



Cite as
Nano-Micro Lett.
(2025) 18:94

Received: 23 April 2025
Accepted: 23 August 2025
Published online: 2 January 2026
© The Author(s) 2026, modified
publication 2026

Achieving Wide-Temperature-Range Physical and Chemical Hydrogen Sorption in a Structural Optimized Mg/N-Doped Porous Carbon Nanocomposite


Yinghui Li^{1,2}, Li Ren^{1,2}, Zi Li^{1,2}, Yingying Yao^{1,2}, Xi Lin¹, Wenjiang Ding^{1,2}, Andrea C. Ferrari³ ✉, Jianxin Zou^{1,2,3} ✉

HIGHLIGHTS

- The as-synthesized rN-pC exhibited H₂ uptake of ~0.9 wt% at 77 K and ultralow pressure of ~0.1 bar, with an isosteric adsorption enthalpy (Q_{st}) of ~14 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂ at zero coverage.
- The 60MgH₂@rN-pC started to decompose at 175 °C and released H₂ of 3.38 wt% at 300 °C within 30 min, which showed outstanding desorption kinetics of MgH₂ among Mg-carbon material nanocomposites.
- The drawback of nanoconfinement scaffolds that cannot store hydrogen was firstly overcome.

ABSTRACT Nanoconfinement is a promising approach to simultaneously enhance the thermodynamics, kinetics, and cycling stability of hydrogen storage materials. The introduction of supporting scaffolds usually causes a reduction in the total hydrogen storage capacity due to “dead weight.” Here, we synthesize an optimized N-doped porous carbon (rN-pC) without heavy metal as supporting scaffold to confine Mg/MgH₂ nanoparticles (Mg/MgH₂@rN-pC). rN-pC with 60 wt% loading capacity of Mg (denoted as 60 Mg@rN-pC) can adsorb and desorb 0.62 wt% H₂ on the rN-pC scaffold. The nanoconfined MgH₂ can be chemically dehydridated at 175 °C, providing ~3.59 wt% H₂ with fast kinetics (fully dehydrogenated at 300 °C within 15 min). This study presents the first realization of nanoconfined Mg-based system with adsorption-active scaffolds. Besides, the nanoconfined MgH₂ formation enthalpy is reduced to ~68 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂ from ~75 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂ for pure MgH₂. The composite can be also compressed to nanostructured pellets, with volumetric H₂ density reaching 33.4 g L⁻¹ after 500 MPa compression pressure, which surpasses the 24 g L⁻¹ volumetric capacity of 350 bar compressed H₂. Our approach can be implemented to the design of hybrid H₂ storage materials with enhanced capacity and desorption rate.

KEYWORDS Hydrogen storage; MgH₂; Porous carbon; Nanoconfinement; Physi- and chemisorption



✉ Andrea C. Ferrari, acf26@eng.cam.ac.uk; Jianxin Zou, zoujx@sjtu.edu.cn

¹ Shanghai Key Laboratory of Hydrogen Science & Center of Hydrogen Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

² National Engineering Research Center of Light Alloys Net Forming & State Key Laboratory of Metal Matrix Composites, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

³ Cambridge Graphene Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0FA, UK



1 Introduction

Clean and renewable energy is vital for sustainable development [1]. Hydrogen has high gravimetric energy density (lower heating value (LHV) $\sim 120 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$) [2] and zero carbon emission (only water as combustion product) [3], making it promising as energy carrier. However, the large-scale application of H_2 as energy carrier is still hampered by the difficulties of effective storage [4]. Compared with storing H_2 as a compressed gas at high pressures up to 70 MPa [5], or as a liquid at cryogenic temperatures ($T = 20 \text{ K}$) [6], solid-state H_2 storage has the advantages of mild operation conditions (lower than 10 Mpa working pressure [7] and higher than 77 K working T [8] for storage and transportation) and reduced cost of storage systems. Due to the high gravimetric and volumetric H_2 storage density ($\sim 7.6 \text{ wt\% H}_2$ and $\sim 110 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \text{ H}_2$, respectively) [9], complete heat-driven reversible transformation ($\text{MgH}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg} + \text{H}_2$) [10], and earth-abundant natural Mg containing minerals such as dolomite [11] and seawater [12], MgH_2 is one of the most promising candidates for solid-state H_2 storage [13]. Nonetheless, the high thermodynamic stability and kinetic reaction barriers ($\Delta H = \sim 75 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ H}_2$, and $\Delta E = \sim 160 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ H}_2$, respectively) [14] limit its industrial application. Zhang et al. studied the solar-driven reversible hydrogen storage of MgH_2 and utilized solar energy as the sustainable energy source to reduce the energy costs [15, 16]. Besides, different approaches have been proposed to improve the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of MgH_2 , including alloying [17], catalysts doping [18], and nanostructuring [19].

Nanostructuring (reducing particle size to the nanoscale or confining of nanoparticles (NPs) in supporting materials) of MgH_2 is a promising approach to enhance its thermodynamic and kinetic performances simultaneously [20], since this results in heterostructured interfaces with enhanced energy, shortened H diffusion pathways, and increased active sites [21]. Nanoconfinement of Mg/MgH_2 NPs in porous supporting scaffolds is an effective way to synthesize nano-sized Mg-based H_2 storage materials [22]. The porous supporting materials could prevent Mg/MgH_2 NPs aggregation and growth during thermal-induced de/re-hydrogenation cycles, usual for free-standing nanostructured Mg/MgH_2 [23]. An excellent supporting scaffold should have chemical inert (stability under operation T and H_2 atmosphere), light weight (low volumetric density) and catalytic activity for

the H_2 ab/desorption process. Carbon-based materials, such as graphene [24], carbon aerogels [25], ordered mesoporous carbon (CMK3) [26] et al. have been used as supporting materials to confine MgH_2 NPs. Xia et al. prepared mono-disperse MgH_2 NPs self-assembled on Ni-modified graphene with multilayer synthesized through chemical intercalation of graphite. Hydrogenated composites could realize complete dehydrogenation at $200 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ within 150 min [24]. Jensen et al. synthesized nanoporous carbon aerogel scaffolds X1 and X2, with average pore sizes of 22 and 7 nm, and the dehydrogenation kinetics of the confined hydride in smaller pores was faster due to the size reduction [25]. However, these carbon-based materials show no catalytic activity [27], and additional metal catalysts, such as Fe, Co, Ni [28, 29], are needed to improve the H_2 storage performance. The loading of MgH_2 is limited by the scaffolds' structure [25], and the synthesis routes [30]. Pore blocking was found in oxygen-containing carbons, and bulk Mg was detected in the samples with Mg loadings $> 15 \text{ wt\%}$ [31], indicating loading should be selected considering the compromise between capacity and desorption T [32]. Besides, the introduction of a scaffold without H_2 storage capacity leads to the loss of total H_2 storage capacity. It is thus necessary to prepare a scaffold with self-adsorbing H_2 capacity for nanoconfinement of Mg/MgH_2 NPs, so as to improve the Mg-based H_2 storage performance and introduce extra physical H_2 adsorption capacity.

The development of high-pressure and cryogenic technologies (with controllable operation over 10 MPa at $-196 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) [33] enables the exploration of new adsorbent materials, such as metal organic frameworks (MOFs) [34], covalent organic frameworks (COFs) [35], and porous carbons (pCs) [36], as other promising strategies to realize safe and cost-effective H_2 storage. Among them, porous carbons have the advantages of easy synthesis, thermal and chemical stability, and tuneable porosity, as well as being suitable confinement scaffolds for Mg/MgH_2 [37].

Here, we synthesize an ammonia (NH_3)-optimized N-doped porous carbon (rN-pC) with reversible H_2 adsorption capacity to confine MgH_2 . For H_2 adsorption a high zero coverage adsorption heat ($= 14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ H}_2$) was achieved, leading to adsorption capacity close to 1% at a pressure as low as 0.01 Mpa. Mg NPs form on rN-pC to obtain a 60 Mg@rN-pC composite through nanoconfinement. N-doped carbon facilitates electron transfer from MgH_2 to the scaffold, weakening the

Mg–H bonds and decreasing the operation T for dehydrogenation. $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ shows low onset desorption temperature (T_{onset} 175 °C) and 10-cycling H_2 absorption and desorption stability, presenting outstanding catalytic effects when compared to state-of-art non-metal catalyzed MgH_2 systems [38]. $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ composites can deliver 4.2 wt% H_2 within two T ranges (physical desorption from -196 °C and chemical desorption from 175 °C). As T increases, the H_2 stored in the micropores of scaffold (N-pC) by physical adsorption at -196 °C is preferentially released, followed by further release of H_2 stored in MgH_2 via chemisorption. Thus, our synergistic approach yields a hybrid H_2 storage composite material, with both physical and chemical H_2 sorption ability, enabling a new strategy to develop advanced energy storage materials.

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Materials

Zinc nitrate hexahydrate ($\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 98%) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (USA). 2-methylimidazole (2-Hmim 98%) and methanol were supplied by Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (China). Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF, 99.5%) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI, Japan). Methyl magnesium chloride (CH_3MgCl , 3 M solution in anhydrous THF) and lithium foil (Li, 99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Lab (USA). Naphthalene (C_{10}H_8 , >99.7%) was supplied by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. (China).

2.2 Synthesis of rN-pC Scaffolds

2.2.1 Synthesis of rN-pC Scaffolds

2.97 g $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 6.57 g 2-Hmim are dissolved in 200 mL of methanol, respectively. These two solutions are mixed and agitatedly stirred (500 rpm) for 10 min, followed by standing for 24 h at room temperature (RT). The resultant white precipitation is centrifuged and washed 3 times with methanol, and dried in an oven at 60 °C overnight to obtain ZIF-8 NPs. ZIF-8 is heated to 1000 °C with a ramp of 10 °C min^{-1} under $\text{Ar}/5\% \text{H}_2$ and kept at 1000 °C for 30 min (denoted as N-pC), followed by NH_3 treatment at 750 °C for 30 min. rN-pC is obtained after cooling to RT.

2.2.2 Synthesis of $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$

All samples are prepared in an inert atmosphere (Ar-filled glove box, Mikrouna). $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ is synthesized by a reduction reaction of in situ grown Mg NPs on rN-pC. CH_3MgCl , Li foil, C_{10}H_8 and anhydrous THF are used as received without further treatment. 30 mg as-prepared rN-pC was processed in 20 mL THF under intermittent ultrasonication for 1 h, and then 0.6 mL CH_3MgCl was added for another 1 h intermittent sonication (200 W for 2 h, 15 s pulse and 15 s relaxation) (solution A). 31.23 mg Li foil and 615.26 mg naphthalene were dissolved in 30 mL THF with rapid stirring (800 rpm) for 3 h (solution B). Then, solution A was dripped dropwise into solution B and stirred for 2 h at RT. 0.6 mL of CH_3MgCl (1.8 mmol) can be reduced to get 43.2 mg Mg and hydrogenated to obtain 46.8 mg MgH_2 . Therefore, the weight percent of MgH_2 in the $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ composite is calculated to be ~60 wt% (46.8/76.8 mg). The 60 $\text{Mg}@\text{rN-pC}$ composite is derived from the desorption of $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$. The resultants were centrifuged and washed 3 times with THF. Finally, the participants were dried under vacuum in the glove box and hydrogenated to obtain $60\text{MgH}_2/\text{rN-pC}$. Pure Mg/MgH_2 were synthesized with the same steps without adding rN-pC. In the present research, $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ -as synthesis/absorption/desorption belong to different states of one sample.

2.3 Materials Characterization

Specific surface area (SSA) and pore size distribution (PSD) are estimated via N_2 ad/desorption tests at -196 °C on a Autosorb-IQ3 apparatus (Quantachrome). The phase composition is analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Mini Flex 600, Rigaku, $\text{Cu K}\alpha$) at 40 kV/15 mA with a scanning speed of 10° min^{-1} . The samples are prepared in an Ar-filled glovebox and sealed in a custom-designed holder covered by an amorphous tape to avoid air exposure during testing. (High-resolution) Transmission electron microscopy ((HR)TEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) are performed on a Talos F200X G2 microscope with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The samples were ultrasonically dispersed in tetrahydrofuran (THF), and then, in a glovebox, the dispersion liquid was dropped on a copper grid, which was sealed and transferred to the TEM

instrument rapidly. The morphologies of products are also examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Mira3 and Rise-magna, TESCAN). An air-proof transfer vessel is used to transfer the samples from glovebox to X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy equipment (XPS, Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD, Al K α /Mg K α) to characterize the elemental valence and chemical bonding. For the XPS analysis of powder samples, the following preparation procedures were employed: In an argon-filled glovebox, a minimal amount of sample was transferred onto conductive carbon tape mounted on the sample holder using a toothpick, followed by flattening the surface with a spatula. The whole sample stage was sealed in the air-proof transfer vessel, which would be connected with the testing instrument directly. For the XPS measurements, monochromatic X-ray of Al K α (~1487 eV) was used to induce the generation of photoelectrons. For the comparison of one element in different samples, the same testing parameters (working steps, dwell times, etc.) were used to minimize systematic errors. The C–C binding energy at 284.8 eV is used to calibrate the XPS data [39]. Raman spectra are collected using micro-Raman spectroscopy from Renishaw inVia Qontor with 532 nm lines and from Horiba LabRAM HR Evolution, Horiba Scientific with 325, 514, and 633 nm. FTIR spectra in transmittance mode are measured with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5. DSC curves are obtained on a NETZSCH STA449F3 Jupiter facility under flowing Ar. The measurements were conducted by heating the samples from room temperature to 500 °C with the heating rates of 3, 5, 7, and 10 °C min⁻¹. Thus, the testing duration varies with the heating rate, which is 158.3, 95, 67.8, and 47.5 min, for the heating rates of 3, 5, 7, and 10 °C min⁻¹, respectively. Around 5–10 mg sample was used for each test. Activation energy (E_a) is obtained by fitting DSC data using the Kissinger method. The isothermal ad/ab/desorption tests and TPD measurements are carried out in a Sievert-type pressure-composition-T apparatus (PCT, HPSA-auto device, China), in which the modified Benedict–Webb–Rubin (MBWR) [40] equation of state (EOS) is used to calculate H₂ storage capacity. –196/–186 °C isothermal volumetric H₂ adsorption tests are done at liquid N₂ and Ar, respectively. Before the physical adsorption measurements, all samples are outgassed at 300 °C for 12 h, and then the Mg is fully adsorbed at 300 °C. Subsequently, the samples are cooled down to room temperature under certain H₂ back pressure and then

degassed in vacuum for another 1 h. Typically, for the isothermal ab/desorption tests, ~100 mg is loaded into the test tube in a glove box and heated to the preset T by rapid heating rate (~5–10 °C min⁻¹), then maintaining this T during the thermodynamic test. The H₂ ab/desorption kinetics is measured at various T for an initial H₂ pressure of 3 MPa for absorption and 0.001 MPa for desorption, respectively. The hydrogenated samples are heated from RT to 350 °C with a heating rate ~2 °C min⁻¹ under a primary vacuum to conduct TPD measurements. The H₂ pressure/duration/T parameters of pure Mg used for cycling tests are set as 3 MPa/20 min/350 °C for re-hydrogenation and 0.03 MPa/45 min/350 °C for dehydrogenation. For 60MgH₂/rN-pC those are set to 3 MPa/15 min/275 °C for re-hydrogenation and 0.03 MPa/60 min/275 °C for dehydrogenation. H₂ storage capacity is calculated as wt% of entire composite including rN-pC scaffold. The compression machine is a PC-12 type tablet press from Tianjin Jingtuo Company. A cylindrical die with the inner diameter 10 mm was used to prepare the composite pellets and the effective pressure on the pellets was set at ~500 MPa (4 Tons).

2.4 Density Functional Theory Calculations

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed using quantum espresso (QE) based on the pseudopotential plane wave (PPW) method [41]. Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functionals [42] are used to describe exchange–correlation effects of electrons. The projected augmented wave (PAW) potentials [43] are used to describe the ionic cores and take valence electrons into account using a plane wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff = 500 eV. All structures are first optimized to reach their most stable configuration. During geometry optimization, all atom positions are allowed to relax. Brillouin-zone sampling is conducted using a Monkhorst–Pack (MP) [44] grid with separation of 0.04 Å⁻¹. The convergence criterion for the electronic self-consistent field (SCF) loop is set to 1 × 10⁻⁵ eV atom⁻¹ to ensure accurate total energy calculations while maintaining computational efficiency [45]. The atomic structures are optimized until the residual forces are < 0.05 eV Å⁻¹. The adsorption energies between porous carbon substrates and H₂ are computed as [46]:

$$E_{ad} = E_{sub-H_2} - E_{sub} - E_{H_2},$$

The differential charge density is calculated as [46]:

$$\Delta\rho = \rho_{tot} - \rho_{sub} - \rho_{abs},$$

where ρ_{tot} , ρ_{sub} and ρ_{abs} represent the total charge density, charge density of substrate and charge density of absorbed molecules.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Preparation and Characterization of rN-pC

MOFs have high SSA from hundreds to thousands $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ [47, 48], but relatively poor thermal stability compared with zeolites [49] and carbons [50], so their degassing pre-activation is difficult and sensitive to T control. The thermal stability of carbon materials derived from MOFs has improved with the decomposition and carbonization of ligands [51], but their SSA has reduced due to the collapse of the ordered three-dimensional structures during heat treatment [52]. NH_3 etching of the carbon matrix leads the formation of pores, as well as the introduction of active N atoms, favorable for H_2 adsorption [53]. Here, we prepared N-doped porous carbon (N-pC) from zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIF-8) by heat treatment. Its structure and composition are optimized by NH_3 treatment to enrich N doping porous carbon (rN-pC) (Fig. S1).

The crystal structures, pore distributions and heteroatoms are systematically characterized. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns in Fig. S2a of the as-synthesized ZIF-8 match the simulated XRD standard pattern and those reported in literatures [54, 55]. After pyrolysis of ZIF-8 at 1000 °C, the resulting N-pC displays two humps at $\sim 23.8^\circ$ and 43.3° , implying its deficiency of periodic graphitic structure (full width at half maximum of (FWHM) (002) diffraction peak of $\sim 12^\circ$) [56], while NH_3 has little effect on the crystal structure (Fig. S2a). However, as shown in Figs. 1a, S2b and Table S1, the SSA, PSD, and pore volume (PV) of N-pC change after NH_3 treatment. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) tests in Fig. 1a show SSA and PV of ZIF-8 $\sim 1877 \text{ m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and $0.71 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$, much higher than N-pC ($925.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and $0.92 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$) owing to the structural collapse during pyrolysis. Correspondingly, N-pC has a type-IV isotherm [57], with an adsorption–desorption hysteresis loop at

the relative pressure p/p_0 of 0.5–1, suggesting the generation of mesopores (2–50 nm) [58], suitable for the impregnation of organic Mg precursors. SSA and PV of rN-pC increase to $1525.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and $1.50 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$, mainly due to NH_3 etching, thus achieving pore enlargement [59]. PSD plots are obtained using the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method to analyze the pore structure of N-pC and rN-pC (Fig. S2b). N-pC and rN-pC possess hierarchically porous architecture with additional mesopores compared with monosized microporous ZIF-8, while the pore structure changes from micro to meso.

Chemical compositions and elemental valence states are investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figs. 1b and S2c). XPS spectra of N-pC and rN-pC show three dominant peaks at 288, 399, and 532 eV, assigned to C 1s, N 1s, and O 1s [60]. The C 1s spectra are almost the same for both N-pC and rN-pC, and the high-resolution C 1s peak can be deconvoluted into C–C (284.8 eV), C–N/C–O (287.1 eV), and C=O (289.5 eV) groups [61]. The high-resolution N 1s XPS consists of 5 peaks with binding energies of 398.5, 399.7, 401.1, 402.9, and 405.0 eV, corresponding to pyridinic, pyrrolic, graphitic, quaternary, and oxidized N groups [59]. Compared with N-pC, rN-pC contains more pyridinic N groups, improving its electron-transferring ability [62]. From XPS (Table S2), the N content of rN-pC increases from 2.5 wt% to 6 wt%, suggesting the possible transformation of C=C to C–N during NH_3 treatment. The Raman spectra were measured under different excitation of 325, 514, 532, and 633 nm with a linear baseline subtraction and then deconvoluted to Gaussian lines. Considering of the amorphous structure and broadening D peaks, the ratio of peak areas was compared [63]. The signals of N-pC and rN-pC were detected at $\sim 1360 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (D band) and $\sim 1580 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (G band), usually involving in the in-plane bond-stretching motion of all pairs of sp^2 atoms and breathing mode of sp^2 atoms in rings [64], respectively. 514 nm Raman spectra in Fig. S2d show that N-pC has D peak at ~ 1359 and G peak at $\sim 1584 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, with FWHM (G) = 91 cm^{-1} and $I(\text{D})/I(\text{G}) = 2.11$, and rN-pC has D peak at ~ 1355 and G peak at $\sim 1585 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, with FWHM (G) = 113 cm^{-1} and $I(\text{D})/I(\text{G}) = 2.66$. After NH_3 treatment, the dilated G peak width and increasing values of $I(\text{D})/I(\text{G})$ can be attributed to the N doping and activation of sixfold aromatic rings, as well as NH_3 etching and shrinking of ring clusters, which is coincident with the BET and XPS results [65]. The results under different excitation wavelength




Fig. 1 Structure and morphology characterization of rN-pC. **a** N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms, and **b** high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s and N 1s of N-pC and rN-pC. **c** TEM image, **d** representative BF image and EDS elemental mappings of rN-pC

exhibit the same trend in FWHM (G) and $I(D)/I(G)$, which are shown in Fig. S3a-d with error bars.

The microstructure and morphology of ZIF-8, N-pC and rN-pC are further characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Mira3, Fig. S4) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Talos F200X G2, Figs. 1c and S5). As prepared ZIF-8 shows a spherical structure with an average size ~ 30 nm. After heat treatment under Ar and NH_3 , TEM shows a porous architecture within the N-pC skeleton, stemming from the evaporation of Zn accompanied by the collapse of ZIF-8 frameworks. This structure still remains

porous after NH_3 treatment. The size of the N-pC or rN-pC is \sim tens nm due to different degrees of integration between ZIF frameworks. The representative HRTEM images in Figs. 1c and S4 demonstrate that N-pC and rN-pC have similar porous and nanocrystalline graphite structure with the existence of ~ 5 layered short domains. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM, Talos F200X G2, Figs. 1d and S5d) mapping of N-pC and rN-pC shows a homogenous distribution of N in the porous matrix. The N content of rN-pC is higher than N-pC (Table S3), consistent with XPS (Table S2). The higher N content and the optimized porous

structure endow rN-pC with more H₂ adsorption-active sites and surface hydrophilicity, facilitating the nucleation of Mg/MgH₂ NPs [66].

3.2 Hydrogen Adsorption Properties of rN-pC

The rN-pC has high SSA (1525.4 m² g⁻¹) and a certain number of micropores (0.447 mL g⁻¹), suggesting potential H₂ adsorption ability. The H₂ storage performance of rN-pC are evaluated through high-pressure (0–6 MPa) excess H₂ adsorption at –196 and –186 °C (Fig. 2a). These are modeled using a semi-empirical methodology (Eq. S1), then converted to absolute H₂ uptake.

Owing to the stability of rN-pC under 750 °C treatment, the pre-activating treatment can be executed under high T > 300 °C, without any changes of structure and constituents, so that the degassing procedure can be simplified. The gravimetric H₂ excess uptake of rN-pC increases abruptly and reaches a saturation plateau ~ 1 MPa (Fig. 2a), because N and micropores act as active adsorption sites for H₂ adsorption. With the PSD of 0.5–30 nm in rN-pC, the H₂ density adsorbed in mesopores is smaller than that in micropores. Therefore, a fast drop of excess adsorption is observed with increasing pressure, due to the gas density increasing with pressure faster outside than inside the pores [67]. Excess gravimetric H₂ uptake ~ 2.5 wt% at –196 °C and ~ 0.8 MPa, and ~ 2.3 wt% at –186 °C and ~ 1.5 MPa is detected. The saturation plateau at –186 °C shifts to a higher position compared with that at –196 °C, due to the decrease in free gas density [68]. An absolute gravimetric H₂ uptake ~ 3.4 and ~ 3.0 wt% is recorded for rN-pC at ~ 6 MPa and –196 °C, –186 °C, respectively. The maximum volumetric H₂ uptake ~ 17.3 g H₂ L⁻¹ is estimated considering the density functional theory (DFT, Equation S2) PV (~ 1.498 cm³ g⁻¹) and the skeletal density (~ 2.15 g cm⁻³) of rN-pC at –196 °C.

In order to investigate the interaction between H₂ and rN-pC, the ultralow pressure (below 0.01 MPa) H₂ adsorption isotherms at –196 and –186 °C are shown in Fig. S6. The gravimetric H₂ adsorptions at 0.01 MPa and –196/–186 °C are 0.9 and 0.55 wt%, respectively, meaning that rN-pC can reach a high H₂ adsorption under a low H₂ background pressure, indicating strong interaction compared with porous carbon [36]. The isosteric enthalpy of H₂ adsorption (Q_{st}), as derived by Langmuir fitting

[69] of the ultralow pressure isotherms at –196 and –186 °C followed by a Clausius-Clapeyron calculation (Eq. S3) [70], is in Fig. 2b. The Q_{st} value at zero coverage is ~ 14 kJ mol⁻¹, much higher than classical H₂ physisorption (i.e., 1–10 kJ mol⁻¹). For most standard activated carbons, with the contribution of overlapping potential fields of opposite pore walls, the zero coverage isosteric adsorption enthalpy lies in the range ~ 5–10 kJ mol⁻¹, even if the binding strength of H₂ on carbon alone is weak (~ 1–5 kJ mol⁻¹) [71]. Q_{st} decreases with H₂ uptake to ~ 6 kJ mol⁻¹ at 3 wt% adsorption, indicating the existence of favorable adsorption sites. We calculate the binding energies of H₂ on the different sites of N-doped C using DFT calculation. For H₂ interacting with carbon materials or graphitic/pyridinic N-doped carbon materials, a (4 × 4 × 1) supercell of carbon materials doped with N was primarily simulated, and the full optimized geometries are in Figs. 2c and S7, and the interaction energies in Fig. 2d. A stronger interaction is found when H₂ interacts with pyridinic N-doped carbon materials, with an enthalpy of 7.4 kJ mol⁻¹ higher than that between H₂ and pure carbon materials (5.2 kJ mol⁻¹). Micropores with overlapping potential fields at opposite walls lead to stronger interaction between H₂ and substrate, but this is not taken into account in our calculations (details in Experimental Section), so that the experimental values are higher than the calculated values. The improvement of H₂ adsorption is confirmed by the density of state (DOS) and its projections for each atom and charge density difference (Figs. S8 and S9). With the contributions from N dopants, especially for pyridinic type, the DOS of substrates are closer to the Fermi level, stimulating stronger H₂ polarization and interactions [72]. Considering the high zero coverage Q_{st} (~ 14 kJ mol⁻¹) and high H₂ adsorption (0.9 wt% at –196 °C) under ultralow relative pressure ranges (< 0.1 bar) of rN-pC, Refs. [34, 62] suggested that H₂ adsorption of porous carbon depends on micropores, as well as its chemical composition [36, 73].

3.3 Preparation and Structural Characterization of 60MgH₂@rN-pC

60MgH₂@rN-pC is prepared via solution reduction of methyl magnesium chloride (CH₃MgCl) using lithium naphthalene (LiNaph), followed by hydrogenation. The porous




Fig. 2 Hydrogen adsorption properties of rN-pC at cryogenic T. **a** H_2 excess adsorption isotherms of rN-pC at -196, and -186 °C and corresponding modeling. **b** Equivalent isosteric heat of H_2 adsorption fitting of rN-pC. **c** Top and side views of optimized geometries of H_2 adsorbed on pyridinic N-doped graphene. **d** Adsorption energies for different substrate sites interacting with H_2

carbon confined Mg-based hydrogen storage composite was synthesized with robust interfaces and controllable structure through a simple and efficient method. To synthesize evenly distributed Mg NPs confined in rN-pC scaffolds, the mixture of rN-pC and CH_3MgCl is processed under intermittent ultrasonication to promote dispersion of rN-pC in tetrahydrofuran (THF), exposing free surfaces for impregnation of Mg.

Figure 3a shows low-magnification (5.8 k) TEM images of $60MgH_2@rN-pC$. The rN-pC scaffolds maintain a porous structure, and many MgH_2 NPs with uneven particles size homogeneously distributed on the surface or in

the open channel of the rN-pC (Fig. 3b, c). The absence of isolated MgH_2 NPs indicates coupling between Mg precursor and rN-pC substrates. Correspondingly, isolated Mg NPs > 300 nm were also synthesized under the same experimental conditions, except for the addition of rN-pC (Fig. S10). The redox reaction between CH_3MgCl and LiNaph takes place in seconds, and pre-dispersion and confinement of rN-pC is vital to obtain well-distributed Mg NPs. The microstructure of $MgH_2@rN-pC$ is investigated by high-resolution TEM (HRTEM, Fig. 3b). The black NPs with an average size ~ 20 nm comprise more than ten MgH_2 grains with different orientations. The interplanar spacing ~ 0.225 nm

corresponds to the (200) planes of MgH_2 , while the interplanar spacing ~ 0.211 nm is assigned to the (200) planes of MgO [74]. The active MgH_2 NPs are oxidized by the residual moisture in the THF solvent and during the preparation and transportation before TEM measurement, consistent with the diffraction ring of MgO in the SAED pattern of Fig. S11. Figure 3c confirms that MgH_2 NPs < 5 nm are confined in the channel of rN-pC, as reported in literature [19], with outstanding H_2 thermodynamic and kinetic performances. Besides, the $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ heterostructure still maintains its initial structure and shows no obvious agglomeration of MgH_2 after 10 cycles (Fig. S12). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of rN-pC, pure MgH_2 and $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ are also consistent with the introduction of MgH_2 NPs (Fig. S13). STEM mapping in Fig. 3d indicates homogeneous

distribution of Mg, C, N in the $\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$, in agreement with TEM. The BET and PSD analyses of rN-pC and $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ based on DFT are in shown in Fig. S14. BET tests give a $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ SSA ~ 636.5 m^2 g^{-1} , much lower than pristine rN-pC (1525.4 m^2 g^{-1}). The rN-pC PSD plot < 2.5 nm has a peak ~ 0.59 nm, not present for $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$. This has 3 humps ~ 0.75 , 1.3, and 1.6 nm, consistent with rN-pC, but with decreased average density. MgH_2 NPs are confined in the pore channel or cover the pore entrance, reducing SSA and the corresponding rN-pC pore size.

3.4 H_2 Storage Properties of $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$

The H_2 hydrogen storage capacity of $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ at cryogenic (-196 and -186 $^\circ\text{C}$) and high T up to 350 $^\circ\text{C}$ was




Fig. 3 Structure and morphology characterization of $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ composite. **a** The TEM and **b**, **c** HRTEM images of hydrogenated $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$. **d** HAADF image of $60\text{MgH}_2@\text{rN-pC}$ and corresponding elemental mapping for Mg, C, N

tested. The data about physical adsorption of the composites were all collected in the state that Mg was fully hydrogenated. First, the gravimetric H₂ excess uptake of 60MgH₂@rN-pC is measured at -196 °C. As for Fig. 4a, the H₂ excess adsorption content decreases to 0.66 wt% (2.5 wt% for rN-pC) and the adsorption saturation pressure shifts to higher value (~1 Mpa for rN-pC and ~1.8 Mpa for 60MgH₂@rN-pC). The isosteric enthalpy of H₂ adsorption (Q_{st}) is calculated by Langmuir fitting of the ultralow pressure isotherms at -196 and -186 °C (Fig. S15). The zero coverage Q_{st} is estimated to be ~10.6 kJ mol⁻¹, in the range reported in literatures for H₂ physisorption [75]. A possible reason for the decrease of H₂ adsorption capacity and lowering of zero coverage Q_{st} could be related to the filling and coverage of pores by MgH₂ NPs, resulting in the reduction of effective contacts between H₂ and C, as well as active N sites. However, micropores in the carbon scaffold are less accessible for Mg precursors [76], which means that most nucleates on the mesopores and surfaces and partial micropores are retained. From the semi-empirical calculations described in Eq. S1, the H₂ adsorption density is ~0.045 g cm⁻³ (Table S4, 0.026 g cm⁻³ for rN-pC), indicating that it is possible to obtain an improved volumetric H₂ storage system. The H₂ cycling ad/desorption performance of 60MgH₂@rN-pC is measured at -196 °C. As shown in Fig. 4b, H₂ adsorption is totally reversible, with no hysteresis. The excellent cycling stability indicates that 60MgH₂@rN-pC maintains a stable porous structure and MgH₂ NPs are well bound to the rN-pC framework, without significant detachment under cryogenic T. This study shows the first realization of nanoconfined Mg-based system with adsorption-active scaffolds (Table 1).

We then study the high T (0–350 °C) H₂ storage properties of the pure MgH₂ and 60MgH₂@rN-pC by T Programmed Desorption (TPD) analysis of 60MgH₂@rN-pC in comparison with pure MgH₂ is in Fig. 5a. The samples for TPD analysis were obtained after initial hydrogenation. Pure MgH₂ starts to desorb H₂ at ~299 °C with a final capacity ~6.8 wt%. The T_{onset} of 60MgH₂@rN-pC reduces to 175 °C, with a final capacity of 3.85 wt%. A small amount of Mg/MgH₂ reacted irreversibly with impurities in the chemicals to form MgO, leading to the capacity loss. ~3 wt% H₂ is released from 60MgH₂@rN-pC before 300 °C, while pure MgH₂ starts to decompose at the same T. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments are then performed at different heating rates ~3, 5, 7, 10 °C min⁻¹ to further demonstrate the improvement of desorption properties (Fig. 5b). The peak desorption T of 60MgH₂@rN-pC is 295.6 °C at the heating rate of 3 °C min⁻¹, 82.7 °C lower than that pure MgH₂ (378.3 °C) (Fig. S16). The apparent activation energy of desorption (E_a) is calculated from the Kissinger's equation (Eq. S4) [77]. Figures 5b and S16 show a linear relation between $\ln(\beta/T_p^2)$ and $1000/T_p$ (β represents the heating rate, T_p refers to the peak temperature) for 60MgH₂@rN-pC and MgH₂. E_a of 60MgH₂@rN-pC is fitted to ~142.1 ± 5.6 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂, much lower than pure MgH₂ (189.2 ± 12.8 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂), consistent with the calculation using the Johnson–Mehl–Avrami–Kolmogorov (JMAK) and Arrhenius equation [78] (Fig. S17, 148.2 ± 3.3 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂ and 181.6 ± 0.8 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂ for 60MgH₂@rN-pC and pure MgH₂, respectively). The high desorption activation energy of pure MgH₂ comes from its surface structure with good crystallinity, as shown in Fig. S10, which is close to




Fig. 4 Hydrogen adsorption properties of 60MgH₂@rN-pC composite at cryogenic T. **a** Experimental and modeled H₂ excess adsorption isotherms of 60MgH₂@rN-pC at -196 °C. **b** Cycling H₂ adsorption/desorption of 60MgH₂@rN-pC at -196 °C

Table 1 Hydrogen storage performance parameters of different Mg-carbon material nanocomposites

Carbon material	MgH ₂ content (wt%)	Synthetic method	Onset desorption temperature of MgH ₂ (°C)	Kinetic performance of MgH ₂	H ₂ pysi-/chemical sorption (wt%)	References
graphite	90	mechanical milling	280	De: 6.5 wt%/ 300 °C/30 kPa/ 20 min	-/6.5	[85]
GNS	95	mechanical milling	300	De: 1.3 wt%/ 325 °C/- /20 min	-/6.0	[86]
CNC	90	mechanical milling	245	De: 5.3 wt%/ 325 °C/- /10 min	-/5.4	[38]
CNT	90	mechanical milling	247	De: 6.0 wt%/ 300 °C/vacuum/ 3 h	-/6.5	[87]
graphene	60	nanoconfinement	250	De: 4.5 wt%/ 320 °C/1 kPa/80 min	-/4.5	[88]
CMK3	37.5	nanoconfinement	50	De: 2.1 wt%/ 300 °C/-/8 h	-/2.25	[84]
carbon aerogel	18.2	nanoconfinement	200	De: 0.9 wt%/ 300 °C/-/6 h	-/1.4	[25]
graphene	75	nanoconfinement	200	De: 2.6 wt%/ 200 °C/1 kPa/ 200 min	-/5.7	[24]
BCNTs	75	nanoconfinement	210	De: 5.8 wt%/300 °C/2 kPa/30 min	-/5.84	[89]
pCNF	60	nanoconfinement	230	De: 1.6 wt%/250 °C/1 kPa/120 min	-/4.0	[90]
MOF-derived carbon	-	-	-	-	3.25/-	[91]
MgH ₂ @optimized carbon	60	nanoconfinement	175	De: 3.3 wt%/300 °C/10 kPa/15 min	0.7/3.6	This work

the theoretical calculation results [79, 80]. For the fitting of 60MgH₂/rN-pC desorption curves, the parameter *n* was determined as ~1.1, which means that the reaction is controlled by particle surface reaction (first-order reaction) and the MgH₂/rN-pC interfaces serve as the active nucleation sites for Mg [81, 82]. In contrast, for the fitting of MgH₂ desorption curves, the parameter *n* was determined to be ~3.5, indicating that the inner and outer regions of hydrides are dehydrogenated simultaneously driven by high temperature and Mg nuclei form randomly inside the bulk [83]. The isothermal hydrogenation/dehydrogenation kinetics of 60MgH₂@rN-pC and MgH₂ are then measured. Figure 5c plots the isothermal desorption curves of 60MgH₂@rN-pC at different T. 60MgH₂@rN-pC releases 0.93, 2.76, 3.38, and 3.41 wt% H₂ at 250, 275, 300, and 325 °C within 30 min. At 325 °C, 60MgH₂@rN-pC can fully decompose within 5 min, while MgH₂ takes > 2 h (Fig. S18(a)). 60MgH₂@rN-pC releases almost all H₂ within 5 h at 250 °C. Even at a lower T = 225 °C, the composite can still desorb 2 wt% H₂ within 8 h, corresponding to 58.8% of the maximum H₂ storage capacity (Fig. S19). In contrast, pure MgH₂ can only release < 0.5 wt% H₂ at 300 °C within 3 h. Such an improved

dehydrogenation performance confirms that the rN-pC scaffolds have a positive effect on MgH₂ dehydrogenation. The isothermal hydrogenation curves of dehydrogenated 60MgH₂@rN-pC and MgH₂ are recorded at different T for 3.2 MPa H₂ pressure (Figs. 5d and S18b). The dehydrogenated reference sample can only absorb ~0.72 and 4.71 wt% at 200 and 250 °C, corresponding to 10.3% and 67.3% of its maximum H₂ storage capacity, respectively. The dehydrogenated 60MgH₂@rN-pC has a fast absorption kinetics, as it can absorb 3.5 wt% H₂ at 200 °C with 50 min. Compared with Mg-carbon material composites reported in literatures, 60MgH₂@rN-pC shows relatively lower T_{onset} and enhanced desorption kinetics (Table 1). Nanoconfinement strategy has shown great ability to improve the hydrogen storage performances of Mg-based hydrogen storage materials, especially for the initial destabilization at relatively low temperature due to the interfacial catalysis [24, 84]. Besides, in this study, we investigate hydrogen adsorption ability of the supporting materials, which has the potential to address the hydrogen supply gap of Mg-based hydrogen storage materials at near-ambient temperatures. Pressure-Composition-T (PCT) absorption curves are recorded at 320, 340,




Fig. 5 Hydrogen absorption and desorption properties of 60MgH₂@rN-pC composite at elevated T. **a** TPD of pure MgH₂ and 60MgH₂@rN-pC. **b** DSC and corresponding Kissinger's plots of 60MgH₂@rN-pC. **c** dehydrogenation and **d** re-hydrogenation curves of 60MgH₂@rN-pC at different T

and 360 °C (Fig. S20a). Only one sorption pressure plateau can be observed for each isotherm, suggesting a single-step transition between Mg and MgH₂ phases during H₂ uptake. According to the van't Hoff plot (Eq. S5) [92], and fitting in Fig. S20b, the hydrogenation enthalpy for 60MgH₂@rN-pC is determined to be $\sim 67.98 \pm 0.66$ kJ mol⁻¹ H₂, much lower than MgH₂ reported in the literatures (~ 75 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂) [14], confirming that MgH₂ NPs are confined in rN-pC scaffolds, with improved thermodynamics. In order to evaluate the cycling stability of 60MgH₂@rN-pC during the thermal de/hydrogenation processes, 10 isothermal hydrogenation/dehydrogenation cycles are performed at 275 °C. Figure S21 shows that 60MgH₂@rN-pC has a stable H₂ sorption

capacity for 10 cycles (from 3.52 wt% to 3.47 wt% for absorption and from 3.24 wt% to 3.17 wt% for desorption). Because the dehydrogenation of pure MgH₂ cannot occur at 275 °C (Fig. S18a), its cycling properties are tested at a higher T = 350 °C. Pure MgH₂ exhibits severe degradation after 10 cycles, with desorption capacity reducing from 7.3 wt% to 5.9 wt% (Fig. S22), and the kinetic performance of pure MgH₂ decays significantly after 15 cycles (Fig. S23). Reversible hydrogen absorption/desorption cycling profiles of the pure MgH₂ at 350 °C for 25 cycles and 60MgH₂@rN-pC at 300 °C for 30 cycles also show the good stability of 60MgH₂@rN-pC (Fig. S24), which can be ascribed to

the confinement of rN-pC on MgH₂ and robust interfacial contact between host and supporting materials.

3.5 Catalytic Mechanism of the 60MgH₂@rN-pC

In order to investigate the mechanisms leading to improved sorption performance, we characterize the phase transformation during the thermal de/re-hydrogenation (Fig. 6a). The XRD curves of as-synthesized samples show diffraction peaks of Mg (PDF#00–004-0770) and a broad peak of C, indicating the successful loading of Mg NPs. After hydrogenation, the main peaks of MgH₂ (PDF#00–012-0697) derive from the absorption of Mg NPs [93]. A MgO (PDF#00–035-1184) peak appears ~42.7°, indicating amorphous impurities [94], which might react with Mg NPs during H₂ absorption. In the H₂ desorption process, MgH₂ is transformed to Mg and the peak assigned to MgO shows no change. In the whole process of H₂ absorption and desorption, there is no new phase generated besides Mg, MgH₂, MgO, with absence of additional catalytic phases.

H₂ isothermal absorption tests suggests that the thermodynamic destabilization of MgH₂ could be attributed to the “nano-size effect” of MgH₂ NPs, usually considered a key factor for thermodynamic improvement [19], since the typical crystallite sizes of MgH₂ are < 10 nm. Meanwhile, nanoconfined MgH₂ NPs have a close contact with the rN-pC scaffold, introducing extra interfacial energy into the system. For MgH₂ loaded in CMK3 composites, Jia et al. calculated that the charge transfer from MgH₂ to CMK3 plays an important role in weakening Mg-H bonds [84].

Benefitting from the nondestructive synthetic method, the robust interfacial structure between MgH₂ and rN-pC was achieved and the electron transfer in the interfaces was revealed by experimental characterizations. We analyzed XPS of samples at different stages of synthesis, absorption and desorption to investigate the effect of interfaces (Fig. 6b). The three peaks at ~49.5, 50.5, 51.3 eV for as-synthesized MgH₂@rN-pC belong to Mg, MgO, and amorphous Mg(OH)₂, respectively [24]. The peaks of Mg and Mg(OH)₂ disappear due to the complete hydrogenation of Mg and decomposition of Mg(OH)₂, consistent with the XRD results of Fig. 6a. The Mg peaks reappear in the XRD patterns of samples after desorption. To evaluate the

interaction between MgH₂ and rN-pC in the 60MgH₂@rN-pC composite, we synthesized pure MgH₂ and rN-pC using exactly the same methods, which were characterized as the “initial states” of the components. Then, the XPS signals of the 60MgH₂@rN-pC composite were collected to obtain the “final states” of the components. We conclude that the signal changes can be used to deduce the catalytic effects of rN-pC, which has been widely adopted in the literatures [95–97]. Compared with pure Mg, the Mg and MgH₂ peaks shift to higher binding energies in MgH₂@rN-pC. Correspondingly, the N peaks of MgH₂@rN-pC shift to lower binding energies than rN-pC (Fig. 6b). The electronegativity of N (3.07) is much higher than Mg (1.30) and C (2.54) [98]. The changes of peak positions indicate that charges transfer from MgH₂/Mg to rN-pC, consistent with the calculations of Ref. [99]. Combined with the reduced NPs size of Mg/MgH₂ and increased electronegativity of substrates, the interfacial effects impact the absorption/desorption properties of MgH₂. Moreover, XPS spectra of Mg 2*p* and N 1*s* in the 60MgH₂@rN-pC composite after 10 re/dehydrogenation cycles show the same changing tendency of peak positions as that appears in the initial cycling state, indicating that the charge transfer from MgH₂/Mg to rN-pC is stable, as well as the interfacial structures (Fig. S25).

Thus, our MgH₂ NPs are confined in a porous carbon matrix possessing H₂ adsorption capacity, besides benefiting from the catalytic activity and nanoconfinement effects of rN-pC (Fig. 7). MgH₂@rN-pC has both physical and chemical sorption properties, lowered H₂ desorption T than MgH₂, and improved cycling stability. As shown in Fig. S26 and its corresponding explanation, at RT H₂ adsorbed in rN-pC scaffolds desorbs spontaneously and ~0.6 wt% is released firstly. Subsequently, when the system is further heated, nano-MgH₂ in the composite continues releasing ~4.2 wt% H₂ in a wide T range (–196–325 °C). The maximum volumetric H₂ uptake is calculated to be ~43.5 g H₂ L^{–1}, considering the DFT PV (~0.440 cm³ g^{–1}), skeletal density (~1.904 g cm^{–3}) of rN-pC. The exhaust heat from working Fuel Cell supplied by physical desorbed H₂ can be used as the heat resource for MgH₂ decomposition in the second stage. Besides, MgH₂@rN-pC can be compacted and remain a high volumetric capacity (33.4 g H₂ L^{–1}, Figs. S27 and S28). The PSD can be further optimized to achieve higher H₂ storage density.




Fig. 6 Phase and electron structure change of 60MgH₂@rN-pC composite during the absorption and desorption processes. **a** XRD patterns of 60MgH₂@rN-pC. **b** XPS spectra of Mg 2p for 60MgH₂@rN-pC (left), Mg 2p for pure MgH₂ and 60MgH₂@rN-pC (middle), and N 1s for rN-pC and 60MgH₂@rN-pC (right)




Fig. 7 Illustration of hybrid physical and chemical H_2 sorption in $MgH_2@rN-pC$ composite

4 Conclusions

We presented a strategy to synthesize rN-pC as the supporting scaffold for nanoconfinement of Mg/MgH₂ NPs. Benefitting from the porous scaffolds and confined MgH₂ NPs, MgH₂@rN-pC shows promising H₂ storage performances with both physical adsorption and chemisorption properties. It can adsorb 0.62 wt% H₂ at -196 °C and release H₂ spontaneously with increasing T. MgH₂ NPs start to decompose at 175 °C to offer ~3.59 wt% H₂ continuously with a faster desorption kinetics. The Mg NPs thermodynamics can be also improved with a MgH₂ formation enthalpy ~68 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂, mainly due to nanosize effects. For applications, the composite can be compacted to pellets with a volumetric H₂ density as high as 33.4 g L⁻¹ at 500 MPa. Thanks to a strong coupling between MgH₂ NPs and rN-pC, in situ formed heterogeneous interfaces induce the charge transfer from Mg/MgH₂ to rN-pC, thereby weakening the Mg-H bonds. MgH₂@rN-pC displays an achieved cycling stability at both cryogenic (-196 °C) and

elevated (275 °C) T. Our work offers a new approach for the design of H₂ storage systems with higher H₂ content through the nanoconfinement of MgH₂ using H₂ adsorbents. To develop materials that meet the US Department of Energy's (DOE's) targets [100], scaffolds are needed to improve H₂ adsorption capacity at RT and to catalyze MgH₂ to release H₂ at ambient T.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFB3803700), National Natural Science Foundation of China (52171186), Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST (2023QNRC001). Y. Li appreciates the support from “Zhiyuan Honor Program” for doctoral students, Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The authors acknowledge Chong Lu and Yingyan Zhao from Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and Rui Shi from Nanjing Tech University for their technical supports. The authors also acknowledge the supports from the Center of Hydrogen Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and the Cambridge Graphene Centre, University of Cambridge.

Author Contributions Y. Li and J. Zou conceived and designed the study. Y. Li prepared samples. Y. Li and L. Ren carried out the hydrogen storage properties tests. Y. Li, Z. Li, and Y. Yao performed the SEM, TEM, XPS, BET, and Raman characterizations.

Y. Li, L. Ren, and Z. Li carried out the data analysis. X. Lin, W. Ding, and A. C. Ferrari helped discuss the results. Y. Li and J. Zou drew pictures and wrote the manuscript. J. Zou, A. C. Ferrari, and X. Lin managed the project and reviewed the results, data analysis, and manuscript preparation.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no interest conflict. They have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. J. Z. and A. F. are editorial board members for Nano-Micro-Letters and were not involved in the editorial review or the decision to publish this article.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-025-01931-w>.

References

- M.D. Allendorf, V. Stavila, J.L. Snider, M. Witman, M.E. Bowden et al., Challenges to developing materials for the transport and storage of hydrogen. *Nat. Chem.* **14**(11), 1214–1223 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-022-01056-2>
- K.T. Møller, T.R. Jensen, E. Akiba, H.-W. Li, Hydrogen - A sustainable energy carrier. *Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int.* **27**(1), 34–40 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2016.12.014>
- S. Chu, A. Majumdar, Opportunities and challenges for a sustainable energy future. *Nature* **488**(7411), 294–303 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11475>
- M. van der Spek, C. Banet, C. Bauer, P. Gabrielli, W. Goldthorpe et al., Perspective on the hydrogen economy as a pathway to reach net-zero CO₂ emissions in Europe. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **15**(3), 1034–1077 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EE02118D>
- J.P. Berro Ramirez, D. Halm, J.-C. Grandidier, S. Villalonga, F. Nony, 700 bar type IV high pressure hydrogen storage vessel burst–simulation and experimental validation. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **40**(38), 13183–13192 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.05.126>
- Y. Zhao, M. Gong, Y. Zhou, X. Dong, J. Shen, Thermodynamics analysis of hydrogen storage based on compressed gaseous hydrogen, liquid hydrogen and cryo-compressed hydrogen. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **44**(31), 16833–16840 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.04.207>
- J. Yang, A. Sudik, C. Wolverton, D.J. Siegel, High capacity hydrogen storage materials: attributes for automotive applications and techniques for materials discovery. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **39**(2), 656–675 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1039/B802882F>
- S. Liu, J. Liu, X. Liu, J.-X. Shang, R. Yu et al., Non-classical hydrogen storage mechanisms other than chemisorption and physisorption. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **9**(2), 021315 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0088529>
- G.S. Walker, M. Abbas, D.M. Grant, C. Udeh, Destabilisation of magnesium hydride by germanium as a new potential multicomponent hydrogen storage system. *Chem. Commun.* **47**(28), 8001–8003 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C0CC03425H>
- B. Bogdanović, A. Ritter, B. Spliethoff, Active MgH₂ Mg systems for reversible chemical energy storage. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **29**(3), 223–234 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.199002233>
- W. Liu, Y. Mao, J. Zheng, Z. Wang, C. Shang et al., Enhancing the flotation separation of magnesite and dolomite by introducing a phosphonic acid depressant during grinding. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **361**, 131412 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2025.131412>
- P. Loganathan, G. Naidu, S. Vigneswaran, Mining valuable minerals from seawater: a critical review. *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* **3**(1), 37–53 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ew00268d>
- I.P. Jain, C. Lal, A. Jain, Hydrogen storage in Mg: a most promising material. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **35**(10), 5133–5144 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.08.088>
- Y. Yang, X. Zhang, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H. Liu et al., Recent advances in catalyst-modified Mg-based hydrogen storage materials. *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* **163**, 182–211 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2023.03.063>
- X. Zhang, S. Ju, C. Li, J. Hao, Y. Sun et al., Atomic reconstruction for realizing stable solar-driven reversible hydrogen storage of magnesium hydride. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 2815 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-47077-y>
- X. Zhang, Y. Sun, S. Ju, J. Ye, X. Hu et al., Solar-driven reversible hydrogen storage. *Adv. Mater.* **35**(2), e2206946 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202206946>
- N.A. Niaz, I. Ahmad, W.S. Khan, S.T. Hussain, Synthesis of nanostructured Mg–Ni alloy and its hydrogen storage properties. *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* **28**(5), 401–406 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1005-0302\(12\)60075-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1005-0302(12)60075-9)
- L. Ren, Y. Li, Z. Li, X. Lin, C. Lu et al., Boosting hydrogen storage performance of MgH₂ by oxygen vacancy-rich H-V₂O₅ nanosheet as an excited H-pump. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **16**(1), 160 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-024-01375-8>

19. X. Zhang, Y. Liu, Z. Ren, X. Zhang, J. Hu et al., Realizing 6.7 wt% reversible storage of hydrogen at ambient temperature with non-confined ultrafine magnesium hydrides. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **14**(4), 2302–2313 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE03160G>
20. R. Bardhan, A.M. Ruminski, A. Brand, J.J. Urban, Magnesium nanocrystal-polymer composites: a new platform for designer hydrogen storage materials. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **4**(12), 4882–4895 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EE02258J>
21. B. Han, Y. Jia, J. Wang, X. Xiao, L. Chen et al., The structural, energetic and dehydrogenation properties of pure and Ti-doped Mg(0001)/MgH₂(110) interfaces. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **11**(48), 26602–26616 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ta06177a>
22. X. Yu, Z. Tang, D. Sun, L. Ouyang, M. Zhu, Recent advances and remaining challenges of nanostructured materials for hydrogen storage applications. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **88**, 1–48 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.03.001>
23. A. Schneemann, J.L. White, S. Kang, S. Jeong, L.F. Wan et al., Nanostructured metal hydrides for hydrogen storage. *Chem. Rev.* **118**(22), 10775–10839 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00313>
24. G. Xia, Y. Tan, X. Chen, D. Sun, Z. Guo et al., Monodisperse magnesium hydride nanoparticles uniformly self-assembled on graphene. *Adv. Mater.* **27**(39), 5981–5988 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201502005>
25. T.K. Nielsen, K. Manickam, M. Hirscher, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, Confinement of MgH₂ nanoclusters within nanoporous aerogel scaffold materials. *ACS Nano* **3**(11), 3521–3528 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn901072w>
26. M. Konarova, A. Tanksale, J. Norberto Beltramini, Q.L. Gao, Effects of nano-confinement on the hydrogen desorption properties of MgH₂. *Nano Energy* **2**(1), 98–104 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2012.07.024>
27. M.A. Lillo-Ródenas, Z.X. Guo, K.F. Aguey-Zinsou, D. Cazorla-Amorós, A. Linares-Solano, Effects of different carbon materials on MgH₂ decomposition. *Carbon* **46**(1), 126–137 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2007.10.033>
28. Y. Fu, Z. Yu, S. Guo, Y. Li, Q. Peng et al., Catalytic effect of bamboo-like carbon nanotubes loaded with NiFe nanoparticles on hydrogen storage properties of MgH₂. *Chem. Eng. J.* **458**, 141337 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.141337>
29. X. Huang, X. Xiao, W. Zhang, X. Fan, L. Zhang et al., Transition metal (Co, Ni) nanoparticles wrapped with carbon and their superior catalytic activities for the reversible hydrogen storage of magnesium hydride. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**(5), 4019–4029 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cp07852d>
30. Z. Ma, Q. Zhang, S. Panda, W. Zhu, F. Sun et al., *In situ* catalyzed and nanoconfined magnesium hydride nanocrystals in a Ni-MOF scaffold for hydrogen storage. *Sustain. Energy Fuels* **4**(9), 4694–4703 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SE00818D>
31. P.E. de Jongh, R.W.P. Wagemans, T.M. Eggenhuisen, B.S. Dauvillier, P.B. Radstake et al., The preparation of carbon-supported magnesium nanoparticles using melt infiltration. *Chem. Mater.* **19**(24), 6052–6057 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm702205v>
32. L. Ren, W. Zhu, Y. Li, X. Lin, H. Xu et al., Oxygen vacancy-rich 2D TiO₂ nanosheets: a bridge toward high stability and rapid hydrogen storage kinetics of nano-confined MgH(2). *Nano-Micro Lett.* **14**(1), 144 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-022-00891-9>
33. P. Peng, H.Z.H. Jiang, S. Collins, H. Furukawa, J.R. Long et al., Long duration energy storage using hydrogen in metal-organic frameworks: opportunities and challenges. *ACS Energy Lett.* **9**(6), 2727–2735 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenerylett.4c00894>
34. O.K. Farha, A.Ö. Yazaydın, I. Eryazici, C.D. Malliakas, B.G. Hauser et al., *De novo* synthesis of a metal-organic framework material featuring ultrahigh surface area and gas storage capacities. *Nat. Chem.* **2**(11), 944–948 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.834>
35. S.S. Han, H. Furukawa, O.M. Yaghi, W.A. Goddard III., Covalent organic frameworks as exceptional hydrogen storage materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**(35), 11580–11581 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja803247y>
36. L.S. Blankenship, N. Balahmar, R. Mokaya, Oxygen-rich microporous carbons with exceptional hydrogen storage capacity. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1545 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01633-x>
37. L. Xiao, Y. Cao, W.A. Henderson, M.L. Sushko, Y. Shao et al., Hard carbon nanoparticles as high-capacity, high-stability anodic materials for Na-ion batteries. *Nano Energy* **19**, 279–288 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2015.10.034>
38. Q. Zhang, Y. Huang, T. Ma, K. Li, F. Ye et al., Facile synthesis of small MgH₂ nanoparticles confined in different carbon materials for hydrogen storage. *J. Alloys Compd.* **825**, 153953 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.153953>
39. I. Kusunoki, M. Sakai, Y. Igari, S. Ishidzuka, T. Takami et al., XPS study of nitridation of diamond and graphite with a nitrogen ion beam. *Surf. Sci.* **492**(3), 315–328 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6028\(01\)01430-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6028(01)01430-3)
40. J.J. Nicolas, K.E. Gubbins, W.B. Streett, D.J. Tildesley, Eq. of state for the lennard-Jones fluid. *Mol. Phys.* **37**(5), 1429–1454 (1979). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0026897790101051>
41. P. Giannozzi, O. Andreussi, T. Brumme, O. Bunau, M. Buongiorno Nardelli et al., Advanced capabilities for materials modelling with quantum ESPRESSO. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **29**(46), 465901 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648x/aa8f79>
42. J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**(18), 3865–3868 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.77.3865>



43. G. Kresse, D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**(3), 1758–1775 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.59.1758>
44. H.J. Monkhorst, J.D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* **13**(12), 5188–5192 (1976). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.13.5188>
45. Z. Xia, H. Xiao, Grand canonical ensemble modeling of electrochemical interfaces made simple. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **19**(15), 5168–5175 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00237>
46. D. Bahamon, M. Khalil, A. Belabbes, Y. Alwahedi, L.F. Vega et al., A DFT study of the adsorption energy and electronic interactions of the SO₂ molecule on a CoP hydrotreating catalyst. *RSC Adv.* **11**(5), 2947–2957 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA10634K>
47. H. Furukawa, K.E. Cordova, M. O’Keeffe, O.M. Yaghi, The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science* **341**(6149), 1230444 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230444>
48. Z. Chen, P. Li, R. Anderson, X. Wang, X. Zhang et al., Balancing volumetric and gravimetric uptake in highly porous materials for clean energy. *Science* **368**(6488), 297–303 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz8881>
49. A. Streb, M. Mazzotti, Adsorption for efficient low carbon hydrogen production: part 1: adsorption equilibrium and breakthrough studies for H₂/CO₂/CH₄ on zeolite 13X. *Adsorption* **27**(4), 541–558 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-021-00306-y>
50. S.Y. Sawant, K. Munusamy, R.S. Somani, M. John, B.L. Newalkar et al., Precursor suitability and pilot scale production of super activated carbon for greenhouse gas adsorption and fuel gas storage. *Chem. Eng. J.* **315**, 415–425 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.01.037>
51. J. Ren, Y. Huang, H. Zhu, B. Zhang, H. Zhu et al., Recent progress on MOF-derived carbon materials for energy storage. *Carbon Energy* **2**(2), 176–202 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cey2.44>
52. C. Wang, J. Kim, J. Tang, M. Kim, H. Lim et al., New strategies for novel MOF-derived carbon materials based on nano-architectures. *Chem* **6**(1), 19–40 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2019.09.005>
53. Y. Wang, H. Liu, K. Wang, S. Song, P. Tsiakaras, 3D interconnected hierarchically porous N-doped carbon with NH₃ activation for efficient oxygen reduction reaction. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **210**, 57–66 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.03.054>
54. D. Fairen-Jimenez, S.A. Moggach, M.T. Wharmby, P.A. Wright, S. Parsons et al., Opening the gate: framework flexibility in ZIF-8 explored by experiments and simulations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**(23), 8900–8902 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja202154j>
55. D. Liu, Y. Wu, Q. Xia, Z. Li, H. Xi, Experimental and molecular simulation studies of CO₂ adsorption on zeolitic imidazolate frameworks: ZIF-8 and amine-modified ZIF-8. *Adsorption* **19**(1), 25–37 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-012-9407-1>
56. K. Li, Q. Liu, H. Cheng, M. Hu, S. Zhang, Classification and carbon structural transformation from anthracite to natural coaly graphite by XRD, Raman spectroscopy, and HRTEM. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **249**, 119286 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2020.119286>
57. C. Buttersack, Modeling of type IV and V sigmoidal adsorption isotherms. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **21**(10), 5614–5626 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cp07751g>
58. M. Thommes, Physical adsorption characterization of nanoporous materials. *Chem. Ing. Tech.* **82**(7), 1059–1073 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201000064>
59. Q. Liang, Z. Li, Z.-H. Huang, F. Kang, Q.-H. Yang, Holey graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets with carbon vacancies for highly improved photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **25**(44), 6885–6892 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201503221>
60. Y. Li, Y. Hu, Y. Zhao, G. Shi, L. Deng et al., An electrochemical avenue to green-luminescent graphene quantum dots as potential electron-acceptors for photovoltaics. *Adv. Mater.* **23**(6), 776–780 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201003819>
61. Y. Li, Y. Zhao, H. Cheng, Y. Hu, G. Shi et al., Nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dots with oxygen-rich functional groups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**(1), 15–18 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja206030c>
62. K. Artyushkova, B. Kiefer, B. Halevi, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl et al., Density functional theory calculations of XPS binding energy shift for nitrogen-containing graphene-like structures. *Chem. Commun.* **49**(25), 2539–2541 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CC40324F>
63. A.C. Ferrari, J.C. Meyer, V. Scardaci, C. Casiraghi, M. Lazzeri et al., Raman spectrum of graphene and graphene layers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**(18), 187401 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.97.187401>
64. A.C. Ferrari, J. Robertson, Resonant Raman spectroscopy of disordered, amorphous, and diamondlike carbon. *Phys. Rev. B* **64**(7), 075414 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.64.075414>
65. A.C. Ferrari, J. Robertson, Interpretation of raman spectra of disordered and amorphous carbon. *Phys. Rev. B* **61**(20), 14095–14107 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.61.14095>
66. Z. Shi, W. Yang, Y. Gu, T. Liao, Z. Sun, Metal-nitrogen-doped carbon materials as highly efficient catalysts: progress and rational design. *Adv. Sci.* **7**(15), 2001069 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001069>
67. N. Kostoglou, C. Koczwarra, S. Stock, C. Tampaxis, G. Charalambopoulou et al., Nanoporous polymer-derived activated carbon for hydrogen adsorption and electrochemical energy storage. *Chem. Eng. J.* **427**, 131730 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131730>
68. J. Burress, M. Kraus, M. Beckner, R. Cepel, G. Suppes et al., Hydrogen storage in engineered carbon nanospaces. *Nanotechnology* **20**(20), 204026 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/20/20/204026>

69. X. Guo, J. Wang, Comparison of linearization methods for modeling the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. *J. Mol. Liq.* **296**, 111850 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.111850>
70. V.P. Ting, A.J. Ramirez-Cuesta, N. Bimbo, J.E. Sharpe, A. Noguera-Diaz et al., Direct evidence for solid-like hydrogen in a nanoporous carbon hydrogen storage material at supercritical temperatures. *ACS Nano* **9**(8), 8249–8254 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nano.5b02623>
71. I. López-Corral, J. de Celis, A. Juan, B. Irigoyen, DFT study of H₂ adsorption on Pd-decorated single walled carbon nanotubes with C-vacancies. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **37**(13), 10156–10164 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.12.073>
72. R. Muhammad, Y. Shuai, H.-P. Tan, First-principles study on hydrogen adsorption on nitrogen doped graphene. *Phys. E Low Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* **88**, 115–124 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physe.2016.12.012>
73. G. Sethia, A. Sayari, Activated carbon with optimum pore size distribution for hydrogen storage. *Carbon* **99**, 289–294 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.12.032>
74. J. Li, R. Zou, Y. Cui, G. Lei, Z. Li et al., *In situ* formed MgTi₂O₄ from MgO improving the cycling stability of MgH₂. *Chem. Eng. J.* **470**, 144259 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.144259>
75. L.S. Blankenship, R. Mokaya, Modulating the porosity of carbons for improved adsorption of hydrogen, carbon dioxide, and methane: a review. *Mater. Adv.* **3**(4), 1905–1930 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1MA00911G>
76. L. Borchardt, D. Leistenschneider, J. Haase, M. Dvoyashkin, Revising the concept of pore hierarchy for ionic transport in carbon materials for supercapacitors. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **8**(24), 1800892 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201800892>
77. R.L. Blaine, H.E. Kissinger, Homer Kissinger and the Kissinger eq. *Thermochim. Acta* **540**, 1–6 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2012.04.008>
78. F. Jensen, Activation energies and the Arrhenius Eq. *Qual. Reliab. Eng. Int.* **1**(1), 13–17 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.4680010104>
79. E.M. Kumar, A. Rajkamal, R. Thapa, Screening based approach and dehydrogenation kinetics for MgH₂: guide to find suitable dopant using first-principles approach. *Sci. Rep.* **7**(1), 15550 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15694-x>
80. S. Dong, C. Li, J. Wang, H. Liu, Z. Ding et al., The “burst effect” of hydrogen desorption in MgH₂ dehydrogenation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **10**(42), 22363–22372 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TA06458H>
81. A.S. Awad, E. El-Azhar, T. Tayeh, F. Mauvy, M. Nakhil et al., Effect of carbons (G and CFs), TM (Ni, Fe and Al) and oxides (Nb₂O₅ and V₂O₅) on hydrogen generation from ball milled Mg-based hydrolysis reaction for fuel cell. *Energy* **95**, 175–186 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.12.004>
82. E. Alasmar, A.S. Awad, D. Hachem, T. Tayeh, M. Nakhil et al., Hydrogen generation from Nd-Ni-Mg system by hydrolysis reaction. *J. Alloys Compd.* **740**, 52–60 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2017.12.305>
83. T. Huang, C. Zhou, Surface diffusion-controlled Johnson–Mehl–Avrami–Kolmogorov model for hydrogenation of Mg-based alloys. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **127**(28), 13900–13910 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c02650>
84. Y. Jia, C. Sun, L. Cheng, M. Abdul Wahab, J. Cui et al., Destabilization of Mg–H bonding through nano-interfacial confinement by unsaturated carbon for hydrogen desorption from MgH₂. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**(16), 5814–5820 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP50515D>
85. V. Fuster, F.J. Castro, H. Troiani, G. Urretavizcaya, Characterization of graphite catalytic effect in reactively ball-milled MgH₂–C and Mg–C composites. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **36**(15), 9051–9061 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.04.153>
86. Q. Zhang, Y. Xu, Y. Wang, H. Zhang, Y. Wang et al., Enhanced hydrogen storage performance of MgH₂/Ni₂P/graphene nanosheets. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **41**(38), 17000–17007 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.07.133>
87. S. Gao, X. Wang, H. Liu, T. He, Y. Wang et al., Effects of nano-composites (FeB, FeB/CNTs) on hydrogen storage properties of MgH₂. *J. Power. Sources* **438**, 227006 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.227006>
88. Y. Huang, G. Xia, J. Chen, B. Zhang, Q. Li et al., One-step uniform growth of magnesium hydride nanoparticles on graphene. *Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int.* **27**(1), 81–87 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2016.12.015>
89. M. Liu, S. Zhao, X. Xiao, M. Chen, C. Sun et al., Novel 1D carbon nanotubes uniformly wrapped nanoscale MgH₂ for efficient hydrogen storage cycling performances with extreme high gravimetric and volumetric capacities. *Nano Energy* **61**, 540–549 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.04.094>
90. L. Ren, W. Zhu, Q. Zhang, C. Lu, F. Sun et al., MgH₂ confinement in MOF-derived N-doped porous carbon nanofibers for enhanced hydrogen storage. *Chem. Eng. J.* **434**, 134701 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.134701>
91. S.J. Yang, T. Kim, J.H. Im, Y.S. Kim, K. Lee et al., MOF-derived hierarchically porous carbon with exceptional porosity and hydrogen storage capacity. *Chem. Mater.* **24**(3), 464–470 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm202554j>
92. J.F. Stampfer Jr., C.E. Holley Jr., J.F. Suttle, The magnesium-hydrogen system¹⁻³. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **82**(14), 3504–3508 (1960). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01499a006>
93. M.S. El-Eskandarany, Metallic glassy Ti₂Ni grain-growth inhibitor powder for enhancing the hydrogenation/dehydrogenation kinetics of MgH₂. *RSC Adv.* **9**(2), 1036–1046 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA08200F>
94. H. Liang, D. Chen, D. Thiry, W. Li, M. Chen et al., Efficient hydrogen storage with the combination of metal Mg and porous nanostructured material. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*



- 44(31), 16824–16832 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.04.212>
95. Y. Li, L. Ren, Y. Yao, Y. Zhao, H. Xu et al., A single-atom interface engineering strategy to promote hydrogen sorption performances of magnesium hydride. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **35**(13), 2417915 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202417915>
96. Y. Teng, Y. Zhang, X. Xie, J. Yao, Z. Zhang et al., Interfacial electron transfer in PbI_2 @single-walled carbon nanotube van der Waals heterostructures for high-stability self-powered photodetectors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **146**(9), 6231–6239 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c14188>
97. Y. Xia, L. Wang, G. Gao, T. Mao, Z. Wang et al., Constructed Mott-schottky heterostructure catalyst to trigger interface disturbance and manipulate redox kinetics in Li- O_2 battery. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **16**(1), 258 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-024-01476-4>
98. L.C. Allen, Electronegativity is the average one-electron energy of the valence-shell electrons in ground-state free atoms. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **111**(25), 9003–9014 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00207a003>
99. D. He, Y. Wang, C. Wu, Q. Li, W. Ding et al., Enhanced hydrogen desorption properties of magnesium hydride by coupling non-metal doping and nano-confinement. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **107**(24), 243907 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4938245>
100. U. D. O. Energy, Targets for onboard hydrogen storage systems for light-duty vehicles, (2009).

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.