

1 **Title:**

2 Patterns in the Juan Fernandez fur seal faecal microbiome

3 **Authors**

4 Constanza Toro-Valdivieso¹

5 Frederick Toro²

6 Samuel Stubbs³

7 Eduardo Castro-Nallar⁴

8 Barbara Blacklaws¹

9

10 1. Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, UK

11 2. Facultad de Ciencias de la Vida, Universidad Andres Bello, Chile

12 3. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and
13 Tropical Medicine, UK

14 4. Center for Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, Universidad Andres Bello, Chile

15 Correspondence: Constanza Toro-Valdivieso, Department of Veterinary Medicine,
16 University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

17 Email: cvt24@cam.ac.uk

18

19 **Abstract:**

20 As apex predators, pinnipeds are considered to be useful bioindicators of marine and
21 coastal environments. Endemic to a small archipelago in the South Pacific, the Juan
22 Fernandez fur seal (JFFS) is one of the less-studied members of the pinniped family
23 *Otariidae*. This study aimed to characterise the faecal microbiome of the JFFS for the first
24 time, to establish a baseline for future studies of host-microbial-environment interactions
25 and monitoring programs. During two consecutive reproductive seasons, 57 faecal samples
26 were collected from 7 different JFFS colonies within the Juan Fernandez Archipelago,
27 Chile. Bacterial composition and abundance were characterised by sequencing the V4
28 region of the 16S rRNA gene. The overall microbiome composition was dominated by five
29 phyla: *Firmicutes* (40 % \pm 24), *Fusobacteria* (30 % \pm 17), *Bacteroidetes* (22 % \pm 10),
30 *Proteobacteria* (6 % \pm 4) and *Actinobacteria* (2 % \pm 3). Alpha diversity was higher in
31 Tierras Blancas. However, location was not found to be a dominant driver of microbial
32 composition. Interestingly, the strongest signal in the data was a negative association
33 between the genera *Peptoclostridium* and *Fusobacterium*, which explained 29.7 % of the
34 total microbial composition variability between samples. The genus *Peptoclostridium* has
35 not been reported in other pinniped studies and its role here is unclear, with interpretation
36 challenging due to a lack of information regarding microbiome functionality in marine
37 mammals. As a first insight into the JFFS faecal microbiome, these results contribute
38 towards our understanding of the natural microbial diversity and composition in free-
39 ranging pinnipeds.

40

41 **Keywords:** *Arctophoca philippii*, scatology, microbiome, pinnipeds, marine mammals

43 **Introduction:**

44 Marine environments are complex and interconnected systems subject to various
45 environmental impacts. Pollution, climate change, disruption of the food network and
46 pathogen dissemination are a few examples of problems currently affecting ocean integrity
47 and function (Halpern *et al.*, 2019). Integrated approaches at the macro- and micro-
48 ecological levels are needed to properly understand and manage environmental threats in
49 these kinds of complex systems. Identification and investigation of potential environmental
50 sentinel species such as marine mammals can provide a better understanding of the
51 deterioration or improvement of ocean health (Bossart, 2011; Hazen *et al.*, 2019).
52 However, to effectively use wild populations as sentinels, it is first necessary to establish
53 a baseline.

54 In the last couple of decades, the study of the microbiome in wild populations has
55 increased, due to the profound impact of host-microbial interactions on host physiology
56 and the growing affordability of sequencing technology (Redford *et al.*, 2012; Trevelline
57 *et al.*, 2019). The gastrointestinal tract, especially the colon, is recognised as one of the
58 largest microbial reservoirs (O'Hara and Shanahan, 2006). This microbial community
59 fulfils essential functions in digestion, metabolic activity and immunity, and differences in
60 species composition and abundance can therefore provide much information about the host
61 organism. For example, following its initial acquisition during birth and lactation, the
62 microbiome is constantly modified by factors such as age, sex, and diet (Ley, *et al.*, 2008a;
63 Ley, *et al.*, 2008b; Nicholson *et al.*, 2012). Similar factors shaping the gut microbiome in
64 terrestrial mammals influence that of marine mammals (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Smith *et al.*,

65 2013; Pacheco-Sandoval *et al.*, 2019; Stoffel *et al.*, 2020). However, studies have also
66 shown substantial differences between marine and terrestrial mammal gut microbiomes,
67 even when these two groups share a similar diet (e.g., herbivore, carnivore) (Nelson,
68 Rogers and Brown, 2013; Bik *et al.*, 2016). Thus, even though research into the
69 microbiome of terrestrial mammals is at a relatively advanced stage, this information
70 cannot be easily extrapolated to marine mammals whose microbiomes remain poorly
71 understood particularly, those in non-captive, natural populations. Consistent
72 characterisation of the core microbiome of these populations is therefore required as a
73 fundamental baseline before we can attempt to understand it's functions, roles, interactions,
74 and possible uses (Shade and Handelsman, 2012).

75 The faecal microbiome has been characterised for eight pinniped species inhabiting the
76 southern hemisphere, including three out of the eleven members forming the subfamily
77 *Arctocephalinae* (fur seals): *Arctocephalus pusillus doriferus* (Smith *et al.*, 2013),
78 *Arctocephoca australis australis* and *Arctophoca tropicalis* (Medeiros *et al.*, 2016). Also
79 part of the *Arctocephalinae* subfamily is the Juan Fernandez fur seal (*Arctophoca philippii*
80 *philippii*) (JFFS) which is endemic to the Juan Fernandez Archipelago, a group of islands
81 located in the middle of the Pacific Ocean 600 km away from the Chilean continental coast
82 (Fig 1). The archipelago is a hotspot for biodiversity with a high number of endemic marine
83 species, including the JFFS (Aguayo, Maturana and Torres, 1971; Pompa, Ehrlich and
84 Ceballos, 2011; Friedlander *et al.*, 2016). These fur seals are the only native mammals in
85 the archipelago and like other pinnipeds occupy upper trophic levels in the marine food
86 web (Ochoa Acuña and Francis, 1995; Trites, 2019). Their feeding behaviour, lifespan, fat
87 storage, and their amphibian lifestyle, which links marine and coastal environments, are

88 some of the characteristics that make this species a great candidate to act as a marine
89 bioindicator. However, despite showing a significant population recovery since the late
90 1960s and becoming an icon for local tourism, little is known about this species.

91 This study aimed to characterise the JFFS faecal microbiome for the first time, as a baseline
92 for understanding the host-microbial interactions in this species. To investigate, we
93 performed sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, a highly conserved region of the bacterial
94 genome, which provides a reliable overview of bacterial community composition.

95

96 **Methods:**

97 **Ethics statement**

98 All faecal samples were collected from the environment in a non-invasive manner.
99 Disturbance of the colonies was kept to a minimum and no animal was handled or harmed
100 in the process. Permits for the collection of samples were given by CONAF (Certificate
101 009217) and SERNAPESCA (R.E.X.N 43). Permission for importation of samples into the
102 United Kingdom was also obtained (ITIMP16.1158).

103 **Sample collection**

104 Faecal samples were collected from seven reproductive colonies of Juan Fernandez fur
105 seals situated throughout the Juan Fernandez archipelago, Chile (coordinates: 33°38'29"S
106 78°50'28"W) (Fig. 2). Six of the seven colonies included in this study were located on
107 Robinson Crusoe island: El Arenal (EA) (n =9), Bahia El Padre (BP) (n=23), Piedra
108 Carvajal (PC) (n=1), Punta Trueno (PT) (n=1), Tierras Blancas (TB) (n=12) and Vaquería
109 (V) (n=1). One colony was located on Santa Clara island (SC). Samples were collected

110 during two consecutive reproductive seasons (2017 and 2018), which took place between
111 mid-January to the end of February. Collection of samples took place before noon to limit
112 sun exposure. The samples were collected based on consistency and colour to reduce the
113 variability caused by the delay between the defecation and collection. A disposable wooden
114 spatula was used to expose the centre of the faeces to avoid collecting material in direct
115 contact with the surrounding elements. Using a sterile Copan FLOQSwab®, a sample from
116 the core of the faeces was placed into RNAlater® (Sigma-Aldrich) (Vlčková *et al.*, 2012;
117 Blekhman *et al.*, 2016). No animal was observed defecating. Thus, it was not possible to
118 distinguish sex or age at the time of sample collection. We used visual cues and GPS
119 location to decrease the risk of collection from the same individual. Samples were stored
120 at -20 ° C within 32 hours post collection for 1-2 months until arrival in the laboratory,
121 where they were transferred to -80 ° C until further analysis.

122 **DNA extraction and sequencing**

123 Samples were processed as soon as possible after collection (2017 and 2018 respectively).
124 Due to the possible batch effect introduced by processing samples in different years,
125 comparisons between years of collection will not be explored in this study.

126 Samples were thawed on ice and centrifuged at 10,000 x *g* for 15 min to pellet the sample
127 out of RNAlater®. Genomic DNA was extracted from each pelleted sample (approx. 180
128 micrograms) using the MO BIO PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit (QIAGEN) according to the
129 manufacturer's instructions. Isolated DNA was quantified on a Qubit fluorometer
130 (Invitrogen).

131 The bacterial 16S rRNA gene was PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) amplified targeting

132 a 250 bp region covering the V4 variable region. PCR amplification, barcode tagging, and
133 library preparation was performed according to Kozich *et al.* (Kozich *et al.*, 2013).
134 Libraries were constructed using the TrueSeq DNA kit and sequenced on a MiSeq™
135 platform (Illumina®). The read length target changed between the two sampling years.
136 Sequencing was performed using the v2 chemistry producing 2x 250 bp paired-end reads
137 in the 2017 samples while the 2018 sequences were 2x 150 bp paired-end reads.

138 **Sequence data analysis and taxonomic classification**

139 Raw sequence quality was manually assessed with FastQC v. 0.11.5 (Simon Andrews,
140 2010). All 57 samples contained reads of consistent length (respective to the sequencing
141 year) and the average read quality score was above 30. A drop in base quality was observed
142 at the ends of reads (4 - 5 and 8 - 10 respectively). Demultiplexed raw sequences were
143 imported into QIIME2-2019.10 (Bolyen *et al.*, 2019) where quality control, de-replication,
144 read truncation and paired read merging was performed using the DADA2 (Divisive
145 Amplicon Denoising Algorithm) qiime2 plugin (Callahan *et al.*, 2016). Instead of
146 generating operational taxonomic units (OTUs) by clustering sequences based on
147 similarity, the final output of DADA2 is a table with exact sequence variants also known
148 as amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), which are generated by modelling and correcting
149 Illumina sequencing errors. This step was carried out separately according to the year of
150 collection. However, to normalise between datasets, the 250 bp reads produced from 2017
151 samples were truncated so that the paired reads matched the length of the paired reads from
152 2018 samples. To confirm consistency in paired read lengths between the two years,
153 representative sequences generated from both years were aligned in Geneious Prime®
154 2020.0.5 (<https://www.geneious.com>) by Multiple Alignment using the Fast Fourier

155 Transform (MAFFT) plug-in with default settings and then assessed by eye (Kato and
156 Standley, 2013).

157 Next, a mid-point rooted, approximately-maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree for
158 diversity analysis was generated using the qiime2 phylogeny plug-in which uses MAFFT
159 and the FastTree program (Price, Dehal and Arkin, 2010). Finally, taxonomies were
160 assigned to the ASVs using a 16S-V4-specific classifier trained against the Silva132
161 database clustered at 99 % sequence similarity (Quast *et al.*, 2013).

162 **Data processing and statistical analysis**

163 Statistical analysis was performed in duplicate, once using all available data and again with
164 data corresponding to the core microbiome only. The core microbiome was defined here
165 as all the ASVs present in at least 50 percent of the samples.

166 Data processing and statistical analysis were carried out in R version 3.6.0 (R Core Team,
167 2019). To prepare the data by identifying unassigned ASVs and removing contaminants
168 and samples with insufficient depth of sampling prior to analysis, multiple filtering steps
169 were applied to the data using the phyloseq package (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). 1)
170 Unassigned ASVs at the Kingdom level, were manually inspected with the Basic Local
171 Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) before filtering based on both BLAST results (those with
172 non-bacterial matches) and prevalence (ambiguous taxonomy at the phylum level with a
173 prevalence of 1 and total abundance less than 5 reads) (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). 2) Based on
174 the rarefaction curve (Supplementary fig. 1), 3 samples were identified as having
175 insufficient depth of sampling and were therefore removed from the statistical analysis. A
176 threshold of 13,980 reads was used as a cut-off. Removed samples were identified as

177 17JFFS16 (BP, 4463 counts), 17JFFS23 (TB, 2602 counts) and 17JFFS23 (EA, 2042
178 counts). 3) Possible contamination signals were also removed by running a correlation
179 analysis and comparing clusters with a list of previously identified reagent contaminants
180 (Salter *et al.*, 2014). 4) Finally, the data was rarefied using the same threshold used for
181 filtering samples (Supplementary Table 1) (McKnight *et al.*, 2019).

182 The overall microbiota composition was characterised by summing the non-normalized
183 read counts and obtaining the relative abundance at different taxonomic levels.

184 *Alpha diversity*

185 Estimates of within-sample diversity (alpha diversity) were calculated using the phyloseq
186 package. Three indices were included: a richness estimator, which estimates the total
187 number of species in each sample (Chao1) and two different diversity estimators (Shannon-
188 Weiner and Simpson index). The latter two approaches consider richness as well as
189 abundance. However, the effect of richness and rare species strongly impact the Shannon-
190 Weiner index, whereas the Simpson index is mainly influenced by evenness and common
191 species.

192 Non-rarefied data was used to explore the alpha diversity. To compare locations, a one-
193 way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) or a non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test were
194 performed for each estimate. ANOVA assumptions were tested by visualisation of the data
195 and statistical testing. A Shapiro-Wilk test was used to confirm normality and a Levene's
196 test for heteroscedasticity. When exploring Shannon-Weiner and Simpson indices sample
197 18JFFS23 (SC) was identified as an outlier (standard residual >3) and was removed for
198 these indices only. Finally, data visualisation suggested samples collected from TB differed

199 from the other locations thus, a post-hoc analysis was performed with Dunnett's or the non-
200 parametric Dunn's test to compare each location to TB. Samples from PC, PT and V were
201 not included in the location comparison due to their limited sample size ($n = 1$).

202 *Beta diversity*

203 To investigate variation between samples (beta diversity) two different distances were
204 calculated using the rarefied full as well as the core datasets. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity
205 distance was used to look at the differences between samples based on the ASVs
206 abundances. Weighted UniFrac distance was used to explore the phylogenetic divergence
207 between ASVs by also taking into account the abundance of these (with an emphasis on
208 dominant ASVs). Respective distance matrices were visualised using principal coordinate
209 analysis plots (PCoA).

210 To further explore the clustering of samples (Cluster 1 versus Cluster 2) observed in the
211 Bray-Curtis PCoA, a permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) was computed
212 with 999 permutations to test for statistically significant differences between the clusters.
213 Finally, a Similarity Percentages breakdown analysis (SIMPER) was performed between
214 the clusters to identify the genera that most contributed to the difference between clusters.
215 Genera that highly contributed to dissimilarities between groups were further explored with
216 the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test.

217 Spearman rank correlation coefficient (ρ) was used to explore any possible associations
218 between the different taxa and also between the first two components of the Bray-Curtis
219 ordination analysis. Correlations were visualised in a correlation matrix plot and only those
220 significantly and strongly correlated ($Rho (\rho) \geq |0.6|$) were explored further. For this

221 method, only the core microbiome dataset was used at the genus level.

222

223 **Results:**

224 Following removal of low-quality sequences and merging the 2017 and 2018 datasets, a
225 total of 2,074,038 paired reads, grouped into 595 ASVs were imported into R studio for
226 statistical analysis. A total of 54 samples, with 2,062,763 sequences clustered into 558
227 ASVs remained after the filtering steps (Supplementary Table 1). Three samples were
228 removed from the analysis due to rarefaction analysis indicating insufficient depth of
229 sequencing. The rarefied dataset ended up with 518 ASVs and a total of 754,974 reads.

230 **Composition of the Juan Fernandez fur seal faecal microbiome**

231 A total of 10 bacterial phyla were detected in the faeces of the JFFSs. From the total ASV
232 counts *Firmicutes* (41.9 %), *Fusobacteria* (28.2 %), *Bacteroidetes* (22.1 %),
233 *Proteobacteria* (5.5 %) and *Actinobacteria* (1.5 %) dominated the bacterial composition.
234 The total ASV counts from individual samples were very similar to the average relative
235 abundance: *Firmicutes* (40 % ± 24), *Fusobacteria* (30 % ± 17), *Bacteroidetes* (22 % ± 10),
236 *Proteobacteria* (6 % ± 4) and *Actinobacteria* (2 % ± 3) (Supplementary Table 2). Eighty-
237 two bacterial families could be assigned, of which 14 had a relative abundance ≥ 1 % of
238 the total ASV count. Five bacterial families accounted for 78.5 % of all read counts:
239 *Fusobacteriaceae* (28.2 %) belonging to the phylum *Fusobacteria*, *Bacteroidaceae* (15.5
240 %) from the phylum *Bacteroidetes*, and *Ruminococcaceae* (15.0 %), *Lachnospiraceae*
241 (10.4 %) and *Peptostreptococcaceae* (9.4 %) from the phylum *Firmicutes* (Fig. 3A and 3B)
242 (Supplementary Table 3). Forty-six ASVs were present in at least 50 % of the samples

243 (Supplementary Table 4). While fourteen ASVs were present in > 90 % of samples, only
244 three ASVs were present in all the samples, all of which were assigned to the genus
245 *Fusobacterium* (14.9 %, 6.5 % and 3.7 % of the total reads respectively) (Table 1).

246 **Alpha diversity**

247 Three alpha diversity indices (Chao1, Shannon-Weiner and Simpson) were used to
248 compare within-sample diversity between locations (Supplementary Table 5). Despite
249 Tierras Blancas showing a trend towards higher diversity in all analyses, the one-way
250 ANOVA results showed no significant differences between locations according to Chao 1
251 index ($F(3/47) = 2.45$, $p = 0.07$, $ges = 0.08$) and Shannon-Weiner index ($F(3/46) = 2.65$,
252 $p = 0.06$, $ges = 0.09$). The Simpson index ($\chi^2 = 8.26$, $p < 0.05$, $ges = \text{not provided}$)
253 on the other hand, showed a significant difference between locations. Post-hoc Dunnett's
254 and Dunn's tests consistently showed that samples from TB had higher mean and mean
255 rank values (respectively) than the other locations, especially when compared to Tierras
256 Blancas (Fig. 4, Supplementary fig. 2).

257 **Beta diversity**

258 Based on weighted Unifrac dissimilarity distance, 51.0 % (full dataset) and 53.8 % (core
259 dataset) of the total variation between samples could be explained by the first principal
260 component (PC1). No clustering of individual samples by location or year of collection
261 was observed. Similarly, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, which quantifies the differences in
262 ASV abundance, found that the first principal components in both the full and core datasets
263 explained 23.9 % and 29.8 % of the total variation respectively. In both data sets, a group
264 of samples (cluster 2) were clearly separated from the main cluster (cluster 1) along PC1

265 (Fig. 5, Supplementary fig. 3).

266 Based on the relative average abundance of the dominant phyla, evident differences in the
267 overall microbial composition were visualised between the two clusters (Fig. 6).
268 PERMANOVA evidenced a significant difference in the microbial composition between
269 the two clusters. This was consistent in both full ($F= 10.1$, $\text{Pr}(>F) = 0.001$, $R^2 = 16.3\%$)
270 and core datasets ($F= 13.6$, $\text{Pr}(>F) = 0.001$, $R^2 = 20.88\%$). SIMPER analysis identified
271 five genera that together contributed 71 % to the observed compositional difference
272 between the clusters. As expected, both *Fusobacterium* and *Peptoclostridium* were the
273 largest contributors (24 and 25 % respectively). Furthermore, the abundance of
274 *Fusobacterium* and *Peptoclostridium* were significantly different between clusters. Full
275 results of the SIMPER and Mann-Whitney U-tests are summarised in Table 2.

276 **Correlation analysis**

277 Spearman correlation analysis revealed that the genera *Bacteroides*, *Fusobacterium* and
278 *Peptoclostridium* were strong drivers of PC1 in both Bray-Curtis and Weighted Unifrac
279 PCoA analyses. In addition, the genera *Ruminoclostridium 9* and *Ruminococcaceae*
280 *NK4A214* were also found to be influential for PC1 in Bray-Curtis analysis (Fig. 7,
281 Supplementary Table 6). PCoA analyses showed strong negative correlations between PC1
282 and *Bacteroides* (Bray-Curtis, $\rho = -0.67$, $p \leq 0.001$); and between PC1 and *Fusobacterium*
283 (Bray-Curtis, $\rho = -0.92$, $p \leq 0.001$ and weighted Unifrac, $\rho = -0.94$, $p \leq 0.001$).
284 *Peptoclostridium*, on the other hand, was positively correlated with PC1 (Bray-Curtis, $\rho =$
285 0.81 , $p \leq 0.001$, and weighted Unifrac, $\rho = -0.75$, $p \leq 0.001$).

286

287 **Discussion:**

288 Marine mammal microbiome studies of free-ranging wild populations are rare, with many
289 of these studies being limited to a small number of individuals. Instead, most studies of
290 marine mammals have relied on data from dead or captive animals. To our knowledge, this
291 is one of the most extensive studies of the faecal microbiome in free-ranging pinnipeds and
292 the first of JFFS. Our approach focused on characterising the core members of the JFFS
293 faecal microbiome, identified at the genus level, providing a baseline for understanding
294 host-microbial interactions in this species. However, interpreting unexpected phenomena
295 in a dataset such as ours is made difficult by a lack of literature with results generated using
296 similar methodologies, as well as the various uncontrollable factors influencing wild
297 populations.

298 Consistent with previous reports in other pinniped species, five phyla dominated the JFFS
299 faecal microbiome: *Firmicutes*, *Fusobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria* and
300 *Actinobacteria* (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Bik *et al.*, 2016; Numberger *et al.*, 2016; Pacheco-
301 Sandoval *et al.*, 2019; Kim, Cho and Lee, 2020; Stoffel *et al.*, 2020). When comparing our
302 results to other southern pinnipeds, different microbial patterns were found in faeces from
303 other fur seal species (Medeiros *et al.*, 2016, Smith *et al.*, 2013). The faecal microbiome
304 described for both the South American (*Arctophoca australis australis*) and the sub-
305 Antarctic fur seals (*Arctophoca tropicalis*) is almost entirely dominated by *Firmicutes*
306 (88.56 % and 85.02 %). *Fusobacteria*, on the other hand, represents less than 1 % of the
307 bacterial community for both species (Medeiros *et al.*, 2016). The study involving these
308 two species collected samples from dead juvenile individuals. Thus, it is expected to find
309 altered microbiomes. Smith *et al.* (2013) characterised the faecal microbiome of Australian

310 fur seal (*Arctocephalus pusillus doriferus*) pups and adult females. The adult samples
311 showed similar proportions of *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Actinobacteria* as those
312 observed here for JFFS. *Fusobacteria* was not detected in any of the adults. However, the
313 authors only relied on fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) to detect these bacteria for
314 this age group.

315 Overall, pinniped gut microbiomes are very variable between and within species, possibly
316 due to differences in their geographic range (e.g., polar versus subtropical), diet (benthic
317 vs pelagic hunters, generalist versus specialist), or mating systems. One or more of
318 *Fusobacteria*, *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroides* (all three in the case of JFFS and harbour seals),
319 have been found to consistently dominate the overall microbial composition of pinnipeds,
320 followed by *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Pacheco-Sandoval *et*
321 *al.*, 2019). The latter two are usually at lower abundance and *Actinobacteria*, in particular,
322 has not been described in every pinniped species studied. Another interesting observation,
323 common to all the studies reviewed, including ours, is that when *Firmicutes* dominates, the
324 abundance of *Fusobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* decreases, suggesting some degree of
325 competition. The *Firmicutes* : *Bacteroidetes* ratio has been well documented in human and
326 mice. In these land mammals, the ratio increases in response to diets high in lipids and
327 decreases in response to large amounts of protein (Turnbaugh *et al.*, 2006; Hildebrandt *et*
328 *al.*, 2009; Pu *et al.*, 2016). We also observed changes in the relative abundance of
329 *Fusobacteria* were similar to those observed in *Bacteroidetes*. This suggests some
330 functionally redundant roles.

331 The phylum *Firmicutes* is common in mammalian gut microbiomes (Ley, *et al.*, 2008a;
332 Ley, *et al.*, 2008b). Members of this taxonomic group are well known for their role in

333 obesity in humans and mice, which is associated with an increase in *Firmicutes* and a
334 decrease in *Bacteroidetes* (Turnbaugh *et al.*, 2006; Hildebrandt *et al.*, 2009; Pu *et al.*,
335 2016). The energy harvesting role of *Firmicutes* has also been identified in the zebrafish
336 gut microbiome, where these bacteria are associated with an increase in lipid droplet
337 numbers in epithelial cells (Semova *et al.*, 2012). Fat is fundamental for marine mammal
338 survival, as it is needed for energy storage and thermoregulation and may explain why
339 *Firmicutes* is consistently among the most dominant phyla across all pinniped species
340 (Guerrero and Rogers, 2019).

341 The phylum *Fusobacteria* consists of facultative or strict anaerobes that produce various
342 organic acids from amino acids or carbohydrates fermentation (Olsen, 2014). This phylum
343 is usually found at high relative abundance in the gut microbiomes of strict carnivores
344 adapted to diets rich in proteins, purines and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Zhu *et al.*, 2018;
345 Guo *et al.*, 2020). Similar to other marine carnivores, *Fusobacteria* was one of the most
346 abundant phyla in JFFS (Pacheco-Sandoval *et al.*, 2019). Most of the knowledge generated
347 around the specific role *Fusobacteria* may play in mammalian intestinal tracts is based on
348 human-centred research. Even though some genus members seem to play a beneficial role
349 in the human gut microbiome, the presence of relatively high levels of the genus
350 *Fusobacterium* is more often associated with health issues (Potrykus, White and Bearne,
351 2008; Garrett and Onderdonk, 2014; Huh and Roh, 2020). Conversely, the high relative
352 abundance of this bacterial genus in the gut of carnivores suggests a rather symbiotic
353 relationship where *Fusobacterium* is likely to play a role in protein metabolism (Potrykus,
354 White and Bearne, 2008).

355 Similar to *Fusobacteria*, the phylum *Bacteroidetes*, especially members of the genus

356 *Bacteroides* are associated with diets high in animal proteins (Zhu *et al.*, 2018; Guo *et al.*,
357 2020). This genus, known for its capacity to degrade animal-derived glycans (Eilam *et al.*,
358 2014), was the most abundant Bacteroidetes. Similar to previous reports, JFFS samples
359 high in *Firmicutes* contained lower relative abundances of *Bacteroidetes* and *Fusobacteria*.
360 This phenomenon suggests differences in nutritional needs and will be discussed later in
361 the text.

362 **Within sample diversity**

363 Initially, we hypothesised that the alpha diversity of samples collected from BP, a key
364 access point to Robinson Crusoe island, was going to be different from other colonies. BP
365 is the most transited area in this study; it connects the airfield with the town and is a popular
366 leisure location for the local community (Fig. 1). We found instead that BP did not differ
367 from other less-visited locations such as EA and SC. Therefore, this finding is different to
368 a previous report showing an association between exposure to anthropogenic stressors and
369 reduced alpha diversity in harbour seals (Pacheco-Sandoval *et al.*, 2019). The colony at TB
370 was the only location with higher alpha diversity, indicating that samples collected from
371 TB had a richer and more evenly distributed microbial composition than other samples.
372 Bacterial richness has been previously associated with population density due to the
373 increase in microbial sharing (Li *et al.*, 2016). Alternative studies have suggested that
374 overcrowding might also negatively affect microbial diversity due to higher levels of stress
375 (Bharwani *et al.*, 2016; Partrick *et al.*, 2018). Lower diversity of the skin microbiome in
376 denser populations was also observed in *Arctocephalus gazella*, a closely related species
377 (Grosser *et al.*, 2019). Population density of JFFS and its effects on the microbiome has
378 not been studied. However, superficial observations from the field did not suggest

379 differences in population density between the colonies. It may therefore be that other
380 stressors were limiting alpha diversity in the other locations. For instance, the colony on
381 TB was relatively sheltered compared to the other colonies, as it was situated on an open
382 platform a few meters above sea level; in contrast, the other colonies were on narrow strips
383 of land with greater exposure to sea storms, rockfalls and landslides. Additionally, the
384 colony on TB is rarely visited by humans due to the complicated access. However, the
385 effects of location on alpha diversity were marginal. Nevertheless, the stress hypothesis
386 could be tested in future studies by measuring markers of stress (e.g., cortisol) in the faeces
387 (Wasser *et al.*, 2000).

388 Despite the trend showing how TB differed from the other locations, only one of the three
389 alpha diversity estimates (Simpson) showed TB to be statistically significantly different to
390 the other locations. The other two diversity estimates (Chao 1 richness and Shannon-
391 Weiner) did not reach our significance cut-off. Both these estimates are affected by the
392 detection of rare taxa and larger library and sample sizes are more likely to input rare taxa
393 to the data set. ANOVA was also used to compare locations with these diversity estimates.
394 ANOVA is sensitive to differences in sample size and so small group sizes may have
395 affected statistical power.

396

397 **Variation between samples**

398 The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity PCoA revealed two distinct clusters. Seventy-five per cent
399 of the samples clustered together in what we named cluster 1. The remaining samples were
400 grouped as cluster 2. This variation between clusters was mostly explained by the

401 differences in the relative abundance of the genera *Fusobacterium* and *Peptoclostridium*.
402 Samples in cluster 1 had a high relative abundance of *Fusobacterium* and very low
403 *Peptoclostridium* relative abundance, whilst samples in cluster 2 showed the opposite
404 pattern: increased *Peptoclostridium* and a significant drop in *Fusobacterium* relative
405 abundance. To our knowledge, this is the first time the genus *Peptoclostridium* (phylum
406 *Firmicutes*, class *Clostridia*) has been reported in a pinniped gut microbiome. The family
407 *Peptostreptococcaceae*, to which *Peptoclostridium* belongs, has been reported in previous
408 studies, but representing no more than 8 % of the total composition, and more often less
409 than 4 % (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Delport *et al.*, 2016; Pacheco-Sandoval *et al.*, 2019). On
410 average, *Peptoclostridium* represented 29 % of the microbial composition observed in
411 Cluster 2 versus the average 3 % observed in Cluster 1.

412 The genus *Peptoclostridium* was initially proposed in 2013 and validated in 2016 (Galperin
413 *et al.*, 2016). This poorly characterised taxonomic group is believed to metabolize amino
414 acids and oligopeptides and has been isolated from both wastewater-mud and marine
415 sediments (Galperin *et al.*, 2016). The SILVA 132 taxonomy reference database used in
416 this study included 144 members in the *Peptoclostridium* clade from which only 11 were
417 classified within the four known species of this genus (*P. litorale*, *P. acidaminophilum*, *P.*
418 *paradoxum* and *P. thermoalcaliphilum*). The remaining clade members were classified as
419 uncultured bacteria. It should be noted that depending on the taxonomic reference database
420 used, the taxonomic classification regarding members of the genus *Peptoclostridium* may
421 differ between studies. For instance, some studies may refer to species such as
422 *Clostridoides difficile* (previously known as *Clostridium*) as *Peptoclostridium difficile*
423 (*Pereira et al.*, 2016). All four species included in the SILVA 132 database have been

424 isolated from environments with little or no oxygen (Galperin *et al.*, 2016). Despite these
425 species being linked to environmental samples, *Peptoclostridium* was found in at least 90
426 % of the samples. The particular condition required for this bacterial species to thrive
427 makes it unlikely that the *Peptoclostridium* members found in JFFS faeces originated from
428 sample contamination by surrounding environmental bacteria. Such high prevalence may
429 be a sign of a deeper relationship between these uncharacterised bacteria and the host.

430 The microbiome is constantly reshaping through an individual's lifetime. Most of the
431 changes occur within symbiotic margins responding to factors such as diet, reproductive
432 state and age, but some changes may also result in dysbiosis and disease (Ley, *et al.*, 2008b;
433 Nicholson *et al.*, 2012). Despite the limited information available on free-range pinnipeds,
434 a few hypotheses may be suggested to explain the significant changes observed between
435 the two clusters reported in our study.

436 There is evidence that the mammalian gut microbiota changes over time. This difference
437 is particularly evident between suckling and post-weaning stages, possibly due to dietary
438 changes (milk vs solids). As discussed earlier, *Firmicutes* are known for their capacity to
439 regulate lipid absorption (Semova *et al.*, 2012). Juan Fernandez fur seal milk composition
440 contains a higher proportion of lipids in comparison to many pinnipeds (~ 41 %) (Ochoa-
441 Acuña, Francis and Oftedal, 1999). Thus, if the faecal samples from Cluster 2 were
442 collected from pre-weaning pups (7-10 months old), it may be expected that a higher
443 relative abundance of members of the phylum *Firmicutes* would be found. Similar to the
444 microbial pattern observed in Cluster 2, samples analysed from Australian fur seal were
445 dominated by the class *Clostridia* in six and nine months old pups (Smith *et al.*, 2013). In
446 the same study, the families *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* were the most

447 dominant family within this Class, while the overall relative abundance of
448 *Peptostreptococcaceae*, was less than 4 %. Despite age (preweaning diet) being a
449 reasonable explanation for the difference observed in our dataset, this hypothesis arrives
450 with a critical bias. Samples were collected between February and March, and at this point,
451 pups would be no older than four months. At this stage, pup faeces are still distinguishable
452 from older individuals in color and consistency. Individuals from the previous reproductive
453 season would be older than a year and milk would no longer form a part of their diet. This
454 suggests that pre-weaning diet is not the explanation for the abundance of
455 *Peptoclostridium*.

456 Differences between genders may also be an explanation of the difference in samples.
457 Otariids and Phocids such as northern and southern elephant seals exhibit an important
458 degree of sexual size dimorphism (Ralls and Mesnick, 2009). Gender differences in
459 foraging behaviour and prey selection have also been reported (Ochoa Acuña and Francis,
460 1995; Lewis *et al.*, 2006; Andersen *et al.*, 2013). Based on the differences in diets, it is not
461 surprising to find studies in gut microbial composition also showing gender-based
462 differences. Samples collected from adult Southern elephant seals evidenced significant
463 differences between adult males and females (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Kim, Cho and Lee,
464 2020). The same studies did not find differences in leopard or Weddel seals, less sexually
465 dimorphic phocids. Adult southern elephant seal females showed a significantly higher
466 relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and less *Fusobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* than males
467 (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Kim, Cho and Lee, 2020). The proportional changes are very similar
468 to the one observed between cluster 1 and 2 here. Cluster 2 shows patterns similar to those
469 observed in females. It seems that the microbial community diverges early in life based on

470 gender as reported in northern elephant seal pups under naturally controlled diet (Stoffel *et*
471 *al.*, 2020). Sexual dimorphism is a common mating strategy in otariids. Thus, it is possible
472 that otariids such as JFFS, show similar differences as the ones observed in elephant seals.
473 This hypothesis could be confirmed by using molecular methods for gender identification.
474 A commonality between the gender and age hypotheses is their relationship to the diet.
475 Differences in diet have been identified as one of the main drivers of gut microbiome
476 diversity (Ley, Hamady, *et al.*, 2008; Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Nishida and Ochman, 2018).
477 While pups rely on lipid-rich milk, fish from the family *Myctophidae* are the most
478 important prey of adult female JFFS (Francis, Boness and Ochoa-Acuña, 1998).
479 Myctophids are known to be rich in fatty acids (Lea, Nichols and Wilson, 2002; Baby,
480 Sankar and Anandan, 2014). Pacheco-Sandoval *et al.* (2019) showed that harbour seal
481 faecal samples containing more lipid-rich preys had a much higher abundance of
482 *Firmicutes* and lower *Fusobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* (Pacheco-Sandoval *et al.*, 2019).
483 Molecular identification of prey species in faecal samples, may therefore help to determine
484 whether diet is the driving factor behind the microbial differences observed here.

485

486 **Conclusion:**

487 This study characterised the faecal microbiome of the Juan Fernandez fur seal for the first
488 time, including colonies from two of the three islands of the Juan Fernandez archipelago
489 to which the species is endemic. Our findings showed that the overall microbiome
490 composition was similar to compositions described for other pinnipeds. However, some of
491 the samples showed a very different microbial composition pattern. This difference was
492 mostly explained by an inverse relationship between *Peptoclostridium* and *Fusobacterium*

493 abundance. Gender, and its relationship to foraging behaviour, seems to be the most likely
494 explanation of this phenomenon. However, additional studies investigating the relationship
495 between gender, age and prey are required to test this hypothesis. Overall, the results of
496 this study provide a good baseline from which future hypothesis-based studies can be
497 carried out and it contributes to the understanding of host-microbial interaction in free-
498 ranging, wild populations of pinnipeds. We highlight the need to expand knowledge in this
499 field, particularly on microbial functionality, to understand its different members' roles and
500 compare microbial patterns between and within species.

501 **Data availability**

502 Raw reads data are publicly available in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under
503 the study accession PRJEB36555. All the scripts used in this study can be accessed in
504 https://github.com/Cotissima/JFES_microbiome_first_characterisation.

505 **Author contributions**

506 **Conceptualisation:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso, Barbara Blacklaws.

507 **Formal analysis:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso, Barbara Blacklaws, Sam Stubbs, Frederick
508 Toro, Eduardo Castro-Nallar.

509 **Methodology:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso, Barbara Blacklaws.

510 **Fieldwork:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso.

511 **Funding acquisition:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso, Barbara Blacklaws, Eduardo Castro-
512 Nallar.

513 **Supervision:** Barbara Blacklaws.

514 **Writing – original draft:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso.

515 **Writing review & editing:** Constanza Toro-Valdivieso, Barbara Blacklaws, Eduardo

516 Castro-Nallar, Samuel Stubbs, Frederick Toro.

517 **Acknowledgements:**

518 We want to thank SERNAPESCA and the Chilean National Forestry Commission

519 (CONAF) in particular Guillermo Araya (Director of the Juan Fernandez National Park)

520 and the rangers Ángela García, Ramón Schiller and Danilo Arredondo for the crucial

521 support, teaching and friendship provided during fieldwork. Many thanks to Aerocardal

522 for sponsoring flights to the Juan Fernández Archipelago, and OIKONOS, especially

523 Héctor Gutierrez and Pablo Marríquez Angulo, for always giving a hand when needed.

524 PhD scholarship was provided to Constanza Toro-Valdivieso by The National Research

525 and Development Agency of Chile (ANID) in partnership with Cambridge Trust. Financial

526 support was provided by Newnham College and the Department of Veterinary Medicine,

527 University of Cambridge. Further support was provided by more than 60 people that

528 donated to our study via the Crowdfunding platform.

529 **Conflict of interest**

530 The authors confirm that they have no conflicts of interest related to the content of this

531 article.

532 **References:**

- 533 Aguayo, A., Maturana, R. and Torres, D. (1971) 'El lobo fino de Juan Fernández',
534 *Revista de biología marina y oceanografía*, 14(3), pp. 125–149. Available at:
535 <http://www.revbiolmar.cl/escaneados/143-135.pdf> (Accessed: 3 May 2017).
- 536 Altschul, S. F. *et al.* (1990) 'Basic local alignment search tool', *Journal of Molecular*
537 *Biology*, 215(3), pp. 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- 538 Andersen, J. M. *et al.* (2013) 'Investigating annual diving behaviour by hooded seals
539 (*Cystophora cristata*) within the northwest atlantic ocean', *PLoS ONE*. Edited by M.
540 Somers, 8(11), p. e80438. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080438.
- 541 Baby, L., Sankar, T. V. and Anandan, R. (2014) 'Comparison of lipid profile in three
542 species of myctophids from the south west coast of kerala, India', *National Academy*
543 *Science Letters*, 37(1), pp. 33–37. doi: 10.1007/s40009-013-0185-4.
- 544 Bharwani, A. *et al.* (2016) 'Structural and functional consequences of chronic
545 psychosocial stress on the microbiome and host', *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 63, pp.
546 217–227. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.001.
- 547 Bik, E. M. *et al.* (2016) 'Marine mammals harbor unique microbiotas shaped by and yet
548 distinct from the sea', *Nature Communications*, 7, p. 10516. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10516.
- 549 Blekhman, R. *et al.* (2016) 'Common methods for fecal sample storage in field studies
550 yield consistent signatures of individual identity in microbiome sequencing data OPEN'.
551 doi: 10.1038/srep31519.
- 552 Bolyen, E. *et al.* (2019) 'Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome
553 data science using QIIME 2', *Nature Biotechnology*. Nature Publishing Group, pp. 852–
554 857. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.
- 555 Bossart, G. D. (2011) 'Marine mammals as sentinel species for oceans and human
556 health', *Veterinary Pathology*, 48(3), pp. 676–690. doi: 10.1177/0300985810388525.
- 557 Callahan, B. J. *et al.* (2016) 'DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina
558 amplicon data', *Nature Methods*, 13(7), pp. 581–583. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869.
- 559 Delport, T. C. *et al.* (2016) 'Colony location and captivity influence the gut microbial
560 community composition of the Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*)', *Applied and*
561 *Environmental Microbiology*, 82(12), pp. 3440–3449. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00192-16.

562 Eilam, O. *et al.* (2014) ‘Glycan degradation (GlyDeR) analysis predicts mammalian gut
563 microbiota abundance and host diet-specific adaptations’, *mBio*, 5(4). doi:
564 10.1128/mBio.01526-14.

565 Francis, J., Boness, D. and Ochoa-Acuña, H. (1998) ‘A protracted foraging and
566 attendance cycle in female Juan Fernandez fur seals’, *Marine Mammal Science*, 14(3),
567 pp. 552–574. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.1998.tb00742.x.

568 Friedlander, A. M. *et al.* (2016) ‘Marine Biodiversity in Juan Fernández and
569 Desventuradas Islands, Chile: Global Endemism Hotspots’. doi:
570 10.1371/journal.pone.0145059.

571 Galperin, M. Y. *et al.* (2016) ‘Phylogenomic analysis of the family
572 peptostreptococcaceae (Clostridium cluster xi) and proposal for reclassification of
573 Clostridium litorale (Fendrich *et al.* 1991) and Eubacterium acidaminophilum (Zindel *et al.*
574 1989) as peptoclostridium litorale gen. nov.’, *International Journal of Systematic and*
575 *Evolutionary Microbiology*, 66(12), pp. 5506–5513. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.001548.

576 Garrett, W. S. and Onderdonk, A. B. (2014) ‘Bacteroides, Prevotella, Porphyromonas,
577 and Fusobacterium Species (and Other Medically Important Anaerobic Gram-Negative
578 Bacilli)’, in *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett’s Principles and Practice of Infectious*
579 *Diseases*. Elsevier Inc., pp. 2773–2780. doi: 10.1016/B978-1-4557-4801-3.00249-6.

580 Grosser, S. *et al.* (2019) ‘Fur seal microbiota are shaped by the social and physical
581 environment, show mother–offspring similarities and are associated with host genetic
582 quality’, *Molecular Ecology*, 28(9), pp. 2406–2422. doi: 10.1111/mec.15070.

583 Guerrero, A. I. and Rogers, T. L. (2019) ‘From low to high latitudes: Changes in fatty
584 acid desaturation in mammalian fat tissue suggest a thermoregulatory role’, *BMC*
585 *Evolutionary Biology*, 19(1), p. 155. doi: 10.1186/s12862-019-1473-5.

586 Guo, X. *et al.* (2020) ‘Diversification of animal gut microbes and NRPS gene clusters in
587 some carnivores, herbivores and omnivores’, *Biotechnology and Biotechnological*
588 *Equipment*, 34(1), pp. 1280–1287. doi: 10.1080/13102818.2020.1835536.

589 Halpern, B. S. *et al.* (2019) ‘Recent pace of change in human impact on the world’s
590 ocean’, *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-47201-9.

591 Hazen, E. L. *et al.* (2019) ‘Marine top predators as climate and ecosystem sentinels’,
592 *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. Wiley Blackwell, pp. 565–574. doi:
593 10.1002/fee.2125.

594 Hildebrandt, M. A. *et al.* (2009) ‘High-Fat Diet Determines the Composition of the
595 Murine Gut Microbiome Independently of Obesity’, *Gastroenterology*, 137(5). doi:
596 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.08.042.

597 Huh, J. W. and Roh, T. Y. (2020) ‘Opportunistic detection of *Fusobacterium nucleatum*
598 as a marker for the early gut microbial dysbiosis’, *BMC Microbiology*, 20(1). doi:
599 10.1186/s12866-020-01887-4.

600 Katoh, K. and Standley, D. M. (2013) ‘MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software
601 Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability’, *Molecular Biology and*
602 *Evolution*, 30(4), pp. 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010.

603 Kim, M., Cho, H. and Lee, W. Y. (2020) ‘Distinct gut microbiotas between southern
604 elephant seals and Weddell seals of Antarctica’, *Journal of Microbiology*, 58(12), pp.
605 1018–1026. doi: 10.1007/s12275-020-0524-3.

606 Kozich, J. J. *et al.* (2013) ‘Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation
607 pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing
608 platform.’, *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 79(17), pp. 5112–20. doi:
609 10.1128/AEM.01043-13.

610 Lea, M. A., Nichols, P. D. and Wilson, G. (2002) ‘Fatty acid composition of lipid-rich
611 myctophids and mackerel icefish (*Champscephalus gunnari*) - Southern Ocean food-
612 web implications’, *Polar Biology*, 25(11), pp. 843–854. doi: 10.1007/s00300-002-0428-1.

613 Lewis, R. *et al.* (2006) ‘Sex-specific foraging strategies and resource partitioning in the
614 southern elephant seal (*Mirounga leonina*)’, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
615 *Biological Sciences*, 273(1603), pp. 2901–2907. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3642.

616 Ley, R., Hamady, M., *et al.* (2008a) ‘Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes’,
617 *Science*, 320(5883), pp. 1647–1651. doi: 10.1073/pnas.102164299.

618 Ley, R., Lozupone, C., *et al.* (2008b) ‘Worlds within worlds: Evolution of the vertebrate
619 gut microbiota’, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(10), pp. 776–788. doi:
620 10.1038/nrmicro1978.

621 Li, H. *et al.* (2016) ‘Pika Population Density Is Associated with the Composition and
622 Diversity of Gut Microbiota’, *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7(MAY), p. 758. doi:
623 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00758.

624 Medeiros, A. W. *et al.* (2016) ‘Characterization of the faecal bacterial community of wild
625 young South American (*Arctocephalus australis*) and Subantarctic fur seals
626 (*Arctocephalus tropicalis*)’, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 92(3). doi:
627 10.1093/femsec/fiw029.

628 McKnight, D. T. *et al.* (2019) ‘Methods for normalizing microbiome data: An ecological
629 perspective’, *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10(3), pp. 389–400. doi: 10.1111/2041-
630 210X.13115.

631 McMurdie, P. J. and Holmes, S. (2013) ‘phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible
632 Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data’, *PLoS ONE*. Edited by
633 M. Watson, 8(4), p. e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217.

634 Nelson, T. M. *et al.* (2013) ‘Diet and phylogeny shape the gut microbiota of Antarctic
635 seals: A comparison of wild and captive animals’, *Environmental Microbiology*, 15(4),
636 pp. 1132–1145. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12022.

637 Nelson, T. M., Rogers, T. L. and Brown, M. V. (2013) ‘The gut bacterial community of
638 mammals from marine and terrestrial habitats’, *PLoS ONE*. Edited by J. A. Gilbert, 8(12),
639 p. e83655. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083655.

640 Nicholson, J. K. *et al.* (2012) ‘Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions’, *Science*.
641 American Association for the Advancement of Science, pp. 1262–1267. doi:
642 10.1126/science.1223813.

643 Nishida, A. H. and Ochman, H. (2018) ‘Rates of gut microbiome divergence in
644 mammals’, *Molecular Ecology*, 27(8), pp. 1884–1897. doi: 10.1111/mec.14473.

645 Numberger, D. *et al.* (2016) ‘Comparative analysis of the fecal bacterial community
646 of five harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*).’, *MicrobiologyOpen*, 5(5), pp. 782–792. doi:
647 10.1002/mbo3.369.

648 O’Hara, A. M. and Shanahan, F. (2006) ‘The gut flora as a forgotten organ’, *EMBO*
649 *Reports*. EMBO Press, pp. 688–693. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400731.

650 Ochoa-Acuña, H., Francis, J. M. and Oftedal, O. T. (1999) ‘Influence of long
651 intersuckling interval on composition of milk in the Juan Fernández fur seal,
652 *Arctocephalus philippii*’, *Journal of Mammalogy*, 80(3), pp. 758–767. doi:
653 10.2307/1383245.

654 Ochoa Acuña, H. and Francis, J. M. (1995) ‘Spring and summer prey of the Juan
655 Fernandez fur seal, *Arctocephalus philippii*’, *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 73(8), pp.
656 1444–1452. doi: 10.1139/z95-170.

657 Olsen, I. (2014) ‘The Family Fusobacteriaceae’, in Rosenberg, E. *et al.* (eds) *The*
658 *Prokaryotes*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 109–132.

659 Pacheco-Sandoval, A. *et al.* (2019) ‘The Pacific harbor seal gut microbiota in Mexico: Its
660 relationship with diet and functional inferences’, *PLOS ONE*. Edited by J. J. Loor, 14(8),
661 p. e0221770. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0221770.

662 Partrick, K. A. *et al.* (2018) ‘Acute and repeated exposure to social stress reduces gut
663 microbiota diversity in Syrian hamsters’, *Behavioural Brain Research*, 345, pp. 39–48.
664 doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2018.02.005.

665 Pereira, F. L. *et al.* (2016) ‘Complete genome sequence of *Peptoclostridium difficile*
666 strain Z31’, *Gut Pathogens*, 8(1), p. 11. doi: 10.1186/s13099-016-0095-3.

667 Pompa, S., Ehrlich, P. R. and Ceballos, G. (2011) ‘Global distribution and conservation
668 of marine mammals.’, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
669 *States of America*, 108(33), pp. 13600–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1101525108.

670 Potrykus, J., White, R. L. and Bearne, S. L. (2008) ‘Proteomic investigation of amino
671 acid catabolism in the indigenous gut anaerobe *Fusobacterium varium*’, *PROTEOMICS*,
672 8(13), pp. 2691–2703. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200700437.

673 Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. and Arkin, A. P. (2010) ‘FastTree 2 - Approximately
674 maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments’, *PLoS ONE*, 5(3), p. e9490. doi:
675 10.1371/journal.pone.0009490.

676 Pu, S. *et al.* (2016) ‘Interactions between Obesity Status and Dietary Intake of
677 Monounsaturated and Polyunsaturated Oils on Human Gut Microbiome Profiles in the
678 Canola Oil Multicenter Intervention Trial (COMIT)’, *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7(OCT),
679 p. 1612. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01612.

680 Quast, C. *et al.* (2013) ‘The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
681 processing and web-based tools’, *Nucleic*, 41(D1), pp. D590–D596. doi:
682 10.1093/nar/gks1219.

683 R Core Team (2019) *R: The R Project for Statistical Computing*, R Foundation for
684 *Statistical Computing*. Available at: <https://www.r-project.org/> (Accessed: 29 July 2020).

685 Ralls, K. and Mesnick, S. L. (2009) *Sexual Dimorphism*. 2nd edn, *Encyclopedia of*
686 *Marine Mammals*. 2nd edn. Edited by W. F. Perrin, W. Bernd, and J. G. M. Thewissen.
687 London: Elsevier Science & Technology. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-373553-9.00233-9.

688 Redford, K. H. *et al.* (2012) ‘Conservation and the Microbiome’, *Conservation Biology*,
689 pp. 195–197. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2012.01829.x.

690 Salter, S. J. *et al.* (2014) ‘Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact
691 sequence-based microbiome analyses’, *BMC Biology*, 12(1), p. 87. doi: 10.1186/s12915-
692 014-0087-z.

693 Semova, I. *et al.* (2012) ‘Microbiota regulate intestinal absorption and metabolism of
694 fatty acids in the zebrafish’, *Cell Host and Microbe*, 12(3), pp. 277–288. doi:
695 10.1016/j.chom.2012.08.003.

696 Shade, A. and Handelsman, J. (2012) ‘Beyond the Venn diagram: The hunt for a core
697 microbiome’, *Environmental Microbiology*. *Environ Microbiol*, pp. 4–12. doi:
698 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02585.x.

699 Simon Andrews (2010) *Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for*
700 *High Throughput Sequence Data*. Available at:
701 <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/> (Accessed: 28 July 2020).

702 Smith, S. C. *et al.* (2013) ‘Age-related differences revealed in australian fur seal
703 *arctocephalus pusillus doriferus* gut microbiota’, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 86(2), pp.
704 246–255. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12157.

705 Stoffel, M. A. *et al.* (2020) ‘Early sexual dimorphism in the developing gut microbiome
706 of northern elephant seals’, *Molecular Ecology*, p. mec.15385. doi: 10.1111/mec.15385.

707 Trevelline, B. K. *et al.* (2019) ‘Conservation biology needs a microbial renaissance: A
708 call for the consideration of host-associated microbiota in wildlife management
709 practices’, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. Royal Society
710 Publishing. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2018.2448.

711 Trites, A. W. (2019) ‘Marine Mammal Trophic Levels and Trophic Interactions’, in
712 Cochran, J. K., Bokuniewicz, J. H., and Yager, P. L. (eds) *Encyclopedia of Ocean*
713 *Sciences*. 3rd edn. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 589–594. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.11618-
714 5.

715 Turnbaugh, P. J. *et al.* (2006) ‘An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased
716 capacity for energy harvest’, *Nature*, 444(7122), pp. 1027–1031. doi:
717 10.1038/nature05414.

718 Vlčková, K. *et al.* (2012) ‘Evaluation of different storage methods to characterize the
719 fecal bacterial communities of captive western lowland gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*)’,
720 *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 91(1), pp. 45–51. doi:
721 10.1016/j.mimet.2012.07.015.

722 Wasser, S. K. *et al.* (2000) ‘A generalized fecal glucocorticoid assay for use in a diverse
723 array of nondomestic mammalian and avian species’, *General and Comparative*
724 *Endocrinology*, 120(3), pp. 260–275. doi: 10.1006/gcen.2000.7557.

725 Zhu, L. *et al.* (2018) ‘Adaptive evolution to a high purine and fat diet of carnivorans
726 revealed by gut microbiomes and host genomes’, *Environmental Microbiology*, 20(5), pp.
727 1711–1722. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14096.

728

729 **Tables**

Table 1. Amplicon sequence variants present in at least 90% of the samples. Only three were present in all the samples. Unrarefied data was used to build this table. Abundances (abun) was calculated based on the total ASVs counts.

ASV	Phylum	Family	Genus	Abun (%)
Present in all the samples				
57729b2b058d8d5253d3e56e4f6386ca	<i>Fusobacteria</i>	<i>Fusobacteriaceae</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i>	14.93
e8b1922518029c50c69add839142db03	<i>Fusobacteria</i>	<i>Fusobacteriaceae</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i>	6.52
c0dc53aad260a1b951b7f99966251c7c	<i>Fusobacteria</i>	<i>Fusobacteriaceae</i>	<i>Fusobacterium</i>	3.73
Present in at least 90% of the samples				
f347c63fc5e4aeb97531e656e3765e2a	<i>Firmicutes</i>	<i>Peptostreptococcaceae</i>	<i>Peptoclostridium</i>	8.29
57f9edc6542ce6b78ff352942d6774c6	<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	<i>Bacteroidaceae</i>	<i>Bacteroides</i>	4.28
31984a302fdfe46b5e852fa473e682a4	<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	<i>Bacteroidaceae</i>	<i>Bacteroides</i>	4.26
1153942c5cc40d6ba5609222ded586fe	<i>Firmicutes</i>	<i>Lachnospiraceae</i>	<i>Coprococcus 3</i>	2.98
65dd9f625700a97a1cce9f5eefe4e6cb	<i>Firmicutes</i>	<i>Lachnospiraceae</i>	<i>Blautia</i>	2.18
435975b6d032d4b05233d8b94193b2ad	<i>Firmicutes</i>	<i>Lachnospiraceae</i>	<i>[Ruminococcus] gauvreauii group</i>	1.93
03f74c0ea1f0654719b21d2701e9fa30	<i>Proteobacteria</i>	<i>Burkholderiaceae</i>	<i>Sutterella</i>	1.30
8e10797dedc288dbc0be61fe4b5a5dfb	<i>Actinobacteria</i>	<i>Coriobacteriaceae</i>	<i>Collinsella</i>	1.16

730

Table 2. SIMPER analysis comparing the faecal microbiota composition of Juan Fernandez fu seal at the genus level. The table showing up to a cumulative contribution of 70%. Cluster averages were calculated based on total counts. Kruskal-Wallis results are only shown when reaching significant difference.

Genus	Mean cluster 1	Mean cluster 2	Mean Diss	Contrib (%)	Cum (%)	w	P-value
<i>Peptoclostridium</i>	3%	29%	17%	25	25	3	<0.001
<i>Fusobacterium</i>	34%	8%	17%	24	49	456	<0.001
<i>Bacteroides</i>	14%	6%	7%	10	59	365.5	0.006
Ruminococcaceae UCG-005	4%	7%	4%	6	65		No sig
<i>[Ruminococcus] gauvreauii group</i>	1%	6%	4%	5	70	124	0.06

731

732

733 **Figure legends**

734 **Figure 1.** Juan Fernandez fur seal (*Arctophoca philippii philippii*).

735

736 **Figure 2.** Simplified map of Robinson Crusoe and Santa Clara islands. The plane indicates
737 the airfield and the dotted line the access route from the airfield to San Juan Bautista Village
738 (the only settlement on the island). Fur seal icons show the sampling locations. El Arenal
739 (EA) (n = 9), Bahia El Padre (BP) (n = 23), Piedra Carvajal (PC) (n = 1), Punta Trueno
740 (PT) (n = 1), Santa Clara (SC) (n = 12), Tierras Blancas (TB) (n = 10) and Vaqueria (V) (n
741 = 1). 57 samples in total.

742

743 **Figure 3.** Composition of the Juan Fernandez fur seal faecal microbiome at the family
744 level. Only families with >1% relative abundance are shown. A) Average relative
745 abundance across all samples with standard deviations. B) Relative abundance per sample
746 grouped by location: EA= El Arenal, BP= Bahia El Padre, PC = Piedra Carvajal, PT= Punta
747 Truenos, SC= Santa Clara, TB= Tierras Blancas, V= Vaqueria.

748

749 **Figure 4.** Comparison of three different alpha diversity indices between the four
750 reproductive colonies in the Juan Fernandez archipelago. Samples collected from Tierras
751 Blancas show a tendency to have higher levels of alpha diversity. Filtered rarefied data was
752 used to calculate the diversity estimates.

753

754 **Figure 5.** PCoA using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance matrix using the filtered rarefied
755 core dataset. Samples clustered in two groups. (circles = cluster 1, triangles = cluster 2).
756 Location is not driving the clustering.

757

758 **Figure 6.** Relative average abundance of the dominant phyla according to the clusters
759 identified with Bray Curtis dissimilarity. Showing only phyla with an average relative
760 abundance ≥ 1 %. The differences in microbial patterns can be identified from high
761 taxonomic levels.

762

763 **Figure 7.** Spearman rank correlation correlogram between bacterial genera and the first
764 two principal components generated from Unifrac WU_PC1 and WU_PC2 and Bray-
765 Curtis (BC_PC1 and BC_PC2) distances. The plot shows the direction (blue = positive, red
766 = negative) and the strength (larger = stronger) of the correlation between each pair
767 combination. Only significant correlations ($p \leq 0.05$) are represented with circles.