data from experts using rounds of questionnaires. Since the present study aimed to develop the classification criteria for GA-ONFH to identify a well-defined homogenous population for research, our criteria should not be misinterpreted as diagnostic criteria for clinical practice.
Methods

ARCO task force

The ARCO task force was set up to prepare the Delphi survey and consisted of 7 members; 4 orthopaedic surgeons, 1 expert researcher on bone circulation/osteonecrosis, 1 rheumatologist and 1 statistician/methodologist. The task force performed a search of PubMed, using the key search terms “osteonecrosis”, “avascular necrosis”, “aseptic necrosis”, “glucocorticoid”, and “steroid” for entries from January 1, 1960, to May 31, 2017. The search was restricted to human studies in the over 18 years’ age group. The task force reviewed 75 citations relevant to GA-ONFH and selected 23 key literatures (Supplementary 1). Through the comprehensive literature review, the task force raised 4 issues to develop novel etiologic classification criteria of GA-ONFH; 1) whether experts necessitate classification criteria of GA-ONFH, 2) minimal dosage of glucocorticoids that need to have been administered to the patients; 3) the latent period after exposure to glucocorticoid, when a diagnosis of ONFH can be made; 4) how to classify ONFH patients, who have other risk factor(s) besides glucocorticoid.

Expert panel members

In June of 2017, the task force initially invited 30 experts because the sample size of a panel has usually been recommended to 15 to 30 participants in the Delphi study design and a larger sample size (beyond 30) has rarely found to improve the results.[12] ARCO made the panel qualifications for the Delphi study; college faculty, more than 10 years of clinical and/or research experience, and 3 or more publications on bone circulation/osteonecrosis, for a homogeneous panel based on the knowledge on ONFH and level of clinical or research experience. Among the 30 experts, one declined the invitation and one did not reply to the invitation. The remaining 28 experts on osteonecrosis/bone circulation participated in the Delphi procedure (Table 1). The panel members had a mean of approximately 18 years of clinical and/or research experience.

The modified Delphi procedure

The Delphi technique is a widely accepted method for achieving convergence of expert opinions. The following features characterize the procedures: anonymity, iteration, and controlled feedback. Anonymity allows participants to express their opinions freely without being pressured by other participants, and
permits them to change their opinion if necessary, thus helping participants consider the issues based on merits alone. In this study, anonymity was achieved by an Internet survey. Iteration is achieved by presenting questionnaires several times over a number of rounds, thereby allowing participants to change their opinions. Controlled feedback takes place between rounds: each participant learns of the other participants’ opinions. Participants often receive this feedback as a simple statistical summary of responses, although arguments may present. This ensures that all of the participants contribute to the discussion.

Construction of Delphi rounds

A total three or four rounds was expected to reach consensus on the 4 issues, which were raised by the ARCO task force. In the first survey, the panel members were asked to answer 4 open-ended questions on the 4 issues; 1) whether etiologic classification criteria for glucocorticoid are necessary and their reasons why; 2) their own criteria to classify osteonecrosis as GA-ONFH in terms of cumulative dose of prednisolone or its equivalent; 3) the duration of the effects of lasting risk exposure (latent period – time from the past exposure to diagnosis of ONFH); and 4) how to classify ONFH patients who have 2 or more risk factors including glucocorticoid therapy.

Replies to the first survey were analyzed to determine whether consensus was reached or not.

In the second and further rounds, the panel members were asked to answer the revised questionnaires on the issues, on which the panel members did not reach consensus in the previous round. The rounds were continued until final consensus was obtained on the 4 issues.

Cut-off point for consensus

The content validity ratio (CVR) is a linear transformation of a proportional level of agreement.[13] The main benefit of CVR is to readily indicate whether the level of agreement among panel members exceeds 50%. It represents the proportion of panel participants, who rate an item as essential, and is calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{NE - (N/2)}{N/2} \quad \text{NE is the number of panel members rating the item as essential}
\]

\[
N \quad \text{N is the number of panel members.}
\]

We had 28 panel members; therefore, the cut off value of CVR was 0.357, which means 19 is a
minimum number of panels required to reach a consensus in each questionnaire.

Data synthesis and analysis

Data from all Delphi rounds were extracted from the online survey database to an Excel V.20.0 spreadsheet, and anonymously reported as feedback to each panelist. Qualitative data (i.e., expert answers and justifications) were analyzed by content analysis and discussed by the task force. In the first open-ended round, the replies were integrated and classified according to the frequency analysis. In the second and further rounds consisting of multiple choice questions, the percentage and CVR of answers in each item were calculated.

Source of Funding

No external funding was received in support of this work and ARCO.

Results

Three consecutive Delphi rounds were performed between July 1, 2017 and October 31, 2017. Through the three Delphi rounds, full consensus was reached on the classification criteria of GA-ONFH.

Round 1: Open round

Four questionnaires were sent to the panel members (Table 2) and the response rate was 100%. From the replies to the first Delphi survey, consensus was reached on one issue (questionnaire 1) about the necessity of the classification criteria. Twenty-three panel members (82.1%) agreed the necessity of classification criteria, whereas, five members (17.9%) disagreed. The most common reason for the agreement was that although glucocorticoid is the most common associated risk factor for ONFH, there are no defined classification criteria. Predictably, consensus was not reached on the remaining three issues of cumulative dose of glucocorticoids, latent periods, as well as how to classify patients with multiple risk factors (Table 2). Several panel members also suggested changing the term “glucocorticoid-induced” to “glucocorticoid-associated” because the exact causal relationship between glucocorticoid and the development of ONFH has not been determined yet.

Round 2: Selecting and limiting round with multiple choice questions
Questionnaires on the three issues, on which consensus was not reached in Round 1, were modified to multiple-choice questions in order to promote convergence of the various replies. Four multiple-choice questionnaires were compiled using lists of categories and panelists were asked to select the most appropriate category. The issue of terminology change from “glucocorticoid-induced” to “glucocorticoid-associated” was also included in Round 2.

The response rate was 96% in Round 2. Consensus was reached on two issues: the classification of patients with multiple risk factors and the term issue. However, consensus was not reached on the two issues of risk dose and latent period (Table 3).

**Round 3: Ranking round**

To promote convergence of replies on the two unresolved issues in Round 2, the panel members were given the opportunity to state whether or not they agreed with the category showing the highest response frequency in Round 2 and to re-enter their rationale or reason why they did not agree. This was to ensure that the respondents had the opportunity to state whether or not they agreed with the category showing the highest response frequency in round 2. The response rate was 100% in Round 3 and since consensus was reached on the remaining two queries, the classification criteria for GA-ONFH were completed (Table 4).

**Final consensus**

To classify an ONFH patient as a GA-ONFH patient: 1) subjects should have a history of glucocorticoid use > 2 grams of prednisolone or its equivalent within a 3 month duration; 2) ONFH should be diagnosed within two years after this glucocorticoid dosage; and 3) patients should not have other risk factor(s) than glucocorticoids (Table 5).

**Approval of the consensus**

The results of the Delphi study and the final consensus on the classification criteria of GA-ONFH were presented (Table 5) and approved in the general meeting of ARCO, which was held in October 25, 2017 in Berlin.

**Discussion**

Glucocorticoid usage has been a leading cause of non-traumatic ONFH. In addition, this risk factor may be a second insult added in patients with severe diseases requiring high dose long-term glucocorticoid
therapy.[14] GA-ONFH can develop within several weeks after starting high dose glucocorticoid treatment in many patients.[15] Most patients that gave large-to-moderate asymptomatic ONFH lesions frequently progress to need surgical treatment.[16] However, we cannot predict GA-ONFH development in each patient with glucocorticoid therapy because GA-ONFH has a multifactorial etiology. Some glucocorticoid users with genetic predispositions have a greater susceptibility for developing ONFH, while other users without genetic predispositions may not develop the disease.[17-20] It is not possible to define the risk dose of glucocorticoids to develop ONFH. Nevertheless, previous studies have shown that there is a strong association between ONFH development and higher doses of glucocorticoid. In a quantitative review of 22 studies with sufficient information, a strong correlation was found between daily total dose and the rate of ONFH \( (r = 0.61-0.80) \). The rate of the disease increased by 4.6% in accordance with every 10 mg increase in daily dose of glucocorticoid.[21] In a prospective MRI study in 302 patients who required glucocorticoid therapy due to SLE or other rheumatological disorders, the incidence of ONFH at 1 year was 37% in SLE patients and 21% in non-SLE patients. High daily dose of glucocorticoid (>40 mg/day) entailed a higher risk of ONFH compared with a daily dose of glucocorticoid <40 mg/day (OR = 4.2).[22] Another prospective MRI study of 286 patients undergoing renal transplantation investigated the risk of ONFH at a very early stage after transplantation. According to the total doses of glucocorticoid use in the first 2 weeks postoperatively, patients were classified as lower (≤ 520 mg), middle (520–600 mg), and higher (> 600 mg) tertiles. The incidence of ONFH was 6% in the lower-dose group, 17% in the middle-dose group, and 28% in the higher-dose group.[23] Thus, we included the dose of glucocorticoids as a criterion in the present classification criteria for GA-ONFH; the experts reached a consensus that subjects should receive a cumulative dose of 2 g or more over less than 3 months. In fact, such criteria about the dose and administration duration were deduced from the previous studies performed in adult patients with several diseases including SLE and renal transplantation. But, glucocorticoids are introduced for the treatment of a variety of inflammatory, immunologic, or neoplastic conditions. In a recent large population-based study, although underlying disease conditions were statistically independently associated with ONFH, a similar dose relationship was found between glucocorticoids and ONFH among adults with low-risk and high-risk diseases.[24] Therefore, we recommend to apply the criteria regardless of underlying diseases.

A previous study suggested that there is a risk period for developing ONFH in glucocorticoid users.[25]
In two prospective studies, ONFH developed within 3 to 12 months after the initiation of glucocorticoid treatment,[15, 23] by tracking serial MRIs in every patient regardless of symptoms. The natural history of ONFH has been well-investigated. About one-third of patients with asymptomatic early GA-ONFH have symptomatic or radiological progression over a period of several months to years.[26] Practically, there is a time lag between the ONFH development and the diagnosis of the disease. The diagnosis is often delayed because work-up is made when patients have pain and MRI are not always utilized. Zhao et al. reported that the median period from glucocorticoid therapy to hip pain was 18 months in 269 patients with GA-ONFH and that 67% complained of hip symptoms within 24 months after commencing glucocorticoids.[27] Therefore, we discussed the issue of the time lag from the discontinuation of glucocorticoids to ONFH diagnosis, and finally reached consensus that cases should be excluded if they are diagnosed with non-traumatic ONFH after the discontinuation of glucocorticoids, in spite of past exposure history to high dose glucocorticoid therapy.

In addition, to obtain as high a degree of homogeneity as possible, we assessed cases with 2 or more associated risk factors. For example, in the study of Ikeuchi et al., the researchers classified patients with non-traumatic ONFH according to risk factors and about 5% were both corticosteroid- and alcohol-associated ONFH.[28] Our experts recommended not to classify the cases into GA-ONFH if they have another possible cause other than high-dose glucocorticoids including trauma, alcohol overuse, hereditary coagulopathies, Caisson disease, radiation therapy involving the femoral head, non-glucocorticoid chemotherapeutics for cancer, or Gaucher’s disease.

The current criteria should not be used as diagnostic criteria for GA-ONFH in clinical practice or are not suitable for reference in a medicolegal issue. Classification criteria are standardized definitions to create homogenous cohorts for clinical research, while diagnostic criteria are developed to reach an accurate diagnosis.

The Delphi consensus method has low external validity in terms of scientific evidence. However, this method is valuable in situations where imprecise or contradictory opinions exist.[29] Because of the multifactorial nature, it is difficult to define this disease. Until now, we have no established classification criteria for GA-ONFH and previous studies applied their own criteria or definitions, often without clear underlying evidence. Many different definitions have hindered us from understanding of the disease as a whole or acquiring new knowledge by collecting and analyzing the published data. In such a context,
ARCO believes that the present criteria for GA-ONFH can define a homogeneous group of subjects, and facilitate performance of studies concerning various ethnic populations or by different researchers. However, this study did not provide the validity and reliability of the criteria. Therefore, at the further study, the classification criteria should be tested by comparison with clinical diagnosis made by physicians and in multicenter or multiethnic large cohorts.

Conclusion

The current Delphi survey provides etiologic classification criteria of GA-ONFH. ARCO recommends using the criteria for studies about ONFH.
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Supplementary Information Legend

Supplementary material 1. The 23 key studies were selected from 75 studies relevant to GA-ONFH by the review of task force team.