Membrane architecture and adherens junctions contribute to strong Notch pathway activation
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Abstract

The Notch pathway mediates cell-to-cell communication in a variety of tissues, developmental stages and organisms. Pathway activation relies on the interaction between transmembrane ligands and receptors on adjacent cells. As such, pathway activity could be influenced by the size, composition or dynamics of contacts between membranes. The initiation of Notch signalling in the Drosophila embryo occurs during cellularization, when lateral cell membranes and adherens junctions are first being deposited, allowing us to investigate the importance of membrane architecture and specific junctional domains for signaling. By measuring Notch dependent transcription in live embryos we established that it initiates while lateral membranes are growing and that signalling onset correlates with a specific phase in their formation. However, the length of the lateral membranes per se was not limiting. Rather, the adherens
junctions, which assemble concurrently with membrane deposition, contributed to the high levels of signalling required for transcription, as indicated by the consequences from depleting α-Catenin. Together, these results demonstrate that the establishment of lateral membrane contacts can be limiting for Notch trans-activation and suggest that adherens junctions play an important role in modulating Notch activity.

**Introduction**

The Notch pathway is a cell-cell signalling pathway conserved across animals with widespread roles in development, homeostasis and disease. Following interactions between the Notch receptor and its transmembrane ligands of the Delta or Serrate/Jagged families in adjacent cells, Notch is cleaved and the intracellular domain (NICD) translocates to the nucleus, where it regulates transcription of target genes. As ligands are transmembrane proteins (except for some examples in *C. elegans*, D’Souza et al. 2008; Chen and Greenwald 2004) signalling is limited to cells that are directly in contact, although in some cases the contacts may occur through long cellular processes that extend a considerable distance (Hunter et al. 2019; Boukhatmi et al. 2020; Cohen et al. 2010; Hamada et al. 2014; Nelson et al. 2013). Tissue geometry and the nature of the cell contacts will thus impact on the levels as well as the duration of signal that a cell receives from its neighbours (Shaya et al. 2017). Elucidating the contributions from tissue architecture to Notch signalling will therefore be important to understand how signalling is effectively deployed in the different processes it controls.

An example where the acquisition of cell architecture may be important is during cellularization in *Drosophila*. Profound morphological changes take place at this stage, which corresponds to the onset of Notch signalling in the mesectoderm, a stripe of cells located between the mesoderm and ectoderm that gives rise to the future midline of the ventral nerve cord (Nambu et al. 1990; Morel and Schweisguth 2000; Morel et al. 2003). Prior to nuclear cycle 14 (nc14), the *Drosophila* embryo is a syncytium - the nuclei divide but are not separated by membranes. During nc14 membranes ingress to build intracellular membranes surrounding each nucleus, creating ~6000 cells, a process referred to as cellularization (Foe and Alberts 1983; Lecuit and...
In analyzing the real-time response of two well-characterized Notch responsive mesectodermal enhancers - m5/m8 from E(spl)-C and the mesectodermal enhancer from single-minded (sim) (Martín-Bermudo et al. 1995; Cowden and Levine 2002; Zinzen et al. 2006; Hong et al. 2013) - during nc14, we observed that Notch dependent transcription was first detectable at 30 min into nc14 (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019). This differs from other enhancers active at this stage, which exhibit high levels of activity from the beginning of nc14 (Garcia et al. 2013; Bothma et al. 2014; Bothma et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2017). Ectopic production of NICD, which does not depend on membrane release and trafficking, from the beginning of nc14 was sufficient to produce earlier m5/m8 and sim activity, suggesting that factors downstream of NICD production, such as co-activators or chromatin landscape, are not limiting when transcription normally initiates. Based on the fact that, under normal conditions, the two Notch responsive enhancers have similar onset times, we hypothesized that signalling is normally initiated at that time, leading to a sharp release of NICD to initiate transcription (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019). Key components required for ligand endocytosis and Notch activation, including the E3 ligase Neuralized, are produced at this stage (Price et al. 1993; Morel et al. 2003; De Renzis et al. 2006) and likely delimit when signalling can be initiated. However, it is difficult to envisage how their expression could result in the tightly synchronised transcription onset times that were observed. An alternate possibility is that additional, highly coordinated morphological events, such as the formation of lateral membranes and cell junctions or the alterations in nuclear morphology (Brandt et al. 2006; Pilot et al. 2006) are involved in gating signalling activity.

The timing and progression of cellularization is coordinated by two zygotically expressed proteins, Slam and Nullo, which are localized to the basal domain of the ingressing membranes (Hunter and Wieschaus 2000; Lecuit et al. 2002; Postner and Wieschaus 1994; Rose and Wieschaus 1992; Wieschaus and Sweeton 1988; Simpson 1990; Acharya et al. 2014). Slam activates Rho signaling by recruiting RhoGEF2 to the prospective basal domain, where it promotes actin polymerization.
and actomyosin contractility, resulting in furrow invagination (Wenzl et al. 2010). Likewise, Nullo stabilizes the lateral furrows by regulating endocytic dynamics, which helps localize proteins to the basal junctions and impacts on actomyosin contractility (Sokac and Wieschaus 2008a; Sokac and Wieschaus 2008b). As cellularization proceeds, cadherin-catenin complexes are assembled into first basal and then apical Adherens Junctions (AJs) that delimit the apical and basolateral domains (Hunter and Wieschaus 2000; Kramer 2000). This step-wise progression of lateral membrane growth and junction formation offers a unique opportunity to explore the relationship between lateral membrane growth and competence for Notch signalling. We hypothesized that Notch signalling cannot initiate until the appropriate membrane domains are formed and matured, so that the ligand and receptor can be appropriately juxtaposed. However, it has also been proposed that cis-activation can occur, whereby productive interactions take place between the ligand and receptor in the same cell, either on the cell surface or on intracellular membrane vesicles (e.g. endosomes) (Coumailleau et al. 2009; Nandagopal et al. 2019). It has also been suggested that signaling initiates gradually from the beginning of nc14, before cellularization starts (Viswanathan et al. 2019), which might imply a cis-activation mechanism. By investigating the onset of signalling during cellularization we aim to resolve these models.

To distinguish the different models to explain signalling onset, we have assessed which processes during cellularization can affect the timing and/or levels of Notch dependent transcription in the mesectoderm. We find that Notch and Delta are present on the ingrowing lateral membranes and that signalling onset is highly correlated with membrane growth, but not with nuclear shape changes. Furthermore, the results suggest that the presence of lateral membranes per se is not sufficient for activation, and that high levels of signalling also require the establishment of cellular junctions, whose integrity regulates the turnover of Notch at the membrane. Whether the junctions contribute directly or indirectly to the signalling capabilities remains to be established, but the evidence clearly points to membrane morphogenesis, and the establishment of signalling competent membrane domains, as a key determinant for the initiation of Notch signalling in the embryo.
Results

Initiation of Notch-Delta signaling coincides with growth of lateral membranes

Notch dependent transcription in the mesectoderm is first detected approximately 30-35 minutes after the mitosis that marks the start of nc14, as illustrated by activity of m5/m8 enhancer (Zinzen et al. 2006; Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019). Expression from this enhancer initiates sharply at this time and rapidly achieves high levels of activity. It’s behaviour differs from that of other enhancers at this stage, which are active from the start of nc14 (Fig. 1AB). High levels of NICD can bypass the temporal restriction, directing much earlier expression of both m5/m8 and another Notch-regulated enhancer (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019), arguing that they are competent to respond in early nc14 and that another step, besides enhancer accessibility, is limiting transcription onset. Nuclear maturation and cellularization are two developmental processes that occur during nc14 and could potentially govern the onset of Notch dependent transcription in a direct or indirect manner. We therefore began by characterizing how each of these processes related to the timing of transcription, measured using the MS2/MCP system (Garcia et al. 2013) to detect activity from the Notch-dependent m5/m8 enhancer in real time.

The substantial changes in nuclear morphology that occur during nc14 include volume and shape changes and alterations in pore clustering (Brandt et al. 2006; Pilot et al. 2006; Hampoelz et al. 2016) that could affect the entry of transcription factors (e.g. Twist) that prime the enhancers. To quantify these nuclear changes, embryos expressing Nup107-GFP (Katsani et al. 2008) were imaged live and the nuclear dimensions and eccentricity measured over time. Nuclei underwent substantial elongation in the apico-basal axis and increased in volume during the first 40 min of nc14 (Brandt et al. 2006; Pilot et al. 2006) (Fig. 1C, S1A, Movie 1). In addition, after approximately 25 min into nc14, there was an increase in eccentricity of nuclear medial slices, indicative of indentations (‘wrinkles’) appearing in the nuclear envelope (Fig. 1C, S1A, Movie 1) (Brandt et al. 2006; Pilot et al. 2006). This transition
to ‘wrinkling’ occurred around the time when signalling-dependent transcription is initiated.

Similarly, we used the membrane marker Spider-GFP (Gilgamesh, Morin et al. 2001) to track the inward growing, lateral, membranes during cellularization and to quantify their growth. In agreement with previous reports, we could detect an initial slow phase of membrane ingrowth, which lasted circa 30-35 minutes, followed by a fast phase, where the membranes extended more rapidly to complete cellularization (Foe and Alberts 1983; Lecuit and Wieschaus 2000; Lecuit et al. 2002), (Fig. 1D, S1B). By the end of the slow phase, membranes had reached the inferior margin of the nucleus (Fig. 1D). This corresponded approximately to the time at which Notch dependent transcription usually initiates (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019). Furthermore, these ingrowing lateral membranes carried Notch and Delta. Tracking GFP-tagged Notch, produced from a genomic construct expressing at normal levels (Notch-GFP, Couturier et al. 2012) and endogenously-tagged Delta (Dl-mScarlet, Boukhatmi et al. 2020) revealed that the location of both proteins expanded basally at the same rate as cellularization progressed (Fig. 2A, S2A), although there may be some apical bias in their distribution, and that Dl-mScarlet tracked with E-cadherin (Shg-GFP) (Fig. S2BC). Thus, lateral membranes containing Notch and Delta have partially formed at the time when signaling commences.

To relate the time when Notch dependent transcription initiates with lateral membrane growth in real time, we monitored transcription directed by the $m5/m8$ enhancer in the presence of the membrane marker Gap43-mCherry (Fabrowski et al. 2013) which was used to track lateral membrane growth in cells within and close to the MSE. Results revealed that the onset of $m5/m8$ dependent transcription occurred when the membranes had grown, on average, $\sim 20 \, \mu m$ (Fig. 2B, Movie 3). At a similar stage, Delta membrane-levels became modulated in the mesodermal cells, where it was primarily detected in bright puncta close to the membrane. These changes in Dl localization occurred throughout the mesoderm, but not in the mesectodermal cells where $m5/m8$ transcription was initiated (Fig. 2C), and likely correspond to increased Delta endocytosis driven by Neuralized, as reported previously (Morel et al. 2003;
Based on the onset of the transcriptional read-out, these data indicate that productive Notch-Delta signaling is initiated after lateral membranes have started to form, during the transition between the slow and fast phases of membrane elongation, and significantly before cellularization finishes. This also corresponds to the period when the nuclei are undergoing morphological changes associated with the maturation of nuclear membranes and pores (Fig. 1E).

**Lateral membranes are limiting for Notch signalling**

To distinguish the contributions from nuclear morphogenesis and lateral membrane formation on Notch signaling, we used mutations to perturb each process. First we investigated the consequences from disrupting nuclear shape-changes. *kugelkern (kuk)* encodes a nuclear lamina protein required for nuclear elongation and wrinkling at nc14 (*Pilot et al. 2006; Brandt et al. 2006*). To produce mutant embryos in the context of our experimental assays, we used *kuk[EY07696]*, a characterized allele that has reduced Kuk levels but a milder phenotype than a null allele (*Pilot et al. 2006*). In agreement with previous studies, nuclei in maternal and zygotic *kuk[EY07696]* mutant embryos (*kuk* M/Z) had significantly reduced eccentricity, correlating with a reduction in their indentations (Fig. 3AB, S3A), while the overall nuclear volume was unaffected. Transcription directed by *m5/m8* was unaltered in *kuk[EY07696]* mutant embryos; mean levels, onset and transcription profiles were similar to controls (Fig. 3CDE, S3B). These data suggest that the stage-specific change in nuclear membrane wrinkling is not required for the normal onset and levels of Notch dependent transcription. However we cannot rule out the possibility that there could be more subtle changes in the nuclear membrane, such as in the functional organization of nuclear pores, that could have a role.

Second, we asked whether the formation of lateral membranes is a limiting factor in pathway activation, by analyzing the consequences on *m5/m8* transcription from a mutation in the zygotic gene *slam*, which disrupts cellularization (*Lecuit et al. 2002*). Membrane formation was quantified by capturing a cross-section of the embryo in every
time-point using transmitted light (Fig. 4B), and measuring the length of lateral membranes to determine the time-points when the cellularization front reached specific positions (Fig. 1E) (Lecuit and Wieschaus 2000; Lecuit et al. 2002; Acharya et al. 2014). In this way we obtained a read-out for the overall cellularization speed in an individual embryo but could not specifically quantify membrane progression in the MSE where signalling is occurring. This analysis confirmed that cellularization was blocked in homozygous mutant embryos for slam: all phases of cellularization were slowed down and it was fully arrested in 3 out of 4 embryos (Fig. 4BC). Strikingly, mesectoderm nuclei exhibited almost no m5/m8 transcriptional activity in homozygous slam mutant embryos. A few nuclei initiated sporadic transcription at the same time as in control embryos, but this lasted only a few minutes (Fig. 4DE, S4AB, Movie 4). As a result, mean levels of m5/m8 transcription were close to background (Fig. 4F). These data argue that, in contrast to nuclear morphogenesis, normal lateral membrane formation is important for signalling to initiate and be maintained.

Live imaging was performed on all of the progeny from the genetic cross and it was notable that a significant proportion of the embryos that were not homozygous slam mutants also displayed abnormal cellularization. In these, likely slam+/− heterozygous embryos, lateral membrane growth was significantly slowed (Fig. 4C). Because we could not definitively distinguish the slam+/− heterozygous embryos from any pseudo-normal homozygous balancer embryos, we quantified transcription onset and cellularization times in all non slam−/− embryos in an unbiased way. The results revealed a striking relationship between cellularization time-points and the onset of m5/m8 activity (first quartile of onset times), with the strongest correlation with the time when membranes reached the basal end of nuclei ($R^2 = 0.76$) (Fig. 4G, S4CF). Delta localization appeared normal in slam−/− mutant embryos until lateral membrane growth was arrested or delayed (Fig. S4DE). Delta thus occupies the available lateral membrane territory in each condition.

Together, these observations indicate that Delta-Notch signalling initiates after the lateral membranes have partially formed but before cellularization finishes. The correlation between onset of transcription and membrane progression suggests that a specific step during cellularization determines when signalling can start. One possibility
is that the membrane length per se is limiting because it determines the amount of Notch and Delta that are available for signaling. Alternatively, the formation of a specific membrane domain or junction may be the limiting factor that enables productive Notch-Delta interactions.

**Adherens junctions contribute to Notch activation**

To investigate whether the onset of signaling is limited by the dimensions of the lateral membrane per se or by the establishment of specific domains, such as AJs, we first examined the m5/m8 transcriptional profiles in embryos mutant for nullo, in which furrow canal components are mislocalized and furrow canals are destabilized. In these embryos, the majority of lateral membranes are formed but the transient basal adherens junctions (BAJs) are perturbed (Postner and Wieschaus 1994; Hunter and Wieschaus 2000; Hunter et al. 2002). As the apical adherens junctions (AAJs) are subsequently established normally (Hunter and Wieschaus 2000; Hunter et al. 2002) (Fig. 5A) nullo mutants would distinguish whether the BAJs are required.

Overall, the cellularization fronts in nullo hemizygous embryos progressed at a similar mean rate to control embryos, indicating there was not a global defect in lateral membrane growth (Fig. 5BC) during the early stages of cellularization. Similarly, the overall mean transcription levels and onset times for m5/m8 in nullo hemizygous embryos resembled those of control embryos, suggesting that BAJs are not essential for Notch activity (Fig. 5E, S5ABC, Movie 4). We note that a few nuclei failed to initiate transcription, giving rise to a more disorganized and patchy stripe of mesectodermal m5/m8 activity (Fig. 5D), which could be due to altered signalling in the absence of neighbouring cell membranes (some multinucleate cells were visible at later time points). However, because we were unable to visualize membranes simultaneously with the MS2 system, we did not have the single-cell precision to directly confirm this hypothesis.

Although the overall mean transcription levels and onset times in nullo hemizygous embryos were similar to wild type (Fig. 5E, S5AB), on an embryo-by-embryo basis there was more variability in the transcription onset times of nullo mutant embryos than for controls. We therefore made a comparison between the transcription onset times
and the cellularization times for each embryo, as we had done for the slam mutants. In contrast to those embryos, there was no correlation between transcription onset times and cellularization in nullo+/+/-, nullo− embryos when all were considered together (Fig. 5F, S5DE). This suggests that, although lateral membrane growth is important, the overall lateral membrane length is not the limiting parameter for initiation of Notch signaling, and that other consequences from removing Nullo are responsible for the increased variability in onset times.

The results suggest that features associated with the lateral membranes are required for Notch signalling to be initiated. AAJs, which form at a similar time to the onset of m5/m8 transcription, appear as normal in nullo mutants, unlike BAJs, and could be a critical feature for Notch activation (Hunter and Wieschaus 2000). Therefore we next investigated the consequences on m5/m8 directed transcription of disrupting all AJs, by depleting the key junctional linker, α-Catenin (α-Cat) (Staller et al. 2013). Maternal RNAi knockdown (KD) led to a marked depletion of α-Cat mRNA and protein (Fig. S6AB), resulting in 100% embryos with gastrulation failure but with only modest delays in cellularization (Fig. S6C). Strikingly, Notch dependent transcription was affected in these α-Cat KD embryos in advance of any gastrulation defects. The main consequences were a disruption of the mesectodermal stripe (Fig. 6A) and an overall reduction in the mean levels of transcription without affecting the onset times (Fig. 6CD, Movie 5). This was due to a shift in the distribution of activity-levels, with many nuclei exhibiting a marked reduction in their overall mRNA output (Fig. 6E, S6D).

To determine whether α-Cat contribution to Notch signalling is relevant in the context of endogenous gene activity, we tagged with MS2 loops one of the Notch target genes proposed to be regulated by the m5/m8 enhancer - E(spl)m8-HLH (Zinzen et al. 2006). In a similar way to m5/m8 [III], E(spl)m8-HLH transcription was disrupted upon α-Cat KD: the mesectodermal stripe was disorganized, the mean levels were reduced without a change in onset times, and the range of accumulated mRNA levels per nucleus was diminished (Fig. 6BFGH, S6E, Movie 6). Overall, these results suggest that the formation of AJs is an important step in the timing and strength of Notch activation during nc14. When perturbed, reduced levels of Notch dependent transcription occurred.
To investigate whether the role of α-Catenin and AJs was likely to involve direct effects on Notch, we used SIM (Structured Illumination microscopy) to assess the extent of protein co-localization. The high resolution imaging revealed a heterogenous distribution of Notch along the growing lateral membranes. Apically, Notch levels were similar around the whole circumference, whereas sub-apically Notch was enriched at tricellular junctions and more basally it was present in the furrow canals (the most basal part of growing membranes), delineated by F-actin (Fig. 7A). E-cadherin was also detected in all these positions, but the two proteins were distributed unevenly in membrane clusters with relatively few sites where they were co-localized (Fig. 7A).

Overall, the low level of co-localization suggests that Notch is not directly sequestered into the AJs, although it is in close proximity. Furthermore, Notch localization was not disrupted upon α-Catenin depletion. In embryos at mid-cellularization (around the time Notch dependent transcription initiates), Notch was present at a similar level and with similar overall distribution in α-Catenin depleted embryos (Fig. 7B, S7B). Although defects in adhesion became evident at late cellularization, in the form of “holes” at the tricellular junctions (Yu and Zallen 2020) that also displaced Notch into a surrounding ring (Fig. S7A), no other changes in Notch localization were apparent, leading us to conclude that α-Catenin depletion does not generally disrupt the distribution of Notch in the lateral membranes, despite its effect on Notch dependent transcription. Similar results were obtained from live-imaging Notch-GFP and Dl-mScarlet in α-Catenin depleted embryos where there was no change in the distribution of either protein (Fig. S7B).

α-Catenin is proposed to influence E-cad stability at the membrane (Bajpai et al. 2008; Jurado et al. 2016; Ishiyama et al. 2018). We thus wondered if α-Catenin depletion could similarly be influencing Notch stability, rather than localization. To this end, we measured the fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of Notch-GFP expressed at endogenous levels (Couturier et al. 2012), as an indication of its turnover in the membrane. We were unable to perform similar experiments with Dl-mScarlet because it bleached too rapidly. There was a notable change in the speed of recovery for Notch-GFP between early nc14 and mid-cellularization time-points, with
faster recoveries detected at the later time-point, suggesting there is more rapid turnover of Notch in the membrane around the time that signalling commences (Fig. 7CD). However, as the measurements were made at random locations in the embryo, the differences represent general properties of Notch at this time, rather than any signaling induced changes, as the latter would be restricted to mesectodermal cells. α-Catenin depletion had no effect on the Notch recovery at the early time point. However, at mid-cellularization, α-Catenin depletion resulted in faster recovery times (Fig. 7CD), suggesting that it normally restricts the turnover or recycling. One consequence would be that in wild-type embryos, Notch would have a longer residence time in the membrane, which could permit higher levels of signalling to be attained.

Discussion

The geometry of a tissue and the nature of the cell contacts are likely to be important factors influencing the levels and duration of Notch signalling (Shaya et al. 2017). By analyzing the transcriptional output of Notch signalling in live blastoderm embryos we have been able to relate the time of productive ligand-receptor interactions with landmarks in cellular membrane growth. Strikingly, signalling was initiated after lateral membranes had grown to approximately 1/3 of their final length but before cellularization was complete. There was a strong correlation between cellularization time in each embryo, measured by the length of the lateral membranes, and onset of transcription, even in embryos where membrane growth was delayed. These results argue that a key step during membrane morphogenesis determines when signalling can initiate. The same restrictions could also influence when signalling can re-initiate following cell division.

The requirement for lateral membrane growth and morphogenesis can help to explain why two different Notch-responsive enhancers initiate transcription within a few minutes of each other (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019), because there would be a coordinated release of NICD when the receptor and ligands first became juxtaposed. It is also plausible that the lateral membranes are essential for the activity of Neuralized, an E3-ligase that is essential for Delta endocytosis and activation, whose expression
commences in the mesoderm cells at this time (Price et al. 1993). Furthermore, the correlations, together with the lack of Notch dependent transcription in slam mutant embryos where lateral membranes are arrested, are hard to reconcile with the model that NICD accumulates in the nucleus from the beginning of nc14 as has been suggested (Viswanathan et al. 2019). Our results also favour the model that signaling is initiated in trans, between receptor and ligand located on neighbouring cell membranes, rather than in cis, between ligand and receptors on the same apical and/or internal membranes (Coumailleau et al. 2009; Nandagopal et al. 2019), a model which is also consistent with the requirement for Neuralized in the neighbouring mesoderm.

One plausible explanation for the precise onset of transcription at a specific moment during membrane morphogenesis could be that a minimal area of interface is required for signalling to surpass a critical threshold. However, our data argue against the membrane area being the limiting factor and suggest that the formation and/or maturation of membrane domains or junctions is required. First, the transcription onsets and lateral membrane growth were no longer strongly correlated in nullo mutants. Second, Notch responsive transcription was impaired when \(\alpha\)-Cat, a key component of AJs, was depleted. The number of nuclei with high levels of transcription from the m5/m8 enhancer was reduced in these embryos, leading to a reduction in the overall mean levels. Similar effects on the endogenous E(spl)m8-HLH were also seen upon \(\alpha\)-Cat depletion. As the lateral membranes are fully formed in the \(\alpha\)-Cat depleted embryos, the results suggest that features coordinated by AJs are important for normal signalling. Given the variability of the effects on transcription, it is likely that these properties are required to achieve high levels of Notch signalling rather than being absolutely required for Notch activation.

The effects of AJs on Notch signalling could be direct or indirect. Based on super-resolution imaging, there was no specific co-enrichment of Notch with components of AJs, such as Cadherin, nor was Notch localization adversely affected by \(\alpha\)-Cat depletion. Together, these results make it unlikely that the direct recruitment of Notch to apical junctions is a limiting factor. However, Notch dynamics at the membrane were altered in \(\alpha\)-Cat depleted embryos, based on FRAP experiments. These indicated that the
membrane associated Notch is less stable when α-Cat is depleted, which could reduce
the amount of Notch that is available to interact and signal at any one moment (Khait
et al. 2016). It is not possible to distinguish whether the altered dynamics are due
to changes in recycling/synthesis or in lateral diffusion. As the latter could also result
in altered segregation of Notch and the γ-secretase cleavage machinery (Kwak et
al. 2020), either change could explain the reduced transcription output in the α-Cat
depleted embryos. Alternative explanations are that α-Cat, and AJs, contribute to Notch
activation because they bring the neighbouring membranes into sufficient proximity or
because of their role in cell-cell adhesion. α-Cat functions as the linker between AJs and
actomyosin, and is involved in transmitting contractile forces across cells (Jurado et al.
2016). AJ-mediated adhesion could promote higher Dl-pulling force, hence enhancing
Notch cleavage and NICD release (Gordon et al. 2015) to regulate outputs. It is
also possible that α-Cat exerts its effects via a combination of mechanisms.

Our data that lateral membranes are required for signalling are consistent with
elegant experiments tracking photoconverted receptor populations in Drosophila sensory
organ precursors (SOP), which indicated that the lateral pool of Notch is the one
that becomes activated (Trylinski et al. 2017). In this context, the active receptor
population was located basal to the apical junctions. In contrast, during vertebrate
neurogenesis adherens junctions at the apical luminal surface of the neuronal progenitors
have been proposed as the site of signaling (Hatakeyama et al. 2014). As Notch
does not strongly colocalize with Cadherin at cellularization, our results fit better
with those from SOPs and from cell culture studies proposing that full length Notch
is excluded from AJs (Kwak et al. 2020). However, ligand interactions and post-
activation cleavage may occur at different sites in the membrane and indeed the sites of
ligand interactions may differ according to the tissue architecture. For example, in the
Drosophila follicular epithelium, cells receive signals from the neighbouring germ cells
via their apical surface (López-Schier and St Johnston 2001). In other contexts,
basal actin-based protrusions and cytonemes have been proposed as a source of ligand
mediating longer range signalling (Huang and Kornberg 2015; Hunter et al.
2019; Boukhatmi et al. 2020). Nevertheless, it is evident from the results presented
here that the cell architecture, and the formation of apical junctions, are important features in enabling signalling in a simple epithelium. It will be interesting to see in which other contexts adherens junctions contribute to Notch activity. For example, a recent study showed AJs disruption in the mouse brain led to a phenotype of early differentiation of progenitor cells similar to that caused by reduced Notch signalling \((\text{Kwak et al. 2020})\), suggesting there might be a widespread role of AJs in modulating Notch activity.

**Methods**

**Fly strains and genetics**

The following *Drosophila* strains were used: sqh-Gap43-mCherry \((\text{Izquierdo et al. 2018})\), GFP-gish\[Spider\] (BDSC \#59025, Morin et al. 2001), shg-GFP (BDSC \#60584, Huang et al. 2009), Notch-GFP (Ni-GFP from Couturier et al. 2012), Dl-mScarlet \((\text{Boukhatmi et al. 2020})\), Nup107-GFP (BDSC \#35514, Katsani et al. 2008), nos-MCP-GFP (II, BDSC \#63821) and His2Av-RFP; nos-MCP-GFP (BDSC \#60340, Garcia et al. 2013), His2Av-RFP (III, BDSC \#23650). The \(m5/m8\)-peve-MS2-lacZ second chromosome \((m5/m8[II])\) and third chromosome \((m5/m8[III])\) MS2 reporter lines were generated in \((\text{Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019})\). \((spl)m8-\text{HLH-MS2}\) was generated during this work. Full genotypes of used lines are detailed in Table 1.

**Generation of endogenously tagged \((spl)m8-\text{HLH-MS2}\)**

24 MS2 loops, lacZ and SV40 (5.4 kb in total, same as used for the \(m5/m8\) reporter) were inserted in the genome by CRISPR/Cas9 scarless genome engineering (flycrispr.org) to replace the \((spl)m8-\text{HLH-MS2}\) 3’UTR while keeping its coding sequence intact. Briefly, a plasmid containing homology arms flanking \((spl)m8-\text{HLH-MS2}\) 3’UTR, lacZ, SV40 and the PiggyBac 3xPax3-dsRED cassette from \(p\text{HD-ScarlessDsRed}\) (flycrispr.org) was synthesized by NBS Biologicals (Huntingdon, England). 24 MS2 loops from \(p\text{CR4-24XMS2SL-stable}\) (Addgene \#31865) were subsequently inserted using an EcoRi site. Transformants were obtained by co-injecting (performed by the Genetics Fly Facility,
University of Cambridge) this plasmid with a pCFD3-dU6:3gRNA plasmid (Addgene #49410) expressing the gRNA CTGTGATAGCCCAACTGTGA and screening for 3xPax3-dsRED. The 3xPax3-dsRED cassette was excised by crossing with aTub84B-PiggyBac flies (BDSC #32070). Maps of the homology and gRNA plasmids and final genomic sequence have been deposited at: https://benchling.com/bray_lab/f_/tE0Fz0Q1-endogenous-ms2-lines/.

Table 1. Full genotypes of used Drosophila lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name (Chr)</th>
<th>Full genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His2Av::RFP (III)</td>
<td>w[+]; P[w[+mC]::His2Av-RFP1]</td>
<td>BDSC #23650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His2Av::RFP; nos-MCP::GFP</td>
<td>y[1] w[+]; P[w[+mC]::His2Av-RFP1]</td>
<td>BDSC #60340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nos-MCP::GFP (II)</td>
<td>y[1] w[+]; P[w[+mC]::nos-MCP.EGFP]</td>
<td>BDSC #63821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xTub-Gal4::VP16 (II)</td>
<td>w[+]; P[w[+mC]::mataalpha4-GAL-VP16]</td>
<td>BDSC #7062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m5/m8-MS2 (II)</td>
<td>w[+mC]::m5/m8-peve-lacZ-SV40</td>
<td>(Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m5/m8-MS2 (III)</td>
<td>w[+mC]::m5/m8-peve-lacZ-SV40</td>
<td>(Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(spl)m8-MS2 (III)</td>
<td>w[24xMS2-lacZ-SV40]E(spl)m8-MS2-3'UTR</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap43::mCherry (I)</td>
<td>P[w[+mC]::sqhp-Gap43::mCherry]</td>
<td>(Izquierdo et al. 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup107::GFP (II)</td>
<td>w[+]; P[w[+mC]::GFP-Nup107.K]</td>
<td>BDSC #35514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEdcad::GFP (II)</td>
<td>y[1] w[+]; TI[TI]shg[GFP]</td>
<td>BDSC #60584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spider::GFP (III)</td>
<td>w[+]; P[w[+mC]::PTT-GBgish[Spider]]</td>
<td>BDSC #59025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dm::mScarlet-I (III)</td>
<td>TI[TI]DimScarlet-I</td>
<td>(Boukhatmi et al. 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df[slam] (II)</td>
<td>w[1118]; Df(2L)Exel6016, P[w[+mC]::XP-U]Exel6016/Cyo</td>
<td>BDSC #7502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df(krill) (I)</td>
<td>wDf(1)Sxl-bt, y[1]/Binsinscy</td>
<td>BDSC #3196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTG</td>
<td>w[1118]; In(2LR)Gla, wg[Gla-1]/Cyo, P[w[+mC]::GAL4-twi.G2.2, P[w[+mC]::UAS-2xEGFPAP2.2</td>
<td>BDSC #6662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w RNAi Valium22 (III)</td>
<td>y[1] sc[+]; v[1]; P[y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.GL00094]attP2</td>
<td>BDSC #35573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Cat RNAi Valium20 (III)</td>
<td>y[1] sc[+]; v[1]; sev[21]; P[y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMS00317]attP2</td>
<td>BDSC #33430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mutant backgrounds

To test expression from m5/m8 in the kuk[PE] mutant background, a second chromosome recombinant His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019) was combined with kuk[EY07696] (BDSC #16856, Pilot et al. 2006). m5/m8[II] was also combined with kuk[EY07696] and, since kuk[EY07696] is homozygous viable, His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP / CyO ; kuk[EY07696] females were crossed with m5/m8[II]
kuk[EY07696] males to obtain embryos that were maternal and zygotic mutant for this hypomorphic kuk allele. Control embryos were obtained by crossing His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP / CyO females with m5/m8[II] males.

To test expression from m5/m8 in the slam and nullo mutant backgrounds, third chromosome recombinants His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019) were combined with deficiencies encompassing nullo (Df(1)Sxl-bt, BDSC #3196) or slam (Df(2L)Exel6016, Pw[+mC]=XP-UExel6016t, BDSC #7502). m5/m8[III] was also combined with Df[slam]. Control embryos were obtained by crossing His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP females with m5/m8[III] males. Homozygous mutant embryos for slam were obtained from crossing Df[slam] / CTG ; His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP with Df[slam] / CTG ; m5/m8[III] and were recognized by the absence CTG (CyO-tw1-GFP, BDSC #6662). Hemizygous embryos for nullo were obtained from crossing Df[nullo] / FM6 ;; His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP with m5/m8[III] and were recognized by defects in gastrulation and lethality. All the mutant crosses yield 1 / 4 homozygous mutant progeny. In the remaining progeny, which were analyzed in parallel, 2/3 would be heterozygous for each gene tested and 1/3 would not carry a mutation for the gene tested.

**Maternal KD**

The maternal driver zTub-Gal4::VP16 (BDSC # 7062) was combined with His2av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP to generate zTub-Gal4::VP16 ; His2A v-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP. To knock down z-Cat from the maternal germline this stock was crossed with UASp-z-Cat-RNAi (BDSC #33430) or UASp-w-RNAi as control (BDSC #35573) and females zTub-Gal4::VP16 / + ; His2Av-RFP, nos-MCP-GFP / UASp-RNAi were crossed with m5/m8[III] to obtain the experimental embryos. To quantify the degree of maternal KD, zTub-Gal4::VP16 was crossed with the same lines and F2 embryos were collected for antibody staining and RT-qPCR.

Crosses used for each experiment are detailed in Table 2.
Table 2. Genotypes used in each experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>♀ His2Av::RFP; nos-MCP::GFP x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP40,II]</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ Nup107::GFP</td>
<td>1C, S1A, S3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ Spider::GFP; His2Av::RFP</td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ Ni::GFP / +; Dl::mScarlet / +</td>
<td>2A, S2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ Gap43::mCherry; nos-MCP::GFP x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP40,II]</td>
<td>2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ nos-MCP::GFP / m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP40,II]; Dl::mScarlet / +</td>
<td>2C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ ECad::GFP / +; Dl::mScarlet / +</td>
<td>S2B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP / CyO x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP40,II]</td>
<td>3, S3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP / CyO; kuk[PE] x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP40,II]; kuk[PE]</td>
<td>3, S3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ Nup107::GFP; kuk[PE] / TM6B</td>
<td>S3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP (III) x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP2,III]</td>
<td>4, S4AC, 5, S5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ Df[slam]/ CTG; His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP x ♂ Df[slam]/ CTG; m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP2,III]</td>
<td>4, S4AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ Df[slam]/ CTG; Dl::mScarlet / TM6B</td>
<td>S4DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ Df[nullo]/ FM6; His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP2,III]</td>
<td>5, S5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ αTub-VP16 / +; His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP / UASp-w RNAi x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP2,III]</td>
<td>6ACDE, S6CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ αTub-VP16 / +; His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP / UASp-x-Cat RNAi x ♂ m5/m8-peve-MS2-lacZ-SV40[attP2,III]</td>
<td>6ACDE, S6CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ αTub-VP16 / +; His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP / UASp-w RNAi x ♂ MS2-lacZ-SV40[E(spl)m8-HLH-3’UTR]</td>
<td>6BFGH, S6E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ αTub-VP16 / +; His2Av::RFP, nos-MCP::GFP / UASp-x-Cat RNAi x ♂ MS2-lacZ-SV40[E(spl)m8-HLH-3’UTR]</td>
<td>6BFGH, S6E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ αTub-VP16 / +; UASp-w RNAi / +</td>
<td>S6AB, 7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ αTub-VP16 / +; UASp-x-Cat RNAi / +</td>
<td>S6AB, 7B, S7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ αTub-VP16 / Ni::GFP; Dl::mScarlet / UASp-w RNAi</td>
<td>7DC, S7B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ x ♂ αTub-VP16 / Ni::GFP; Dl::mScarlet / UASp-x-Cat RNAi</td>
<td>7DC, S7B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Live imaging

Embryos were collected on apple juice agar plates with yeast paste, dechorionated in bleach and mounted in Voltalef medium (Samaro) between a semi-permeable membrane and a coverslip. The ventral side of the embryo was facing the coverslip. Imaging was performed at 20-22°C.
Movies were acquired in a Leica SP8 confocal using a 40x apochromatic 1.3 objective, zoom x2 and 400x400px size (providing an XY resolution of 0.36 μm/px), 12 bit depth, 400 Hz image acquisition frequency and pinhole of 4 airy units. In experiments where cellularization was quantified using the transmitted light channel, 33 x 2 μm slices were collected to reach the cross section of the embryo, providing a time resolution of 20 seconds per frame. In other experiments, 29 x 1 μm slices were collected, with total acquisition time of 15-60s per frame, depending on the experiment. Nup107-GFP movies were acquired using 4x zoom (0.18 μm/px in XY, 1 μm slices).

**Antibody staining**

Embryos where dechorionated in bleach and fixed in a 1:1 mixture of heptane and 40% formaldehyde for 9 minutes. Embryos were then stuck to tape, manually devetillinized in PBS, and transferred to eppendorf tubes. Stainings to quantify maternal KD and for SIM were carried out in the same way: embryos were blocked in 1% BSA for 1h, incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4C, washed in PBS-TritonX 0.1%, incubated with secondary antibodies for 2h at RT, washed in PBS-TritonX and mounted in Vectashield mounting medium. Primary antibodies were: 1:100 rat anti-DCAT-1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DHSB)), 1:10 mouse anti-NECD (C458-2H, DSHB), 1:10 rat anti-DCAD2 (DSHB). Secondary antibodies were: 1:200 anti-Rat-FITC (Jackson Immunoresearch) for α-Cat KD quantification; 1:200 anti-Mouse-Alexa488 (Invitrogen), 1:200 anti-Rat-Alexa568 (ThermoFisher) for SIM. Embryos were also stained with 1:1500 Phalloidin-iFluor647 (Abcam).

**mRNA extraction and qPCR**

Embryos were dechorionated in bleach and early embryos (pre-nc10) / eggs were selected in Voltalef medium. Pools of 15-20 embryos of each genotype were transferred to eppendorf tubes and dissociated in TRI Reagent (Sigma) with a plastic pestle. mRNA was extracted by adding chloroform, 10 min centrifugation at 4C and precipitated with isopropanol overnight. DNA was then pelleted by 10 min centrifugation at 4C, washed in 70% ethanol, dried and resuspended in DEPC-treated water. Approximately 2 μg of RNA from each sample were DNase treated with the DNA-free™ DNA Removal
Kit (Invitrogen) in the presence of RiboLock RNase Inhibitor (Thermo Scientific). 1 µg of DNA-free RNA was then used for reverse transcription using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) in the presence of RiboLock. Samples were diluted 1:2 for RT-qPCR using SYBR Green Mastermix (Sigma) and primers detailed in Table 3.

Table 3. Primers used for qPCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Cat FWD 1</td>
<td>ACCCGCTTGCTGATTTTAGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Cat REV 1</td>
<td>TGCATTGCGTCCGAATTGCCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Cat FWD 2</td>
<td>GACGACTTTTGACGAAGGAATTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Cat REV 2</td>
<td>ATTCGATTGCGCCACAATTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpL32 FWD</td>
<td>CGGGTACCGGATCGGAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpL32 REV</td>
<td>TCTGCATGAGCAGGACCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpII215 FWD</td>
<td>GACTCGACTGGAATTGCACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpII215 FWD</td>
<td>TCTTCATCGGGATACTCGCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structured Illumination Microscopy

Structured Illumination Microscopy (SIM) was carried out in stained samples prepared as detailed above, in a Zeiss Elyra 7 Lattice SIM microscope, using a 63x 1.4 NA immersion oil objective. 3 colour Lattice SIM stacks were acquired with a 110 nm step size and reconstructed using the ZEN software (Zeiss). The final XY resolution of super-resolved images was 31.3 x 31.2 nm/px (2560 x 2560 px).

FRAP

Imaging of Notch-GFP was performed as for live imaging, but using 4x zoom (0.18 µm/px XY resolution), 400x400 px size. Point bleaching was performed on 6 points targeting membranes per round of FRAP for 0.5s each (total bleach time 3 sec) simultaneously with 488 and 561nm laser. Pre and postbleaching images were collected at 400Hz (0.5 seconds / frame). FRAP was quantified by drawing circles of 20 px in diameter around the bleached regions and at another 6 control regions in non bleached membranes. FRAP recovery was calculated by dividing the average fluorescence at each region by the average pre-bleach intensity and normalized for the ratio of the average.
fluorescence at control regions to pre-bleach intensity, to account for loss of fluorescence due to bleaching during acquisition (Gomez-Lamarca et al. 2018). Each curve was then scaled so that the first value after beaching was considered 0. Attempts at FRAP with Dl-mScarlet were unsuccessful because it bleached very rapidly and recovery could not be accurately quantified.

**Image analysis**

**Quantifying membrane length**

Length of membranes during cellularization was calculated from the orthogonal section in the center of the field of view. Fluorescent signal was thresholded using the Otsu method (Otsu 1979) and the height of the obtained object, equivalent to the length of the membrane at each time point, was calculated and plotted over time. Because the signal:noise ratio was different for each marker used, these quantifications were manually curated by marking the extent of membrane signal in the orthogonal view image when the automated segmentation did not match the raw signal.

**Tracking nuclei and MS2 quantification**

Movies were analyzed using custom MATLAB (MATLAB R2020a, MathWorks) scripts that have been previously described (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019), with some adaptations. Briefly, the His2Av-RFP signal was used to segment and track the nuclei in 3D. Each 3D stack was first filtered using a 3D median filter of 3 and increasing the contrast based on the intensity profile of each frame to account for bleaching. A Fourier transform log filter was then applied to enhance round objects (Garcia et al. 2013). Segmentation was performed by applying a fixed intensity threshold to the filtered stack, which was empirically determined. This was followed by filters to fill holes in objects and discard miss-segmented nuclei based on size. 3D watershed accounting for anisotropic voxel sizes (Mishchenko 2015) was used to split merged nuclei. The final segmented stack was obtained by filtering by size again and and thickening each object. Lastly, the segmented stack was labelled to assign a number to each object, and the position of each centroid (in X, Y and Z) was calculated for tracking.
Nuclei were then tracked in 3D by finding the nearest object (minimum Euclidean distance between two centroids in space) in the previous 2 frames which was closer than 6 μm. If no object was found, that nucleus was kept with a new label. If more than one object was ‘matched’ with the same one in one of the previous 2 frames, both were kept with new labels.

After tracking, the positions of all pixels from each nucleus in each frame were used to measure the maximum fluorescence value in the GFP channel, which was used as a proxy of the spot fluorescence. Note that when a spot cannot be detected by eye this method detects only background, but the signal:background ratio is high enough that the subsequent analysis allows to classify confidently when the maximum value is really representing a spot.

**Nuclear membrane tracking**

To segment the nuclei in 3D from nuclear membrane markers (Nup107-GFP), each 3D stack was first resized to produce 1:1:1 ratio voxel sizes using the cubic interpolation from the `imresize3` function in MATLAB. Each resized stack was then filtered using a 3D gaussian filter of 1. To account for loss of fluorescence due to bleaching, the `imhistmatchn` function was used to adjust the contrast of each frame to the first one. A fixed intensity threshold of 10% was used to create a thresholded image, which was used as seed for Active Contour segmentation ([Chan and Vese 2001](#)) of the filtered image to produce an initial segmentation of nuclear membranes. The image was then inverted to recognize as object the space inside the nuclear membrane rather than the membrane itself. A filter based on the proportion of object present in each slice was used to remove the vitelline membrane. A 3D watershed filter was then used to separate merged objects and object thickening was used to compensate for any lost signal at the edges. Finally, 3D objects out of the range 10 μm$^3$ to 200 μm$^3$ were discarded. Segmented nuclei were then tracked in 3D as described in the previous section. In this case, because more nuclei were missing in each frame than when histones were segmented, a maximum distance of 4 μm was allowed for a nucleus to be considered the same as another in a maximum of 5 previous frames.
**Nuclear 3D properties**

After tracking, the MATLAB function `regionprops3` was used to extract 3D properties of each object: volume, surface area, solidity and length of principal axes. 2D slices at different fractions of the nuclear length (25, 50 and 75 %) were extracted and 2D properties quantified using `regionprops`: area, perimeter and eccentricity. Note that the slices were calculated on a per embryo basis which means that they will not correspond to precisely the same position in all nuclei, due to the curvature. As almost all nuclei were imaged in the same plane, the 2D properties measured will not have been substantially affected by this generalization. The same approach to measure size and shape of nuclei was employed with His2Av labelling. This provided a good approximation of the volume and length of the nuclei but the fine details of the nuclear wrinkling could not be resolved.

**Data processing and statistical analysis**

**MS2 data processing**

Processing of MS2 data (definition of active nuclei and normalization for bleaching) has been carried out as described in our previous work (Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019). From the tracking step, the fluorescent trace of each nucleus over time was obtained. Only nuclei tracked for more than 10 frames were retained. First, nuclei were classified as inactive or active. To do so, the average of all nuclei (active and inactive) was calculated over time and fitted to a straight line. A median filter of 3 was applied to each nucleus over time to smooth the trace and ON periods were considered when fluorescent values were 1.2 times the baseline at each time point. This produced an initial segregation of active (nuclei ON for at least 5 frames) and inactive nuclei. These parameters were determined empirically on the basis that the filters retained nuclei with spots close to background levels and excluded false positives from bright background pixels. The mean fluorescence from MCP-GFP in the inactive nuclei was then used to define the background baseline and active nuclei were segregated again in the same manner. The final fluorescence values in the active nuclei were calculated by removing the fitted baseline from the maximum intensity value for each, and normalizing for the
percentage that the MCP-GFP fluorescence in inactive nuclei decreased over time to account for the loss of fluorescence due to bleaching. Nuclei active in cycles before nc14 were discarded based on the timing of their activation.

In all movies, time into nc14 was considered from the end of the 13th syncytial division. Onsets of transcription were defined as the beginning of the first ON period, starting from 15 min into nc14 in most experiments, except for expression in the presence of maternal Gal4 (expression from 30 min to exclude earlier stochastic activity). The total mRNA output (in AU) was obtained by adding all the normalized transcription values for each cell in a defined time period. Cells producing ‘high’ and ‘low’ total mRNA output were defined by values that were above and below the median.

Statistical analysis

In figures and figure legends, n number indicates number of embryos imaged for each biological condition. Where appropriate, n number next to heatmaps indicates total number of cells combining all embryos for each biological condition. Plots showing mean levels of transcription and SEM (standard error of the mean) combine all traces from multiple embryos from the same biological condition.

Reagents and software availability

Modifications in the existing code to track nuclei from the nuclear membrane signal and quantify nuclear morphology in 3D and 2D slices have been incorporated in a MATLAB app and can be obtained from https://github.com/BrayLab/LiveTrx.
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Figure 1. Correlation between developmental processes and onset of Notch dependent transcription. A) Summary of the expression timings of other published MS2 lines. Grey solid lines indicate activity and dashed lines indicate periods when transcription was not quantified but the enhancer/gene is expected to be active. Based on data from Garcia et al. 2013; Bothma et al. 2014; Bothma et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2017; Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019; Hoppe et al. 2020. B) Mean profile of transcription from m5/m8. Transcription starts from 30 min into nc14. Based on data from Falo-Sanjuan et al. 2019. C) Medial section and orthogonal views of nc14 embryos at the indicated times (minutes into nc14) expressing the nuclear membrane marker Nup107-GFP. See also Movie 1. D) Orthogonal views of embryos expressing the cell membrane marker Spider-GFP and nuclei marker His2Av-RFP, indicating changes in nuclear and membrane length over time (minutes into nc14). E) Summary of the behaviours of nuclei and membranes during nc14. Cellularization takes place in two phases: slow (0-35’) and fast (35’ onwards) membrane in-growth. At the same time nuclei elongate and increase in volume (0-35’) and their surface becomes wrinkled from approximately 25 minutes onwards. Embryos were at imaged at 20-22°C.

Figure 2. Notch responsive transcription starts before cellularization is completed. A) Orthogonal views of embryos expressing Notch-GFP and Dl-mScarlet, showing localization of Notch and Delta at cellularizing membranes. Arrowheads indicate position of the most basal accumulation. See also Movie 2. B) Stills of a movie of an embryo expressing the membrane marker Gap43-mCherry combined with MCP-GFP to image transcription from m5/m8. Orthogonal views of Gap43-mCherry (left) and maximum projections of the MCP-GFP channel (right) are shown. Time into nc14 (minutes) is indicated for each. Transcription starts from 30 min into nc14 and is visible in the whole mesectoderm stripe by 35-40 min, before cellularization has completed. White arrowheads indicate position of cellularization front and black arrowheads indicate transcription in mesoderm nuclei (MSE). See also Movie 3. C) Stills of an embryo expressing Dl-mScarlet combined with MCP-GFP to image transcription from m5/m8. Projections of medial slices (left, inverted image), maximum projections of the MCP-GFP channel (center, inverted image) and overlay of both (right) at three time-points as indicated. Delta can be detected in bright puncta (magenta arrowheads) close to the membrane in mesoderm cells from the time m5/m8 transcription starts in the mesoderm (MSE, green arrowheads).
Figure 3. Changes in nuclear morphology do not influence Notch dependent transcription. A) Cross-sections and orthogonal views of the nuclear marker His2Av-RFP in wild type (top) and embryos obtained from homozygous kuk parents (kuk<sup>M/Z</sup>, bottom) at the indicated times (min into nc14). B) Quantification of nuclear morphological properties over time using the His2Av channel from MS2 experiments: volume, nuclear length and eccentricity of the medial slice. Mean and SEM (shaded area) of the mean properties calculated for each embryo (n embryo numbers per condition indicated in each). C) Mean profiles of m5/m8<sup>III</sup> activity of mesectoderm nuclei in control and kuk embryos. Mean and SEM (shaded area) of all cells combined from multiple embryos (n embryo numbers indicated in each). D) Heatmaps of transcription in all mesectoderm nuclei sorted by onset time (top). Dashed lines indicate onset times in the control. n.s.: not significant, p-value calculated using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. E) Examples of transcription traces from mesectoderm nuclei. Grey lines indicate ON periods.

Figure 4. Lateral membranes are required for Notch signalling. A) Schematic representation of the effects on membrane formation produced by mutation in slam. B) Cross-sections of wild type and slam<sup>−/−</sup> embryos captured with transmitted light and used to measure cellularization progression. Arrowheads indicate position of the cellularization front. C) Boxplots indicating timing of cellularization progression (timepoints when membranes reach each of the lengths with respect to nuclei indicated in the cartoons) in wild type, slam<sup>+/+/-</sup> and slam<sup>−/−</sup> embryos. Median, Q1/Q3 quartiles and SD shown. Asterisks indicate timepoints by only one slam<sup>−/−</sup> embryo, as the others arrested cellularization. D) Tracked mesectoderm nuclei color-coded for their total m5/m8<sup>III</sup> transcription levels. E) Examples of m5/m8<sup>III</sup> transcription traces from mesectoderm nuclei. Grey lines indicate ON periods. F) Mean profile of m5/m8<sup>III</sup> activity in slam<sup>−/−</sup> embryos compared to controls. G) Correlation between the time point of cellularization when membranes reach the basal end of nuclei with onset of transcription from m5/m8<sup>III</sup> (calculated as the first quartile of onset times) in slam<sup>+/+/-</sup> and control embryos. R<sup>2</sup> coefficients are calculated after pooling all points together, correlations for each genotype separately are in Fig. S4. In F mean and SEM (shaded area) of all cells combined from multiple embryos are shown (embryo numbers, n). See also Movie 4.

Figure 5. Defects in cellularization from absence of Nullo perturb Notch signalling independently of membrane growth. A) Schematic representation of the effects on membrane formation produced by mutations in nullo. B) Cross-sections of wild type and nullo<sup>−/−</sup> embryos captured with transmitted light and used to measure cellularization progression. Arrowheads indicate position of cellularization front. C) Boxplots indicating timing of cellularization progression (timepoints when membranes reach each of the lengths with respect to nuclei indicated in the cartoons) in wild type, nullo<sup>+/+/-</sup> and nullo<sup>−/−</sup> embryos. Median, Q1/Q3 quartiles and SD shown. D) Tracked mesectoderm nuclei color-coded for their total m5/m8<sup>III</sup> transcription levels. E) Mean profile of m5/m8<sup>III</sup> activity in nullo<sup>−/−</sup> embryos compared to control embryos. F) Correlation between the time point of cellularization when membranes reach the basal end of nuclei with onset of transcription from m5/m8<sup>III</sup> (calculated as the first quartile of onset times) in nullo<sup>+/+/-</sup> and control embryos. R<sup>2</sup> coefficients are calculated after pooling all points together, correlations for each genotype separately are in Fig. S5. Images, plots and quantifications of control embryos are duplicated from Fig. 4. See also Movie 4.
Figure 6. Adherens junctions influence Notch dependent transcription. A-B) Tracked mesectoderm nuclei color-coded for total m5/m8 [III] (A) or E(spl)m8-HLH (B) transcription (accumulated signal from 30 to 50 min into nc14). C) Heatmaps of m5/m8 [III] transcription in all mesectoderm nuclei sorted by onset time in control and α-Cat depleted embryos. D) Mean profile of m5/m8 [III] activity in α-Cat RNAi embryos compared to controls, aligned by developmental time (left) or transcription onset times (right). E) Boxplot indicating number of mesectoderm cells transcribing m5/m8 [III] in each embryo in control and α-Cat RNAi embryos (left, median, Q1/Q3 quartiles and SD shown) and violin plot showing the distribution of output levels of transcription (accumulated signal from 30 to 50 min into nc14, as in A) combining all nuclei from each condition (right, distribution and median shown). F) Heatmaps of E(spl)m8-HLH transcription in all mesectoderm nuclei sorted by onset time in control and α-Cat depleted embryos. G) Mean profile of E(spl)m8-HLH activity in α-Cat RNAi embryos compared to controls, aligned by developmental time (left) or transcription onset times (right). H) Boxplot indicating number of mesectoderm cells transcribing E(spl)m8-HLH in each embryo in control and α-Cat RNAi embryos (left, median, Q1/Q3 quartiles and SD shown) and violin plot showing the distribution of output levels of transcription (accumulated signal from 30 to 50 min into nc14, as in B) combining all nuclei from each condition (right, distribution and median shown). In D and G, mean and SEM (shaded area) of all cells combined from multiple embryos are shown (n embryo numbers indicated in each). In C and F dashed lines indicate onset times in controls. n.s.: not significant, p-value calculated using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. See also Movie 5 and Movie 6.

Figure 7. α-Catenin depletion influences Notch membrane dynamics but not localization. A-B) Mid-cellularization control (A) or α-Cat (B) RNAi embryos stained with phalloidin and antibodies against the extracellular domain of Notch (NECD) and E-cad and imaged using SIM (E-cad channel not shown in B). Top panels are orthogonal views with lines marking individual planes shown below. C) Stills of Notch-GFP FRAP experiments in the indicated conditions in early nc14 or mid-cellularization embryos. Each still is an average of 10 frames between the indicated timepoints. Red circles indicate the quantified region over time. D) FRAP experiments performed on Notch-GFP in early nc14 (left) and mid-cellularization (right) embryos, comparing control and α-Cat depletion. Notch-GFP is expressed at endogenous levels from a genomic BAC (Couturier et al. 2012).
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Supplementary Information

Movie Descriptions

Movie 1 - Changes in nuclear size and shape during nc14. Movie showing maximum projection of medial slices and orthogonal views of Nup107-GFP. 0.18 µm/px XY resolution and time resolution of 15s/frame. Anterior to the left; embryo imaged from the ventral side. Time indicates minutes from the beginning of nc14.

Movie 2 - Localization of Notch and Delta during cellularization. Movie showing maximum projection of medial slices and orthogonal views of Dl-mScarlet (left) and Notch-GFP (right). 0.36 µm/px XY resolution and time resolution of 60s/frame. Anterior to the left; embryo imaged from the ventral side. Time indicates minutes from the beginning of nc14.

Movie 3 - Expression of m5/m8 starts during cellularization. Movie showing cellularizing membranes using the marker Gap43-mCherry (maximum intensity projection of medial slices and orthogonal views, left) and transcription from m5/m8-MS2 [II] (maximum intensity projection with maximum Y projection of the MCP-GFP channel, right). 0.36 µm/px XY resolution, 36x1µm slices and time resolution of 20s/frame. Anterior to the left; embryo imaged from the ventral side. Time indicates minutes from the beginning of nc14.

Movie 4 - Expression of m5/m8 in control, slam and nullo embryos. Movies showing MCP-GFP channel with transcription directed by m5/m8 [III] (maximum intensity projection, left), His2Av-RFP channel in blue overlaid with MCP-GFP in green (maximum intensity projection, center) and transmitted light channel showing membrane growth (cross section, right) in control (top), slam +/- (middle) and nullo - (bottom) embryos. 0.36 µm/px XY resolution, 33x2µm slices and time resolution of 20s/frame. Anterior to the left; embryo imaged from the ventral side. Time indicates minutes from the beginning of nc14.

Movie 5 - Expression of m5/m8 in control and α-Cat RNAi embryos. Movies showing MCP-GFP channel with transcription directed by m5/m8 [III] (maximum intensity projection, left) and His2Av-RFP channel in blue overlaid with MCP-GFP in green (maximum intensity projection, right) in control (top) and α-Cat depleted (bottom) embryos. 0.36 µm/px XY resolution, 32x1µm slices and time resolution of 20s/frame. Anterior to the left; embryo imaged from the ventral side. Time indicates
minutes from the beginning of nc14.

**Movie 6 - Expression of E(spl)m8-HLH in control and α-Cat RNAi embryos.** Movies showing MCP-GFP channel with E(spl)m8-HLH transcription (maximum intensity projection, left) and His2Av-RFP channel in blue overlaid with MCP-GFP in green (maximum intensity projection, right) in control (top) and α-Cat depleted (bottom) embryos. 0.36 μm/px XY resolution, 32x1μm slices and time resolution of 20s/frame. Anterior to the left; embryo imaged from the ventral side. Time indicates minutes from the beginning of nc14.

**Supplementary Figures**

![Supplementary Figures](image)

**Figure S1.** Correlation between developmental processes and onset of Notch dependent transcription. A) Timeline of changes in nuclear 3D properties over time, quantified using the nuclear membrane marker Nup107-GFP. B) Timeline of cellularization, measured by quantification of the length of the cell membrane marker Spider-GFP in orthogonal views. Dashed lines indicate length of signal is greater than the stack imaged.
Figure S2. Delta tracks with E-cadherin as membranes grow. A) Comparison of the length of membrane occupied by Notch and Delta over time, extending basally at the same rate. B) Comparison of the length of lateral signal of E-cad and Delta over time. Dashed lines indicate membrane length is greater than the stack imaged. C) Orthogonal views from embryos expressing E-cad-GFP and Dl-mScarlet, showing colocalization at all timepoints during cellularization. Arrowheads indicate position of cellularization front.

Figure S3. Changes in nuclear morphology do not influence Notch dependent transcription. A) Cross-sections and orthogonal views of the nuclear membrane marker Nup107-GFP in wild type (top) and embryos obtained from kuk heterozygous parents (bottom) at the indicated times (min into nc14), as this kuk allele was not homozygous viable in combination with Nup107-GFP. B) Mean levels of transcription when nuclei are aligned by onset times. Mean and SEM (shaded area) of all cells combined from multiple embryos are shown (n embryo numbers indicated in each).
Figure S4. Delta localization in slam mutant embryos. A) Heatmaps of transcription in all mesectoderm nuclei from control and slam\textsuperscript{+/−} embryos, sorted by onset time. Dashed lines indicate onset times in controls. n.s.: not significant, p-value calculated using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. B) Mean levels of transcription in slam\textsuperscript{+/−} embryos compared to controls when nuclei are aligned by their onset times. C) Correlation between timepoints during cellularization (indicated by each cartoon) with onset of transcription from m5/m8\textsuperscript{[III]} (calculated as the first quartile of onset times) in slam\textsuperscript{+/−} and control embryos. \(R^2\) coefficients are calculated after pooling all points shown the same plot together. D) Orthogonal views from embryos expressing Dl-mScarlet in wild type, slam\textsuperscript{+/−} or slam\textsuperscript{+/+/−} backgrounds. Arrowheads indicate position of the most basal signal. E) Comparison of the length of membrane localization of Dl-mScarlet in wild type, slam homozygous embryos and other embryos obtained from the same cross (slam\textsuperscript{+/+/−}). Delta did not extend basally in slam\textsuperscript{+/−} embryos. In B and E mean and SEM (shaded area) are shown, n embryos indicated for each. Dashed lines indicate membrane length is greater than the stack imaged. F) Correlation between the timepoint of cellularization when membranes reach the basal end of nuclei with onset of transcription from m5/m8\textsuperscript{[III]} (calculated as the first quartile of onset times) in slam\textsuperscript{+/+/−} and control embryos. \(R^2\) coefficients are calculated independently for each genotype, indicated by dashed lines.
Figure S5. Absence of Nullo does not affect overall levels of transcription. A) Heatmaps of m5/m8[III] transcription in all mesectoderm nuclei sorted by onset time. Dashed lines indicate onset times in wild type. n.s.: not significant, p-value calculated using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. B) Mean levels of transcription when nuclei are aligned by their onset times. C) Examples of transcription traces from mesectoderm nuclei. Grey lines indicate ON periods. D) Correlation between timepoints during cellularization (indicated by each cartoon) with onset of transcription from m5/m8[III] (calculated as the first quartile of onset times) in nullo+/+, nullo- and control embryos. R^2 coefficients are calculated after pooling all points shown the same plot together. In B, mean and SEM (shaded area) of all cells combined from multiple embryos are shown (n embryo numbers indicated in each). E) Correlation between the timepoint of cellularization when membranes reach the basal end of nuclei with onset of transcription from m5/m8 (calculated as the first quartile of onset times) in nullo+/+, nullo- and control embryos. R^2 coefficients are calculated independently for each genotype, indicated by dashed lines. Images, plots and quantifications of control embryos are duplicated from Fig. 4.
Figure S6. Adherens junctions influence Notch dependent transcription. A) Quantification of α-Cat mRNA levels by RT-qPCR (2 sets of primers) in pools of 15-20 eggs and/or pre-nc13 embryos upon control and α-Cat germline RNAi expression. n = 3 (control RNAi) and 3 (α-Cat RNAi) biological replicates. B) Mid-cellularization embryos stained for α-Cat and F-actin (phalloidin) upon control and α-Cat germline RNAi expression. C) Boxplots indicating timing of cellularization progression (timepoints when membranes reach each of the lengths with respect to nuclei indicated in the cartoons) in control and α-Cat RNAi conditions, quantified from m5/m8[III] MS2 movies. Median, Q1/Q3 quartiles and SD shown. D-E) Boxplots indicating number of cells producing high and low total levels of transcription (left, defined by production above and below the median) and examples of transcription traces from each group (right), for m5/m8[III] (D) and E(spl)m8-HLH (E). Grey lines indicate ON periods.
Figure S7. α-Catenin depletion does not influence Notch localization. A) Late-cellularization α-Cat RNAi embryo stained with phalloidin and antibodies against NECD and E-cad and imaged using SIM (E-cad channel not shown). Arrowheads indicate holes in tricellular junctions caused by lack of adhesion. Top panels are orthogonal views with lines marking individual planes shown below. B) Stills of live early nc14 and mid-cellularization embryos expressing Notch-GFP and Dl-mScarlet upon control and α-Cat RNAi expression (left) and quantification of the overall Notch-GFP levels in each condition and timepoint (right). n = 6 (control RNAi early), 6 (α-Cat RNAi early), 8 (control RNAi mid-cellularization) and 16 (α-Cat RNAi mid-cellularization).