

**Table 1.** Fixed effects logistic regression models examining the impacts of civic organization participation on ethnic minorities' inter-ethnic friendships.

	Pooled	Indian	Paki	Bangla	B.Cari	B. Afri
Participation in civic organizations (Ref. = No participation)						
Any organizations	1.12 (0.09)	1.76** (0.34)	0.66* (0.14)	1.07 (0.26)	0.95 (0.34)	1.08 (0.31)
Age	1.00 (0.01)	1.00 (0.03)	1.00 (0.03)	0.95 (0.04)	0.96 (0.05)	1.08+ (0.05)
Age squared	1.08** (0.00)	1.09* (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)
Partnership (Ref. = No)						
Yes	0.63* (0.11)	0.98 (0.69)	1.35 (0.55)	0.16* (0.13)	0.97 (0.74)	0.39+ (0.22)
Employment status (Ref. = No work)						
Lower occupations	1.21 (0.19)	1.32 (0.58)	1.06 (0.50)	1.22 (0.69)	1.68 (1.23)	0.69 (0.41)
Intermediate occupations	1.11 (0.16)	1.19 (0.45)	1.24 (0.44)	2.03 (0.97)	1.42 (0.89)	0.94 (0.45)
Higher occupations	1.30* (0.16)	1.83+ (0.64)	1.18 (0.36)	3.40* (1.64)	1.66 (0.98)	1.36 (0.58)
Logged household income	0.92 (0.05)	0.87 (0.13)	0.82 (0.13)	1.00 (0.18)	0.66 (0.17)	0.62 (0.19)
AIC	3,048	496	506	345	196	249
BIC	3,099	532	543	379	225	279
Respondent-wave observations	4,244	691	694	485	258	338
Number of respondents	1,640	261	277	194	99	134

*Note.* Odds ratios were reported and standard errors were in parentheses. AIC = Akaike's information criterion. BIC = Bayesian information criterion. +  $p < 0.1$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ . Data source: UKHLS wave 3, 6 and 9.

**Table 2.** OLS regression models investigating effects of ethnic composition in organizations on ethnic minorities' inter-ethnic friendships

	Pooled	Indian	Paki	Bangla	Paki/Bangla	B. Cari	B. Afri
<b>Models 1</b>							
Ethnic composition of civic organizations (Ref. = No participation)							
Mostly co-ethnic	-0.29*** (0.06)	-0.10 (0.10)	-0.41*** (0.09)	-0.12 (0.17)	-0.35*** (0.08)	-0.35* (0.17)	-0.29* (0.12)
Intermediate and other mixed	0.02 (0.07)	0.09 (0.13)	-0.14 (0.13)	0.06 (0.22)	-0.11 (0.11)	0.12 (0.13)	0.11 (0.14)
Mostly inter-ethnic	0.44*** (0.07)	0.49*** (0.13)	0.43** (0.14)	0.21 (0.19)	0.35** (0.12)	0.36** (0.13)	0.53*** (0.13)
Constant	2.74*** (0.09)	2.66*** (0.16)	2.72*** (0.17)	2.90*** (0.27)	2.75*** (0.15)	2.57*** (0.20)	2.63*** (0.17)
R-squared	0.19	0.25	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.22
Observations	2,531	566	633	258	891	570	504
<b>Models 2</b>							
Ethnic composition of civic organizations (Ref. = No participation)							
Mostly or mostly&interm. co-ethnic	-0.28*** (0.06)	-0.10 (0.09)	-0.42*** (0.09)	-0.12 (0.17)	-0.35*** (0.08)	-0.31+ (0.16)	-0.30* (0.12)
Intermediate	0.02 (0.07)	0.01 (0.15)	-0.09 (0.13)	-0.17 (0.19)	-0.12 (0.11)	0.16 (0.14)	0.13 (0.17)
Mostly or mostly&interm. inter-ethnic	0.42*** (0.07)	0.48*** (0.13)	0.37** (0.14)	0.29+ (0.18)	0.33** (0.11)	0.34** (0.12)	0.51*** (0.12)
Constant	2.73*** (0.09)	2.66*** (0.16)	2.71*** (0.17)	2.91*** (0.27)	2.74*** (0.15)	2.57*** (0.20)	2.61*** (0.17)
R-squared	0.19	0.26	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.16	0.22
Observations	2,531	566	633	258	891	570	504

*Note.* All models controlled for age, age squared, gender, migration generation, education levels, whether suffer ethnic discrimination, ethnicity of partners, ethnicity of work colleagues, local share of co-ethnics. Coefficients were reported and standard errors were in parentheses. +  $p < 0.1$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ . Data source: EMBES 2010.

